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#### Abstract

This is a remark paper for the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure and the associated flow on the torus which are constructed in [1] by the limit of the stationary processes of the stochastic quantization equations of approximation measures. We improve the integrability of the state space of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-process and the support of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure. For the improvement, we improve the estimates of the Hölder continuity in time of the solutions to approximation equations. In the present paper, we only discuss the estimates different from those in [1].


## 1. Introduction

Recently by the new theories such as regularity structure [9] and paracontrolled calculus [8], singular nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations became solvable via renormalization. In particular, the singular stochastic partial differential equations associated to stochastic quantization of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure are solved (see [1], [5], [6], [7], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14] and [15]). Moreover, the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure is able to be constructed from the stochastic quantization equations (see [1], [3], [4], [7] and [13]). For the detail of the history and background of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure and stochastic quantization, see the introduction of [1].

In [1], we considered the probability measures which approximate the $\Phi_{3}^{4}-$ measure, and the stochastic quantization equations associated to them, and provided the stationary solutions to the approximating stochastic quantization equations. By proving the tightness of the stationary solutions we obtain the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-process as a limit. Moreover, we constructed the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure as a limit of the marginal distributions. Here, note that the approximation sequence of the marginal distributions is an approximation of the (formally defined) $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure. The most remarkable advantage of considering the stationary solutions is that we are able to construct the time-global limit

[^0]process and the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure directly. This is a difference between [1] and the earlier result [13]. We remark that there is another delicate difference between [1] and [13]. In [1], we first prepare the probability measures $\left\{\mu_{N}\right\}$ approximating the (formally defined) $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure, and consider the stochastic partial differential equations associated to the stochastic quantization of $\left\{\mu_{N}\right\}$. On the other hand, in [13], they first consider the stochastic quantization equation associated to the (formally defined) $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure and show the existence of the global solution to the stochastic quantization equation by approximation. So, between the arguments of [1] and [13] there is a difference on the order of the two operations: approximation and stochastic quantization. This makes a delicate difference in the concerned stochastic partial differential equations. Indeed, approximation operators appear in the stochastic quantization equation in the case of [1] (see Eq. (4.1) in [1]). Because of the difference, we only have an energy functional with square and fourth-power integrals in [1], while the $p$ th-power integrability of energy functionals is obtained for all $p \in[1, \infty)$ in [13]. Hence, we have some restriction on the integrability of the function spaces in the argument of [1].

In the present paper, we improve the integrability of the state space of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-process and the support of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure obtained by [1]. We will show the tightness of the approximating processes in smaller Besov spaces by improving the estimates of the Hölder continuity in time (see Proposition 3.4) and the estimate uniform in time (see Proposition 3.5). They enable us to improve the main estimate in [1] (see Theorem 3.6) and by using the estimate and the Besov embedding theorem we obtain the better integrability of the state space $B_{12 / 5}^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon}$ for the limit process and the support $B_{\infty}^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon}$ of our $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure (see Theorem 3.7). We remark that in the setting of [13], which is different from our setting as mentioned above, much more integrability for the state space of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-process is obtained. On the other hand, the supports of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measures obtained here and obtained in [13] are the same.

We also remark that the state space of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-process and the support of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure obtained in the present paper are different. Note that null sets of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure can be ignored in the support of the measure, but cannot in the state space of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-process. Only polar sets can be ignored in the state space of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-process. Moreover, generally polar sets of processes are smaller than null sets of the invariant measures. Hence, such a difference naturally appears in the main theorem (see Theorem 3.7).

The organization of the present paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall the notation and setting of [1]. In Section 3 we consider the improvement of the integrability. To do it, we give some estimates better than those in
[1]. We only discuss the different parts of the argument in [1] and show the main theorem (Theorem 3.7).

## 2. Preparation

In this section we recall the notation and setting of [1]. Let $\Lambda$ be the threedimensional torus given by $(\mathbb{R} /(2 \pi \mathbb{Z}))^{3}$. Let $L^{p}$ and $W^{s, p}$ be the $p$ th-order integrable function space and the Sobolev space respectively, with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\Lambda$, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in[1, \infty]$. Denote by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the inner product on $L^{2}(\Lambda ; \mathbb{C})$. Let $\left\{e_{k} ; k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}\right\}$ be the Fourier basis on $L^{2}(\Lambda ; \mathbb{C})$ and $k^{2}:=\sum_{j=1}^{3} k_{j}^{2}$ for $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$.

