

1 *Original article*

2 **Safety and Usefulness of Nebulized Liposomal Amphotericin B: Systematic Scoping Review**

3

4 Hideharu Hagiya<sup>1#</sup>, Yoshito Nishimura<sup>1,2</sup>, Fumio Otsuka<sup>1</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>Department of General Medicine, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and  
6 Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama, 7008558, Japan

7 <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, John A. Burns School of Medicine, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, HI,  
8 96813, USA

9

10 **#Corresponding author:**

11 Hideharu Hagiya, MD, PhD

12 Department of General Medicine, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and  
13 Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2-5-1 Shikata-cho, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8558, Japan. Tel: +81-86-235-

14 7342; Fax: +81-86-235-7345

15 E-mail: [hagiya@okayama-u.ac.jp](mailto:hagiya@okayama-u.ac.jp)

16

17 **Keywords:** amphotericin B; fungal infection; inhalation; nebulization; aerosolization

18

19

20 **Abstract**

21 **Purpose:** Invasive fungal infections potentially result in fatal outcomes in immunocompromised hosts.  
22 Compared to intravenous administration, a nebulization therapy can achieve a high concentration of  
23 drug delivered in the respiratory tract, without a systematic absorption. We herein summarized the  
24 study findings on the safety and clinical utility of nebulized liposomal amphotericin B therapy.  
25 **Methods:** According to the PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews, we performed a search on  
26 MEDLINE and EMBASE for articles with relevant keywords, including “inhaled liposomal  
27 amphotericin B”, “nebulized liposomal amphotericin B”, or “aerosolized liposomal amphotericin B”,  
28 from the inception of these databases to August 31, 2022. **Results:** Of the 172 articles found, 27  
29 articles, including 13 case reports, 11 observational studies, and 3 clinical trials, were selected.  
30 Generally, findings showed that nebulized liposomal amphotericin B treatment appeared to be safe  
31 and without severe adverse effects. We found an accumulated evidence for the safety, tolerability, and  
32 effectiveness of nebulized liposomal amphotericin B prophylaxis among lung transplantation  
33 recipients; however, a randomized controlled study has yet to be reported. Data on hemato-oncological  
34 patients are relatively scarce; however, a randomized controlled study suggested the prophylactic  
35 effect of nebulized liposomal amphotericin B on invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. Observational and  
36 randomized controlled studies to evaluate therapeutic efficacy of the nebulized liposomal  
37 amphotericin B therapy have not been performed. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, we found increasing  
38 evidence for the effectiveness of the inhalation therapy among patients after lung transplantation and  
39 with hemato-oncological diseases.

40

41 **Introduction**

42 Invasive fungal infections yield high mortality rates among patients, and various preventive and  
43 therapeutic strategies have been established [1]. Among the available anti-fungal agents, amphotericin  
44 B has the most broad-spectrum activity with accumulated data, among which three distinct forms are  
45 clinically available; amphotericin B deoxycholate (ABD), liposomal amphotericin B (LAB), and  
46 amphotericin B lipid complex [2]. ABD has long been administered to patients as a conventional  
47 amphotericin B agent. However, it causes intensive nephrotoxicity when administered intravenously,  
48 and lipid-form drugs have been developed as alternatives and are clinically used worldwide [2].

49           Although the intravenous administration of ABD is limited with respect to continuation due  
50 to its nephrotoxicity, it has been used for inhalation therapy as prophylaxis especially after lung  
51 transplantation [3]. Since after 2000, many research studies have indicated the clinical utility of  
52 prophylactic administration of nebulized ABD in lung transplant patients [4-6]. However, the supply  
53 chain problem has caused a shortage of ABD in Japan, and an alternative prophylaxis is tentatively  
54 needed. In such a situation, we found that clinical feasibility of nebulized LAB (n-LAB) therapy was  
55 well described in an observational study from two organ transplantation centers in Spain [7]. The study  
56 also showed the effectiveness and convenience of n-LAB prophylaxis in preventing *Aspergillus*  
57 infection among patients after lung transplantation.

58           Through a further literature search, we noticed there was no solid evidence in this field.  
59 Therefore, we aimed to summarize the available evidence of the clinical utility and safety of n-LAB  
60 therapy based on the systematic scoping review of the literature.

61

62

63 **Methods**

64 **Study Design**

65 We performed a systematic scoping review in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for  
66 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR) [8,  
67 9]. **Appendix 1** is PRISMA-ScR Checklist of the present study.

68

69 **Search Strategy**

70 MEDLINE and EMBASE search were conducted for all peer-reviewed articles from inception to  
71 August 31<sup>st</sup>, 2022. We employed no filters for study design and language. Additional relevant articles  
72 were screened along with the reference lists of all articles that satisfied the eligibility criteria. The  
73 search strategy involved the use of relevant keywords, including “inhaled liposomal amphotericin B”,  
74 “nebulized liposomal amphotericin B”, or “aerosolized liposomal amphotericin B”. Two authors (HH  
75 and YN) conducted the search independently. See **Appendix 2** for details of the search terms.

76

## 77 **Eligibility Criteria**

78 The criteria for the inclusion of articles were as follows:

- 79 1) Articles describing the any form (prevention or treatment) of the clinical use of n-LAB
- 80 2) Randomized controlled trials, case-control studies, cohort studies (prospective or retrospective),  
81 cross-sectional studies, case series, and case report

82 The exclusion criteria were as follows:

- 83 1) *in vitro* or animal experiment, conference abstracts, review articles, guidelines, and commentaries.

84

## 85 **Study Selection, Data Extraction, and Definition**

86 HH and YN assessed selected articles for full-text assessment independently, and those considered  
87 eligible for this study were evaluated comprehensively. We used a standardized data collection form  
88 that followed the PRISMA and Cochrane Collaboration guidelines for systematic reviews to obtain  
89 the following information from each study: *name of the first author, year of publication, country of*  
90 *origin, study designs, aim of the study, case or study population, regimen of n-LAB, comparative*  
91 *groups, key findings, and limitations.*

92

93

## 94 **Results**

### 95 **Search Results and Study Selection**

96 **Figure 1** illustrates a PRISMA flow diagram that depicts the process of identification, screening,  
97 eligibility, and inclusion or exclusion of the studies. The initial search of MEDLINE and EMBASE  
98 databases yielded 42 and 130 articles, respectively. Of these, 38 duplicate studies were removed.

99 Subsequently, 134 articles were screened based on their relevance and type of article, and 62 articles  
100 that were either *in vitro* or animal experiment or conference abstracts, were excluded from the study.  
101 Ultimately, 72 articles were evaluated for full text review for study inclusion per our eligibility criteria;  
102 a total of 28 reviews or guidelines, and 17 articles with irrelevant topics were excluded. Finally, 27  
103 articles with 13 case reports and/or case series, 11 observational studies, and 3 clinical trials were  
104 selected for the review.

105

### 106 **Description of Case Reports and Case Series**

107 **Table 1** summarized the main characteristics of case reports and/or case series from the scoping review  
108 [10-22]. Since 2007, there were 13 clinical reports, and many of them were from European countries,  
109 such as Spain, France, and Italy. The n-LAB therapy was administered for therapeutic purpose in 11  
110 reports, prophylactic purpose in 1 report, and both in 1 report. The therapeutic n-LAB targeted  
111 refractory cases of invasive aspergillosis in 6 reports [10,12,13,15,21,22], allergic bronchopulmonary  
112 aspergillosis in 3 reports [14,15,19], non-*Aspergillus* mold infections in 3 reports [16-18], and  
113 leishmaniasis in 1 report [20]. All six reports describing the use of n-LAB for invasive pulmonary  
114 aspergillosis suggested preferable conclusions. In addition, n-LAB could serve as an alternative  
115 therapeutic approach to refractory ABPA cases [14,15,19]. The n-LAB was considered to be available  
116 for invasive rare mold infections as well as *Aspergillus* infections [16-18]. The administration regimen  
117 of n-LAB varied among the reports, ranging from approximately twice daily (15 to 25 mg each) to  
118 twice or thrice weekly (20 to 50 mg each).