To define approximation operators on $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Lambda)$ (the space of distributions on $\Lambda$ ), let $\psi^{(1)}$ be a nonincreasing $C^{\infty}$-function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\psi^{(1)}(r)=1$ for $r \in[0,1]$ and $\psi^{(1)}(r)=0$ for $r \in[2, \infty)$, and let $\psi^{(2)}$ be a nonincreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\psi^{(2)}(r)=1$ for $r \in[0,2]$ and $\psi^{(2)}(r)=0$ for $r \in$ $[4, \infty)$. We remark that $\psi^{(2)}$ is not necessary continuous. For $N \in \mathbb{N}, i=1,2$ and $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$, denote $\psi^{(i)}\left(2^{-N}\left|k_{1}\right|\right) \psi^{(i)}\left(2^{-N}\left|k_{2}\right|\right) \psi^{(i)}\left(2^{-N}\left|k_{3}\right|\right)$ by $\psi_{N}^{(i), \otimes 3}(k)$, and define $P_{N}^{(i)}$ by the mapping from $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Lambda)$ to $C^{\infty}(\Lambda)$ given by

$$
P_{N}^{(i)} f:=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}} \psi_{N}^{(i), \otimes 3}(k)\left\langle f, e_{k}\right\rangle e_{k}
$$

Let $\mu_{0}$ be the centered Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Lambda)$ with the covariance operator $\left[2\left(-\triangle+m_{0}^{2}\right)\right]^{-1}$ where $\triangle$ is the Laplacian on $\Lambda$ and $m_{0}>0$, and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}^{(N)}:=\frac{1}{2(2 \pi)^{3}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}} \frac{\left(\psi_{N}^{(1), \otimes 3}(k)\right)^{2}}{k^{2}+m_{0}^{2}}, \\
& C_{2}^{(N)}:=\frac{1}{2(2 \pi)^{6}} \sum_{l_{1}, l_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}} \frac{\left(\psi_{N}^{(1), \otimes 3}\left(l_{1}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\psi_{N}^{(1), \otimes 3}\left(l_{2}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\psi_{N}^{(1), \otimes 3}\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(l_{1}^{2}+m_{0}^{2}\right)\left(l_{2}^{2}+m_{0}^{2}\right)\left(l_{1}^{2}+l_{2}^{2}+\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)^{2}+3 m_{0}^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The constants $C_{1}^{(N)}$ and $C_{2}^{(N)}$ are renormalization constants, and satisfy $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} C_{1}^{(N)}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} C_{2}^{(N)}=\infty$. Let $\lambda_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right]$ be fixed. Define a function $U_{N}$ on $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Lambda)$ by

$$
U_{N}(\phi)=\int_{\Lambda}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{4}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} \phi\right)(x)^{4}-\frac{3 \lambda}{2}\left(C_{1}^{(N)}-3 \lambda C_{2}^{(N)}\right)\left(P_{N}^{(1)} \phi\right)(x)^{2}\right\} d x
$$

and consider the probability measure $\mu_{N}$ on $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Lambda)$ given by

$$
\mu_{N}(d \phi)=A_{N}^{-1} \exp \left(-U_{N}(\phi)\right) \mu_{0}(d \phi)
$$

where $A_{N}$ is the normalizing constant. We remark that $\left\{\mu_{N}\right\}$ is an approximation sequence for the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure which will be constructed below as a stationary probability measure of the flow associated with the stochastic quantization equation.

Letting $\dot{W}_{t}(x)$ be a Gaussian white noise with parameter $(t, x) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times$ $\Lambda$, we consider the stochastic partial differential equation on $\Lambda$

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \tilde{X}_{t}^{N}(x)= & \dot{W}_{t}(x)-\left(-\triangle+m_{0}^{2}\right) \tilde{X}_{t}^{N}(x)  \tag{2.1}\\
& -\lambda P_{N}^{(1)}\left\{\left(P_{N}^{(1)} \tilde{X}_{t}^{N}\right)^{3}(x)\right. \\
\tilde{X}_{0}^{N}(x)= & \left.\xi_{N}(x) \quad-3\left(C_{1}^{(N)}-3 \lambda C_{2}^{(N)}\right) P_{N}^{(1)} \tilde{X}_{t}^{N}(x)\right\},
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\xi_{N}$ is an initial value which has $\mu_{N}$ as its law and is independent of $\dot{W}_{t}$. Then, $\tilde{X}^{N}$ is a stationary process (see Theorem 4.1 of [1]). Supplementary we prepare $Z_{t}$ defined by the solution to the stochastic partial differential equation on $\Lambda$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} Z_{t}(x) & =\dot{W}_{t}(x)-\left(-\triangle+m_{0}^{2}\right) Z_{t}(x), & & (, x) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times \Lambda,  \tag{2.2}\\
Z_{0}(x) & =\zeta(x), & & x \in \Lambda
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\zeta$ is a random variable which has $\mu_{0}$ as its law and is independent of $\left\{\dot{W}_{t} ; t \in[0, \infty)\right\}$. We choose a pair of the initial values $\left(\xi_{N}, \zeta\right)$ so that the paired process ( $\tilde{X}^{N}, Z$ ) is a stationary process. For the existence of such a pair, see Section 4 of [1].