119

### 120 **Description of Observational Studies**

121 Overall, 11 observational studies were identified (**Table 2**) [7,23-32]. Eight studies were single-  
122 centered and two were double-centered. There was only one multi-centered study. All the studies,  
123 eight of which were performed among lung transplant patients, evaluated the use of n-LAB as  
124 prophylaxis [7,23-26,28-30]. The first two observational studies found n-LAB to be safe and well  
125 tolerated as ABD nebulization [23,24]. Particularly, Monforte *et al.* provided evidence for the safety  
126 and effectiveness of n-LAB in lung transplantation recipients (LTR), based on the following n-LAB  
127 regimen; 25 mg 3 times per week up to day 60 post-transplantation, 25 mg once per week between

128 days 60 and 180 after transplantation, and 25 mg once every 2 weeks for life after transplantation. In  
129 2009 [25], they demonstrated that amphotericin B concentration remained high in the lower respiratory  
130 tract even two weeks after the administration of a 25 mg dose of n-LAB and was absorbed from the  
131 respiratory tract into serum in only 1 of 27 LTRs. Furthermore, the patient's respiratory function did  
132 not change after the initiation of n-LAB. Then in 2010 [7], compared with historical LTR controls  
133 who received ABD nebulization, they found that respiratory colonization of *Aspergillus* species,  
134 development of invasive aspergillosis, and incidence of bronchospasm were not significantly different  
135 among LTRs receiving n-LAB. Moreover, in a small but comparative study with and without the  
136 administration of n-LAB, they concluded that prophylactic n-LAB was not associated with changes in  
137 the lipid content of pulmonary surfactant [26]. Subsequently, non-comparative studies suggested that,  
138 although prolonged administration of n-LAB prophylaxis is tolerable and can be used to prevent  
139 *Aspergillus* infection in LTR, detections of *Aspergillus* species and non-*Aspergillus* mold with reduced  
140 susceptibility to amphotericin B increased [28,29]. In addition, the clinical utility of n-LAB among  
141 patients with hemato-oncological diseases was demonstrated by another research group [27].  
142 According to this case-control study, the administration of n-LAB (12.5mg twice weekly) successfully  
143 reduced invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, the total cost of treatment, and the need for the  
144 administration of systematic antifungal agents, without increased incidence of serious adverse events  
145 related to the inhalation, in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia, myelodysplastic syndrome, and  
146 chronic myeloid leukaemia.

147

### 148 **Description of Clinical Trials**

149 We found three clinical trials in past studies (**Table 3**): (i) a randomized, double-blind, placebo-  
150 controlled trial (phase III clinical trial) [33], (ii) a secondary analysis of the clinical trial [34], and (iii)  
151 a prospective case-control study (Phase II clinical trial) [35]. The well-designed, randomized  
152 controlled trial corroborated that n-LAB prophylaxis significantly reduced the incidence of invasive  
153 pulmonary aspergillosis in patients with prolonged neutropenia due to hemato-oncological diseases  
154 [33], without deteriorating their pulmonary function [34]. One clinical trial reported that 42% of  
155 patients found n-LAB prophylaxis to be unpleasant mainly because it induced coughing and had an  
156 unpleasant taste. However, 1-year survival rates were ameliorated among patients receiving n-LAB

157 prophylaxis [35]. There was no clinical trial aimed at evaluating the prophylactic effectiveness of n-  
158 LAB in LTR.

159

160

## 161 **Discussion**

162 We conducted a systematic scoping review to uncover clinical evidence regarding the performance of  
163 n-LAB therapy. n-LAB was reportedly effective in both therapeutic and prophylactic administration.  
164 Most of the case studies focused on the use of n-LAB as a topical treatment of respiratory fungal  
165 infections, such as invasive aspergillosis, allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, and non-  
166 *Aspergillus* mold infections. Despite a potential publication bias, n-LAB treatment appeared to have  
167 certain therapeutic effects without serious adverse effects. Of the 11 observational studies, 8 were  
168 single-centered, 5 of which focused on LTR. We found an accumulated evidence regarding the safety,  
169 tolerability, and effectiveness of n-LAB prophylaxis for LTR. Data for hemato-oncological patients  
170 are relatively scarce; however, a randomized controlled study (phase III clinical trial) clearly suggested  
171 the prophylactic effect of n-LAB against invasive pulmonary aspergillosis among such vulnerable  
172 populations [33]. A recent exploratory network meta-analysis suggested that n-LAB would be the most  
173 effective prophylactic approach in LTR [36]. However, we found that randomized controlled  
174 evaluations of the prophylactic effectiveness of n-LAB in LTR are lacking, which presents scope for  
175 further study. Furthermore, neither observational nor randomized controlled studies regarding its  
176 therapeutic efficacy have been performed. Future studies should focus on invasive aspergillosis and  
177 allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, considering the incidence and impact of the diseases.