Next we prepare notation of Besov spaces and paraproducts. Let $\chi$ and $\varphi$ be functions in $C^{\infty}([0, \infty) ;[0,1])$ such that the supports of $\chi$ and $\varphi$ are included by $[0,4 / 3)$ and $[3 / 4,8 / 3]$ respectively, and that

$$
\chi(r)+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi\left(2^{-j} r\right)=1, \quad r \in[0, \infty) .
$$

Then, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi\left(2^{-j} r\right) \varphi\left(2^{-k} r\right)=0, \quad r \in[0, \infty), j, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} \text { such that }|j-k| \geq 2, \\
& \chi(r) \varphi\left(2^{-j} r\right)=0, \quad r \in[0, \infty), \quad j \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ be the Schwartz space and the space of tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, respectively. For $f \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Lambda)$, we can define the periodic extension $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. By this extension, we define the (Littlewood-Paley)
nonhomogeneous dyadic blocks $\left\{\Delta_{j} ; j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{-1,0\}\right\}$ by setting

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta_{-1} f(x)=\left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(|\cdot|) \mathcal{F} \widetilde{f})\right](x), & x \in \Lambda, \\
\Delta_{j} f(x)=\left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(2^{-j}|\cdot|\right) \mathcal{F} \widetilde{f}\right)\right](x), & x \in \Lambda, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ are the Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform operators on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We remark that

$$
\Delta_{-1} f=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}} \chi(|k|)\left\langle f, e_{k}\right\rangle e_{k}, \quad \Delta_{j} f=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}} \varphi\left(2^{-j}|k|\right)\left\langle f, e_{k}\right\rangle e_{k}
$$

hold for $f \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Lambda)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. We define the Besov norm $\|\cdot\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}$ and the Besov space $B_{p, r}^{s}$ on $\Lambda$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p, r \in[1, \infty]$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}} & := \begin{cases}\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} 2^{j s r}\left\|\Delta_{j} f\right\|_{L^{p}}^{r}\right)^{1 / r}, & r \in[1, \infty), \\
\sup _{j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{-1,0\}} 2^{j s}\left\|\Delta_{j} f\right\|_{L^{p}}, & r=\infty,\end{cases} \\
B_{p, r}^{s} & :=\left\{f \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Lambda) ;\|f\|_{B_{p, r}^{s}}<\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For simplicity of notation, we denote $B_{p, \infty}^{s}$ by $B_{p}^{s}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in[1, \infty]$. Let

$$
S_{j} f:=\sum_{k=-1}^{j-1} \Delta_{k} f, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}
$$

For simplicity of notation, let $\Delta_{-2} f:=0$ and $S_{-1} f:=0$. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \circledast g:=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(S_{j} f\right) \Delta_{j+1} g, \quad f \circledast g:=g \odot f \\
& f \circledast g:=\sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \Delta_{j} f\left(\Delta_{j-1} g+\Delta_{j} g+\Delta_{j+1} g\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definitions of $\left\{\Delta_{j}\right\},\left\{S_{j}\right\}, \odot, \Theta$, and $\Theta$, we have

$$
f g=f \odot g+f \ominus g+f \ominus g
$$

Let $f \circledast g:=f \odot g+f \circledast g$ and $f \circledast g:=f \circledast g+f \circledast g$. For the properties of Besov spaces and paraproducts, see Section 2 in [1] or [2]. We also remark that $P_{N}^{(1)}$ is a bounded operator on $B_{p}^{s}$ for $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and moreover, sufficiently good for commutator estimates with paraproducts (see Section 2 of [1]).