178 Safety should be prioritized when considering further clinical indications of n-LAB.  
179 Generally, in the case of applying an injectable formulation as an inhalant, several precautions should  
180 be taken; (i) preservatives (nothing added), (ii) osmolality (being not hyperosmotic), (iii) power of  
181 hydrogen (pH being neutral), (iv) ionic composition (having as appropriate), and (v) toxicity to  
182 respiratory tissues or cells (ensuring treatment is not cytotoxic to the respiratory epithelial cells and  
183 alveoli). We found that the safety and tolerability of n-LAB have been studied in-depth in the past two  
184 decades. Studies have reported that although up to nearly 40% of patients receiving n-LAB complained  
185 of irritation [23,29,33,35], amphotericin B was not measurable in serum samples and respiratory

186 function was not adversely affected [25,34]. No serious adverse effect after n-LAB was reported;  
187 therefore, n-LAB therapy can be extensively applied clinically for both prophylactic and therapeutic  
188 purposes.

189 The inhalation regimen of n-LAB varied among the studies. Maximum dosages of n-LAB  
190 were 50 mg per dose, but most cases or studies administered 25 mg per dose of n-LAB. For  
191 prophylactic purposes, 12.5 mg per dose of n-LAB may be feasible. For treatment, patients received  
192 different dosages of n-LAB with frequencies ranging from twice daily to twice or thrice weekly. As  
193 reported, because amphotericin B can be retained in the respiratory tract at therapeutic concentration  
194 levels for at least two weeks [25], frequent administration would not be necessary. Future studies with  
195 more optimized designs should establish the appropriate regimen of n-LAB.

196 Presently, a nebulization technology for amphotericin B has been steadily improved. With  
197 an increased drug concentration at a local infectious site and reduced pharmaceutical toxicity,  
198 liposomalization has been corroborated to be a safe and applicable method for a wide range of  
199 antimicrobials [37, 38]. The feasibility and usefulness of inhaled liposomal antimicrobials for  
200 pulmonary infections are well summarized in a recent review article, in which amphotericin B, as well  
201 as several antibiotics such as tobramycin, amikacin, and ciprofloxacin, are reportedly productized [39].  
202 A carbohydrate-based amphotericin B dry powder inhaler formulation, in combination with  
203 cyclodextrin, leucine, and mannose in appropriate amounts, is recently introduced by Pablo et al.,  
204 which showed greater stability at ambient and refrigerated temperature, longer retention times  
205 following intratracheal *in vivo* administration, and an increased macrophage uptake [40]. Other  
206 researchers have developed inhalable proliposomal microparticles/nanoparticles of amphotericin B,  
207 with lung surfactant-mimic phospholipids [41]. They used the Handihaler® (Boehringer Ingelheim,  
208 Ingelheim, Germany), the FDA-approved dry powder device, which is thus easily applicable in clinical  
209 settings. Further investigations will seek the potential and development of the nebulizing method of  
210 amphotericin B, a promising, broad-spectrum antifungal agent.

211 The following limitations of this review should be addressed. We screened MEDLINE and  
212 EMBASE for the searching terms to thoroughly include relevant articles. However, we may have  
213 failed to identify some clinical data regarding the use of n-LAB among patients. n-LAB has been  
214 successfully administered to patients for both therapeutic and prophylactic purposes, but a publication



215 bias should be considered, particularly for case reports or case series. Only half of the observational  
216 studies had comparative groups, and there were only three clinical trials. This fact indicated the need  
217 to perform studies with more optimized designs in this subject, such as a prospective case-control  
218 study or randomized controlled study. Additionally, amount of the inhaled drugs actually conveyed to  
219 the lower respiratory tract depends on the nebulizing method used [42], which may heavily influence  
220 the safety and efficacy of n-LAB therapy. Despite these concerns, our review of the existing evidence  
221 on the efficacy of n-LAB will be beneficial to clinicians, particularly in the fields of lung  
222 transplantation and hemato-oncology.

223           Collectively, evidence of the safety and clinical effectiveness of n-LAB has been gradually  
224 accumulated since 2007. However, many are in the form of case reports, case series, or non-  
225 comparative observational studies. Future studies that can yield additional sufficient evidence are  
226 required to allow for the approval of n-LAB as an insurance treatment and have it incorporated into  
227 the recommended routine care of immunocompromised patients.

228

229

### 230 **Acknowledgements**

231 None

232 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest in association with the study.

233 **Funding:** None.

### 234 **Author contributions**

235 HH conceived the study, searched related literature, and drafted the manuscript. YN searched related  
236 literature, assessed the quality of the studies, and revised the manuscript. FO supervised the study.

237

- 239 1. Bongomin F, Gago S, Oladele RO, Denning DW. Global and Multi-National Prevalence of  
240 Fungal Diseases-Estimate Precision. *J Fungi (Basel)* 2017;3: 57.
- 241 2. Cavassin FB, Bau-Carneiro JL, Vilas-Boas RR, Queiroz-Telles F. Sixty years of  
242 Amphotericin B: An Overview of the Main Antifungal Agent Used to Treat Invasive Fungal  
243 Infections. *Infect Dis Ther* 2021;10: 115-147.
- 244 3. Dummer JS, Lazariashvili N, Barnes J, Ninan M, Milstone AP. A survey of anti-fungal  
245 management in lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2004;23: 1376-1381.
- 246 4. Husain S, Zaldonis D, Kusne S, Kwak EJ, Paterson DL, McCurry KR. Variation in antifungal  
247 prophylaxis strategies in lung transplantation. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2006;8: 213-218.
- 248 5. Drew RH, Dodds Ashley E, Benjamin DK, Jr., Duane Davis R, Palmer SM, Perfect JR.  
249 Comparative safety of amphotericin B lipid complex and amphotericin B deoxycholate as  
250 aerosolized antifungal prophylaxis in lung-transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2004;77:  
251 232-237.
- 252 6. Mohammad RA, Klein KC. Inhaled amphotericin B for prophylaxis against invasive  
253 *Aspergillus* infections. *Ann Pharmacother* 2006;40: 2148-2154.
- 254 7. Monforte V, Ussetti P, Gavaldà J, Bravo C, Laporta R, Len O, et al. Feasibility, tolerability,  
255 and outcomes of nebulized liposomal amphotericin B for *Aspergillus* infection prevention in  
256 lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2010;29: 523-530.
- 257 8. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension  
258 for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med* 2018;169:  
259 467-473.
- 260 9. McGowan J, Straus S, Moher D, Langlois EV, O'Brien KK, Horsley T, et al. Reporting  
261 scoping reviews-PRISMA ScR extension. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2020;123: 177-179.
- 262 10. Castagnola E, Moresco L, Cappelli B, Cuzzubbo D, Moroni C, Lanino E, et al. Nebulized  
263 liposomal amphotericin B and combined systemic antifungal therapy for the treatment of  
264 severe pulmonary aspergillosis after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant for a fatal  
265 mitochondrial disorder. *J Chemother* 2007;19: 339-342.