Now we prepare notation of the polynomials of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(1, N)} & :=P_{N}^{(1)} Z_{t} \\
\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)} & :=\left(P_{N}^{(1)} Z_{t}\right)^{2}-C_{1}^{(N)}, \\
\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(3, N)} & :=\left(P_{N}^{(1)} Z_{t}\right)^{3}-3 C_{1}^{(N)} P_{N}^{(1)} Z_{t} \\
\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,2, N)} & :=\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(t-s)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)} P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(2, N)} d s \\
\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)} & :=\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(t-s)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)} P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(3, N)} d s \\
\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2,2, N)} & :=\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)} \Theta P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,2, N)}-C_{2}^{(N)} \\
\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2,3, N)} & :=\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)} \Theta P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}-3 C_{2}^{(N)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(1, N)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in(-\infty, \infty)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote $P_{N}^{(2)} \tilde{X}^{N}$ by $X^{N}$. To show the tightness of the laws of $\left\{X^{N}\right\}$, by using these notations we transform (2.1) for a better equation. In the present paper, we omit the detail of the transformation and just write the result of the transformation. Consider the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{t}^{N,(2)}:=P_{N}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{N}-Z_{t}\right)+\lambda \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)} \\
& X_{t}^{N,(2),<}:=-3 \lambda \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)} P_{N}^{(1)} \\
& \quad\left[\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{s}^{N,(2)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \odot \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(2, N)}\right] d s \\
& X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}:=X_{t}^{N,(2)}-X_{t}^{N,(2),<} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left(X_{0}^{N,(2),<}, X_{0}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right)=\left(0, X_{0}^{N,(2)}\right)=\left(0, P_{N}^{(2)}\left(\xi_{N}-\zeta\right)+\lambda \mathcal{Z}_{0}^{(0,3, N)}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{t}^{(1)}(w):= \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)}\right)^{2}\left[\left(w_{s}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \odot \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(2, N)}\right] d s \\
&-\left(w_{t}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \odot \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(2, N)} d s \\
& \Psi_{t}^{(2)}(w):= {\left[\left(w_{t}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \odot \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(2, N)} d s\right] } \\
& \Theta \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)} \\
&-\left(w_{t}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \\
& \times\left[\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(2, N)} d s \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{t}^{(1)}(w):= & -3\left(\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(1, N)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \circledast w_{t}^{2} \\
& +3 \lambda\left[\left(2 \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(1, N)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right) P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right] \circledast w_{t} \\
\Phi_{t}^{(2)}(w):= & -3\left(w_{t}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \ominus \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)}+3 \lambda \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2,3, N)} \\
+ & 9 \lambda\left(w_{t}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \\
& \times\left(\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2,2, N)}-\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)} \ominus \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{(t-s)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(2, N)} d s\right) \\
- & \lambda^{2}\left(3 \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(1, N)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right)\left(P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right)^{2} \\
\Phi_{t}^{(3)}(w):=- & 3\left(\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(1, N)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \ominus w_{t}^{2} \\
& +3 \lambda\left[\left(2 \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(1, N)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right) P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right] \ominus w_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, in view of the argument in Section 4 of [1], the pair $\left(X_{t}^{N,(2),<}, X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right)$ satisfies the coupled partial differential equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\partial_{t}-\triangle+m_{0}^{2}\right) X_{t}^{N,(2),<} \\
=-3 \lambda P_{N}^{(1)}\left[\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2),<}+P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right)\right. \\
\left(\partial_{t}-\triangle+\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)}\right],  \tag{2.3}\\
= \\
\quad-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)}\left[\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}+P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right)^{3}\right] \\
\quad+\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \Phi_{t}^{(1)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2),<}+P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2), \geqslant)}\right) \\
\quad+\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \Phi_{t}^{(2)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2),<}+P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2), \geqslant)}\right) \\
\quad+\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \Phi_{t}^{(3)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2),<}+P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right) \\
\quad-3 \lambda P_{N}^{(1)}\left[\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right) \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)}\right] \\
\quad+9 \lambda^{2} P_{N}^{(1)}\left[\Psi_{t}^{(1)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2),<}+P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right) \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)}\right] \\
\quad+9 \lambda^{2} P_{N}^{(1)} \Psi_{t}^{(2)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2),<}+P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By showing the tightness of the laws of $X_{t}^{N,(2)}=X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}+X_{t}^{N,(2),<}$, we will obtain the tightness of the laws of $X_{t}^{N}$.

Remark. Some typos in [1] are corrected in (2.3). Precisely, in [1], $P_{N}^{(1)}$ of $P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}$ is dropped in the equation corresponding to (2.3) and also in the coefficients $\Psi^{(i)}$ and $\Phi^{(i)}$.

For estimates we prepare the following. For $\eta \in[0,1), \gamma \in(0,1 / 4)$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$ define $\mathfrak{X}_{\lambda, \eta, \gamma}^{N}(t)$ and $\mathfrak{Y}_{\varepsilon}^{N}(t)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{X}_{\lambda, \eta, \gamma}^{N}(t):= & \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\nabla X_{s}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|X_{s}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\lambda\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{s}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{4}\right) d s \\
& +\sup _{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in[0, t] ; s^{\prime}<t^{\prime}} \frac{\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{\eta}\left\|X_{t^{\prime}}^{N,(2)}-X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}}}{\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}}, \\
\mathfrak{Y}_{\varepsilon}^{N}(t):= & \int_{0}^{t}\left\|X_{s}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{B_{4}^{1-\varepsilon}}^{3} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|X_{s}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{1+\varepsilon}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

To simplify the notation, we denote by $Q$ a positive polynomial built with the following quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(1, N)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-(1+\varepsilon) / 2}}, \quad \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|P_{N}^{(2)} Z_{t}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-(1+\varepsilon) / 2}}, \\
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-1-\varepsilon / 24}}, \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2,2, N)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-\varepsilon / 4}} \\
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,2, N)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{1-\varepsilon / 2}}, \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{1 / 2-\varepsilon / 4}}, \\
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2,3, N)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-(1+\varepsilon) / 2}}, \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(1, N)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right)\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-(1+\varepsilon) / 2}},  \tag{2.4}\\
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(1, N)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}\right)^{2}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-(1+\varepsilon) / 2}} \\
& \text { and } \sup _{s, t \in[0, T] ; s<t} \frac{\left\|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(0,3, N)}-\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{(0,3, N)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}}{(t-s)^{\gamma}} \text {, }
\end{align*}
$$

with coefficients depending on $\lambda_{0}, \varepsilon, \eta, \gamma$ and $T$, and we also denote by $C$ a positive constant depending on $\lambda_{0}, \varepsilon, \eta, \gamma$ and $T$. We remark that $Q$ and $C$ can be different from line to line. A constant depending on an extra parameter $\delta$ is denoted by $C_{\delta}$. As in Section 3 of [1], we have the square integrability of those in (2.4) with respect to the probability measure. In view of this fact and hypercontractivity of Gaussian random variables, any polynomial consists of the elements in (2.4) are integrable with respect to the probability measure, i.e. $E[Q] \leq C$.

## 3. Improvement of integrability

Let $\alpha \in[0,1 / 2)$ and choose $\varepsilon \in(0,1 / 16], \gamma \in(0,1 / 8)$ and $\eta \in(1 / 2,1)$ such that $4 \varepsilon<\gamma, \eta>\alpha+2 \gamma$ and $2 \alpha+4 \gamma+\varepsilon<1$. In the present paper, we only see the difference from [1] and omit the argument of the parts which are the same as those in [1].

We prepare some lemmas for estimates of the terms in (2.3), which are different versions of estimates in [1].

Lemma 3.1. Let $p \in[1,2], s, t \in[0, T]$ and $\delta \in(0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left\|\Psi_{u}^{(1)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right) \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(2, N)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{\varepsilon}} d u \\
& \leq \delta \sup _{u, v \in[0, t] ; u<v} \frac{u^{\eta}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}-P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}}{(v-u)^{\gamma}} \\
& \quad+C \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{2}^{15 / 16}}^{2}+\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{4}\right)^{7 / 8} d u+C_{\delta} Q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Applying Lemmas 4.3 and 2.3 in [1] and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left\|\Psi_{u}^{(1)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right) \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(2, N)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{\varepsilon}} d u \\
& \leq Q \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left(\int_{0}^{u}(u-v)^{-21 / 32}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{15 / 16}} d v\right) d u \\
& \quad+Q \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left(\sup _{r \in[0, u)} \frac{r^{\eta}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-P_{N}^{(1)} X_{r}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}}{(u-r)^{\gamma}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times\left(\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{1 / 2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{u} v^{-\eta / 2}(u-v)^{\gamma / 2-1-3 \varepsilon / 2}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{1 / 2} d v\right) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+Q
$$

$$
\leq Q \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left(\int_{0}^{u}(u-v)^{-21 / 32}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{15 / 16}} d v\right) d u
$$

$$
+Q\left(\sup _{r, u \in[0, t] ; r<u} \frac{r^{\eta}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-P_{N}^{(1)} X_{r}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}}{(u-r)^{\gamma}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

$$
\times\left[\int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{1 / 2} d u\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left(\int_{0}^{u} v^{-\eta / 2}(u-v)^{\gamma / 2-1-3 \varepsilon / 2}\right. \\
& \times\left.\left.\times\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{1 / 2} d v\right) d u\right] \\
& \leq Q \int_{0}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma+11 / 32}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{15 / 16}} d v \\
&+Q\left(\sup _{r, u \in[0, t] r<u} \frac{r^{\eta}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-P_{N}^{(1)} X_{r}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}}{(u-r)^{\gamma}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left[\int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{1 / 2} d u\right. \\
&+Q\left.+\int_{0}^{t} v^{-\eta / 2}(t-v)^{-(\alpha+\gamma+3 \varepsilon) / 2}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{1 / 2} d v\right] \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{15 / 16}}^{7 / 4} d v \\
&+Q\left(\sup _{r, u \in[0, t ; r<u} \frac{r^{\eta}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-P_{N}^{(1)} X_{r}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}}{(u-r)^{\gamma}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left[\left(\int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha-2 \gamma} d u\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{s}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}} d u\right)^{1 / 2}\right. \\
&\left.+\left(\int_{0}^{t} v^{-\eta}(t-v)^{-(\alpha+\gamma+3 \varepsilon)} d v\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}} d v\right)^{1 / 2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, noting that $2 \alpha+4 \gamma+\varepsilon<1$ and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{0}^{t} v^{-\eta}(t-v)^{-(\alpha+\gamma+3 \varepsilon)} d v\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}} d v\right) \\
& \leq C t^{1-\eta-(\alpha+\gamma+3 \varepsilon)} \cdot t^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{2} d v\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{2} d v\right)^{1 / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left\|\Psi_{u}^{(1)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right) \ominus \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(2, N)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{\varepsilon}} d u \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{15 / 16}}^{7 / 4} d v \\
& \quad+Q\left(\sup _{r, u \in[0, t] ; r<u} \frac{r^{\eta}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-P_{N}^{(1)} X_{r}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}}{(u-r)^{\gamma}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{2} d v\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{15 / 16}}^{7 / 4} d v \\
& \quad+\delta \sup _{r, u \in[0, t] ; r<u}^{r^{\eta}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-P_{N}^{(1)} X_{r}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}} \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{2} d v\right)^{7 / 4}+C_{\delta} Q .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality and Hölder's inequality yield the assertion.
Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 below improve Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9 of [1], respectively. These improvements enable us to find the smaller state space and support of the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-process and the $\Phi_{3}^{4}$-measure, respectively.