- 266 11. Ramos A, Ussetti P, Laporta R, Lázaro MT, Sánchez-Romero I. Cutaneous aspergillosis in a  
267 lung transplant recipient. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2009;11: 471-473.
- 268 12. García-Gallo CL, García-Fadul C, Laporta-Hernández R, Ussetti-Gil P. *Aspergillus*  
269 tracheobronchitis in a lung transplant recipient. *Rev Iberoam Micol* 2011;28: 129-133.
- 270 13. Hanada S, Uruga H, Takaya H, Miyamoto A, Morokawa N, Kurosaki A, et al. Nebulized  
271 liposomal amphotericin B for treating *Aspergillus empyema* with bronchopleural fistula. *Am*  
272 *J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014;189: 607-608.
- 273 14. Casciaro R, Naselli A, Cresta F, Ros M, Castagnola E, Minicucci L. Role of nebulized  
274 amphotericin B in the management of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis in cystic  
275 fibrosis: Case report and review of literature. *J Chemother* 2015;27: 307-311.
- 276 15. Godet C, Goudet V, Laurent F, Le Moal G, Gounant V, Frat JP, et al. Nebulised liposomal  
277 amphotericin B for *Aspergillus* lung diseases: case series and literature review. *Mycoses*  
278 2015;58: 173-180.
- 279 16. Qiu Y, Lu D, Zhang J, Zhong X, Liu G, Li B. Treatment of Disseminated *Talaromyces*  
280 *marneffei* with Tracheal Infection: Two Case Reports. *Mycopathologia* 2015;180: 245-249.
- 281 17. Schoeppler KE, Zamora MR, Northcutt NM, Barber GR, O'Malley-Schroeder G, Lyu DM.  
282 Invasive *Microascus trigonosporus* Species Complex Pulmonary Infection in a Lung  
283 Transplant Recipient. *Case Rep Transplant* 2015;2015: 745638.
- 284 18. Godet C, Cateau E, Rammaert B, Grosset M, Le Moal G, Béraud G, et al. Nebulized  
285 Liposomal Amphotericin B for Treatment of Pulmonary Infection Caused by  
286 *Hormographiella aspergillata*: Case Report and Literature Review. *Mycopathologia* 2017;182:  
287 709-713.
- 288 19. Garcia M, Le Moal G, Cadranel J, Roblot F, Godet C. Efficacy of nebulized liposomal  
289 amphotericin B in the treatment of ABPA in an HIV/HBV co-infected man: Case report and  
290 literature review. *J Asthma* 2019;56: 84-86.
- 291 20. Basile G, Cristofaro G, Locatello LG, Vellere I, Piccica M, Bresci S, et al. Refractory  
292 mucocutaneous leishmaniasis resolved with combination treatment based on intravenous  
293 pentamidine, oral azole, aerosolized liposomal amphotericin B, and intralesional meglumine  
294 antimoniate. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020;97: 204-207.

- 295 21. Hamada N, Ishiga M, Tanaka S, Ooue Y, Itano J, Tanaka H, et al. Successful Treatment of  
296 Antifungal Combination Therapy with Inhaled Liposomal Amphotericin B and Oral  
297 Voriconazole for Intractable Chronic Progressive Pulmonary Aspergillosis. *Intern Med*  
298 2021;60: 2465-2468.
- 299 22. Trujillo H, Fernández-Ruiz M, Gutiérrez E, Sevillano Á, Caravaca-Fontán F, Morales E, et  
300 al. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis associated with COVID-19 in a kidney transplant  
301 recipient. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2021;23: e13501.
- 302 23. Lowry CM, Marty FM, Vargas SO, Lee JT, Fiumara K, Deykin A, et al. Safety of aerosolized  
303 liposomal versus deoxycholate amphotericin B formulations for prevention of invasive fungal  
304 infections following lung transplantation: a retrospective study. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2007;9:  
305 121-125.
- 306 24. SanMartín E, Morales P, Monte E, Vicente R. A Comparision of Several Formats of  
307 Amphotericin B as an Inhaled Antifungal Prophylaxis. *Transplant Proc* 2009;41: 2225-2226.
- 308 25. Monforte V, Ussetti P, López R, Gavaldà J, Bravo C, de Pablo A, et al. Nebulized liposomal  
309 amphotericin B prophylaxis for *Aspergillus* infection in lung transplantation:  
310 pharmacokinetics and safety. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009;28: 170-175.
- 311 26. Monforte V, López-Sánchez A, Zurbano F, Ussetti P, Solé A, Casals C, et al. Prophylaxis  
312 with nebulized liposomal amphotericin B for *Aspergillus* infection in lung transplant patients  
313 does not cause changes in the lipid content of pulmonary surfactant. *J Heart Lung Transplant*  
314 2013;32: 313-319.
- 315 27. Chong GL, Broekman F, Polinder S, Doorduijn JK, Lugtenburg PJ, Verbon A, et al.  
316 Aerosolised liposomal amphotericin B to prevent aspergillosis in acute Myeloid Leukaemia:  
317 Efficacy and cost effectiveness in real-life. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2015;46: 82-87.
- 318 28. Peghin M, Monforte V, Martin-Gomez MT, Ruiz-Camps I, Berastegui C, Saez B, et al.  
319 Epidemiology of invasive respiratory disease caused by emerging non-*Aspergillus* molds in  
320 lung transplant recipients. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2016;18: 70-78.
- 321 29. Peghin M, Monforte V, Martin-Gomez MT, Ruiz-Camps I, Berastegui C, Saez B, et al. 10  
322 years of prophylaxis with nebulized liposomal amphotericin B and the changing