Lemma 3.2. For $p \in[1,2], t \in[0, T]$ and $\delta \in(0,1]$,

$$
\left\|\Phi_{t}^{(3)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right)\right\|_{B_{p}^{-(1+\varepsilon) / 2}} \leq \delta\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{4}+\delta^{-1} Q .
$$

Proof. Estimates of the paraproducts (see Proposition 2.1 (ii) in [1]) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi_{t}^{(3)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right)\right\|_{B_{p}^{-(1+\varepsilon) / 2}} & \leq Q\left(\left\|\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2)}\right)^{2}\right\|_{L^{p}}+\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}\right) \\
& \leq Q\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{2}+Q \\
& \leq \delta\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{4}+\delta^{-1} Q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have the inequality.
Lemma 3.3. For $p \in[1,2], t \in[0, T]$ and $\delta \in(0,1]$,

$$
\left\|\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right) \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{\varepsilon / 8}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq \delta(\| & \nabla X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\left\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\right\| P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2)} \|_{L^{4}}^{4} \\
& +\delta\left\|X_{t}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{2}+\delta\left\|X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{p}^{1+\varepsilon}}+\delta^{-2} Q
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. An estimates of the resonance term (see Proposition 2.1 (iv) in [1]) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right) \Theta \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)}\right\|_{B_{p}^{\varepsilon / 8}} \\
& \leq C\left\|\mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-1-\varepsilon / 8}}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{p}^{1+\varepsilon / 4}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

By the interpolation inequality of Besov spaces (see Proposition 2.1 (vii) in [1]) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{p}^{1+\varepsilon / 4}} \\
& \leq\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{p}^{1-\varepsilon / 8}}^{2 / 3}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{p}^{1+\varepsilon}}^{1 / 3}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \leq \delta\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{p}^{1-\varepsilon / 8}}^{2}+C \delta^{-1 / 2}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{p}^{1+\varepsilon}}^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{p}^{1-\varepsilon / 8}}^{2} \leq C\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{W^{1-\varepsilon / 8, p}}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}+\left\|\nabla P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{L^{p}}\right)^{2}+C\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| & \left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right) \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{t}^{(2, N)} \|_{B_{p}^{\varepsilon / 8}} \\
\leq & \delta Q\left[\left(\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}+\left\|\nabla P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{L^{p}}\right)^{2}+\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{2}\right] \\
& +\delta^{-1 / 2} Q\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{p}^{1 / \varepsilon}}^{1 / 2}+Q \\
\leq & \delta Q\left(\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{4}+\left\|\nabla P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{2}\right)+\delta Q\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{2} \\
& +\delta\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{p}^{1+\varepsilon}}+\delta^{-2} Q
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by replacing $\delta$ and using the uniform boundedness of $P_{N}^{(1)}$ in $N$ (see Proposition 2.5 in [1]) we obtain the assertion.

The following proposition is an improved version of Proposition 4.13 in [1], and actually the regularity of the Besov space is improved by $\alpha$.

Proposition 3.4. For $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\sup _{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in[0, t] ; s^{\prime}<t^{\prime}} \frac{\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{\eta}\left\|X_{t^{\prime}}^{N,(2)}-X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}}}{\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}}\right] \\
& \leq C E\left[\sup _{r \in[0, t]} r^{\eta}\left\|X_{r}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}\right]+C E\left[\sup _{r \in[0, t]} r^{\eta} \| X_{r}^{\left.N,(2),<\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}\right]}\right. \\
& \quad+C E\left[\| X_{t}^{\left.N,(2),<\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right]+C E\left[\mathfrak{Y}_{\varepsilon}^{N}(t)\right]+C \sup _{s \in[0, t]} E\left[\left\|X_{s}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{1}^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon}}^{q}\right]}\right. \\
& \quad+C .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. In view of (2.3) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{t}^{N,(2),<}-e^{(t-s)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)} X_{s}^{N,(2),<} \\
& =-3 \lambda \int_{s}^{t} e^{(t-u)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)} P_{N}^{(1)}\left[\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \odot \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(2, N)}\right] d u
\end{aligned}
$$

for $s, t \in[0, T]$ such that $s<t$. Hence, for $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in[0, T]$ such that $s^{\prime}<$ $t^{\prime}$, the smoothing property of the heat semigroup and an estimate of the paraproduct (see Proposition 2.1 in [1]) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|X_{t^{\prime}}^{N,(2),<}-X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}} \\
& \leq\left\|e^{\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)}-I\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma} \rightarrow B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}}\left\|X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}} \\
& \quad+3 \lambda \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}} \| e^{\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)\left(\Delta-m_{0}^{2}\right)} P_{N}^{(1)} \\
& \qquad\left[\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \odot \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(2, N)}\right] \|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}} d u \\
& \leq C\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \| X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2),<\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}} \\
& \quad+C \lambda \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)^{-(\alpha+1) / 2-\varepsilon / 2} \\
& \quad \times\left\|\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(0,3, N)}\right) \odot \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(2, N)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{-1-\varepsilon}} d u \\
& \leq C\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}\left\|X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}} \\
& \quad+\lambda Q\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)^{-(\alpha+2 \gamma+1+\varepsilon) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\times\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(0,3, N)}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}} d u
$$

Thus, by applying Hölder's inequality we have for $t \in[0, T]$ and $\delta \in(0,1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in[0, t] ; s^{\prime}<t^{\prime}} \frac{\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{\eta}\left\|X_{t^{\prime}}^{N,(2),<}-X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}}}{\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}} \\
& \leq C \sup _{\substack{r \in[0, t] \\
+C \delta Q}}\left(r^{\eta}\left\|X_{r}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}\right)+\delta \lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}}^{4} d u  \tag{3.3}\\
&
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, from (2.3), for $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in[0, T]$ such that $s^{\prime}<t^{\prime}$, we have the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| & X_{t^{\prime}}^{N,(2), \geqslant}-X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2), \geqslant} \|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}} \\
\leq & C\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}\left\|X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}} \\
& +C \lambda\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{3} d u \\
& +C \lambda\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left\|\Phi_{u}^{(1)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right)\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}} d u \\
& +C \lambda\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma-1 / 4-\varepsilon / 2}\left\|\Phi_{u}^{(2)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right)\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon}} d u \\
& +C \lambda\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma-1 / 4-\varepsilon / 2}\left\|\Phi_{u}^{(3)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right)\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon}} d u \\
& +C \lambda\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left\|\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right) \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(2, N)}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}} d u \\
& +C \lambda\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left\|\Psi_{u}^{(1)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right) \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(2, N)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\varepsilon}} d u \\
& +C \lambda\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)^{-\alpha / 2-\gamma}\left\|\Psi_{u}^{(2)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right)\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\varepsilon}} d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\delta \in(0,1$ ], applying Lemmas 4.4, 4.5 and 4.7 in [1] and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 with replacing $\delta$ by $\left(t^{\prime}-u\right)^{\beta}$ with suitable $\beta$ for each lemmas, and applying Lemma 3.1 and Hölder's inequality, we have for $\delta \in(0,1] s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in[0, T]$ such that $s^{\prime}<t^{\prime}$

$$
\left\|X_{t^{\prime}}^{N,(2), \geqslant}-X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & C\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}\left\|X_{s^{\prime}}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}} \\
& +C \lambda\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(\left\|\nabla X_{u}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{4}\right) d u \\
& +C \lambda\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{2}^{15 / 16}}^{2}+\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{4}\right)^{7 / 8} d u \\
& +C\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}} \| X_{u}^{N,(2),<\left\|_{L^{4 / 3}}^{2} d u+Q\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{s^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\right\| X_{u}^{N,(2), \geqslant} \|_{B_{4 / 3}^{1+\varepsilon}} d u} \begin{aligned}
& +\delta\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} \sup _{u, v \in[0, t] ; u<v} \frac{u^{\eta}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{v}^{N,(2)}-P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}} d u}{(v-u)^{\gamma}} \\
& +C_{\delta} Q\left(t^{\prime}-s^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we remark that applying Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 instead of Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9 in [1] respectively, enables us to improve the regularity of the estimate by $\alpha \in[0,1 / 2)$. It is also remarked that Lemma 3.1 is provided for the clarity of the proof.

From this inequality and (3.3) we obtain the conclusion by following the proof of Proposition 4.12 in [1].

The following proposition is an improved version of Proposition 4.17 in [1], and again the regularity of the Besov space is improved by $\alpha$. We need the version, because the supremum in time of the norms on $B_{4}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}$ and $B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}$ appeared in Proposition 3.4.