- 323 epidemiology of *Aspergillus* spp. infection in lung transplantation. *Transpl Int* 2016;29: 51-  
324 62.
- 325 30. Linder KA, Kauffman CA, Patel TS, Fitzgerald LJ, Richards BJ, Miceli MH. Evaluation of  
326 targeted versus universal prophylaxis for the prevention of invasive fungal infections  
327 following lung transplantation. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2021;23: e13448.
- 328 31. Van Ackerbroeck S, Rutsaert L, Roelant E, Dillen K, Wauters J, Van Regenmortel N. Inhaled  
329 liposomal amphotericin-B as a prophylactic treatment for COVID-19-associated pulmonary  
330 aspergillosis/aspergillus tracheobronchitis. *Crit Care* 2021;25: 298.
- 331 32. Malagola M, Turra A, Signorini L, Corbellini S, Polverelli N, Masina L, et al. Results of an  
332 Innovative Program for Surveillance, Prophylaxis, and Treatment of Infectious Complications  
333 Following Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation in Hematological Malignancies (BATMO  
334 Protocol). *Front Oncol* 2022;12: 874117.
- 335 33. Rijnders BJ, Cornelissen JJ, Slobbe L, Becker MJ, Doorduijn JK, Hop WC, et al. Aerosolized  
336 liposomal amphotericin B for the prevention of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis during  
337 prolonged neutropenia: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008;46: 1401-  
338 1408.
- 339 34. Slobbe L, Boersma E, Rijnders BJ. Tolerability of prophylactic aerosolized liposomal  
340 amphotericin-B and impact on pulmonary function: data from a randomized placebo-  
341 controlled trial. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2008;21: 855-859.
- 342 35. Hullard-Pulstinger A, Holler E, Hahn J, Andreesen R, Krause SW. Prophylactic application  
343 of nebulized liposomal amphotericin B in hematologic patients with neutropenia. *Onkologie*  
344 2011;34: 254-258.
- 345 36. Marinelli T, Davoudi S, Foroutan F, Orchanian-Cheff A, Husain S. Antifungal prophylaxis  
346 in adult lung transplant recipients: Uncertainty despite 30 years of experience. A systematic  
347 review of the literature and network meta-analysis. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2022;24: e13832.
- 348 37. Karlowsky JA, Zhanel GG. Concepts on the use of liposomal antimicrobial agents:  
349 applications for aminoglycosides. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1992;15:654–67.
- 350 38. Alipour M, Suntres ZE. Liposomal antibiotic formulations for targeting the lungs in the  
351 treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Therap Deliv*. 2014;5:409–27.

- 352 39. Bassetti M, Vena A, Russo A, Peghin M. Inhaled Liposomal Antimicrobial Delivery in Lung  
353 Infections. *Drugs*. 2020;80:1309-1318.
- 354 40. de Pablo E, O'Connell P, Fernández-García R, Marchand S, Chauzy A, Tewes F, et al.  
355 Targeting lung macrophages for fungal and parasitic pulmonary infections with innovative  
356 amphotericin B dry powder inhalers. *Int J Pharm*. 2023;635:122788.
- 357 41. Gomez AI, Acosta MF, Muralidharan P, Yuan JX, Black SM, Hayes D Jr, et al. Advanced  
358 spray dried proliposomes of amphotericin B lung surfactant-mimic phospholipid  
359 microparticles/nanoparticles as dry powder inhalers for targeted pulmonary drug delivery.  
360 *Pulm Pharmacol Ther*. 2020;64:101975.
- 361 42. Kothari Sagar, Kefalos Steven, Hages Nicholas, Corcoran Timothy, Husain Shahid.  
362 Preclinical Studies of the Nebulized Delivery of Liposomal Amphotericin B. *J Aerosol Med*  
363 *Pulm Drug Deliv* 2022;35:307-312.
- 364
- 365