Proposition 3.5. For $q \in(1,8 / 7), t \in[0, T]$ and $\delta \in(0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\sup _{r \in[0, t]} r^{\eta}\left\|X_{r}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{B_{4}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}^{3}\right]+E\left[\sup _{r \in[0, t]} r^{\eta}\left\|X_{r}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}\right] \\
& \leq C E\left[\left\|X_{0}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma-2 \eta}}\right]+C \delta E\left[\mathfrak{X}_{\lambda, \eta, \gamma}^{N}(t)\right]+C \delta E\left[\mathfrak{Y}_{\varepsilon}^{N}(t)^{q}\right]+C_{\delta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.14(i) in [1] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\sup _{r \in[0, t]} r^{\eta}\left\|X_{r}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{B_{4}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}^{3}\right] \\
& \leq \lambda E\left[Q \operatorname { s u p } _ { r \in [ 0 , t ] } \left(\int_{0}^{r}(r-u)^{-(1+\alpha) / 2-\gamma-\varepsilon / 4}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad \times\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}-\lambda P_{N}^{(1)} \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{(0,3, N)}\right\|_{L^{4}} d u\right)^{3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by applying Hölder's inequality we have for $\delta \in(0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\sup _{r \in[0, t]} r^{\eta}\left\|X_{r}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{B_{4}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}^{3}\right] \leq \delta \lambda E\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{4} d u\right]+C_{\delta} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma $4.14(\mathrm{ii})$ in [1] we have for $s, t \in[0, T]$ such that $s<t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|X_{t}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}} \\
& \leq C(t-s)^{-\eta}\left\|X_{s}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma-2 \eta}} \\
&+C \lambda \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-(\alpha+2 \gamma) / 2}\left\|P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{3} d u \\
&+C \lambda \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-(\alpha+2 \gamma) / 2}\left\|\Phi_{u}^{(1)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right)\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}} d u \\
&+C \lambda \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-(2 \alpha+4 \gamma+1+2 \varepsilon) / 4}\left\|\Phi_{u}^{(2)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right)\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon}} d u \\
&+C \lambda \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-(2 \alpha+4 \gamma+1+2 \varepsilon) / 4}\left\|\Phi_{u}^{(3)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right)\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon}} d u \\
&+C \lambda \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-(\alpha+2 \gamma) / 2}\left\|\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X_{u}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right) \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{2, N}\right\|_{L^{4 / 3}} d u \\
&+C \lambda \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-(\alpha+2 \gamma-\varepsilon) / 2}\left\|\Psi_{u}^{(1)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right) \circledast \mathcal{Z}_{u}^{2, N}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\varepsilon}} d u \\
&+C \lambda \int_{s}^{t}(t-u)^{-(\alpha+2 \gamma-\varepsilon) / 2}\left\|\Psi_{u}^{(2)}\left(P_{N}^{(1)} X^{N,(2)}\right)\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\varepsilon}} d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.4, for $\delta \in(0,1]$, applying Lemmas $4.4,4.5$ and 4.7 in [1] and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 with replacing $\delta$ by $\delta(t-u)^{\beta}$ with suitable $\beta$ for each lemmas, and applying Lemma 3.1 and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\sup _{r \in[0, t]} r^{\eta}\left\|X_{r}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}\right] \\
& \leq C E\left[\left\|X_{0}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma-2 \eta}}\right]+\delta E\left[\mathfrak{X}_{\lambda, \eta, \gamma}^{N}(t)\right]+\delta E\left[\mathfrak{Y}_{\varepsilon}^{N}(t)^{q}\right]+C_{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we use the assumptions of the parameters $\alpha, \gamma$ and $\varepsilon$. Therefore, by this inequality and (3.4) we have the assertion.

Now we obtain the following uniform estimate in $N$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\alpha \in[0,1 / 2)$ and choose $\varepsilon \in(0,1 / 16], \gamma \in(0,1 / 8)$ and $\eta \in(1 / 2,1)$ such that $4 \varepsilon<\gamma, \eta>\alpha+2 \gamma$ and $2 \alpha+4 \gamma+\varepsilon<1$, and let $q \in(1,8 / 7)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\sup _{s, t \in[0, T] ; s<t} \frac{s^{\eta}\left\|X_{t}^{N,(2)}-X_{s}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}}}{(t-s)^{\gamma}}\right]+E\left[\mathfrak{X}_{\lambda, \eta, \gamma}^{N}(T)\right]+E\left[\mathfrak{Y}_{\varepsilon}^{N}(T)^{q}\right] \\
& +E\left[\sup _{r \in[0, T]} r^{\eta}\left\|X_{r}^{N,(2),<}\right\|_{B_{4}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}^{3}\right]+E\left[\sup _{r \in[0, T]} r^{\eta}\left\|X_{r}^{N,(2), \geqslant}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}\right] \\
& \leq C .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By following the proof of Theorem 4.18 in [1] with applying Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 instead of Propositions 4.13 and 4.17 in [1], we obtain the assertion.

Theorem 3.6 improves the regularity of Besov norms in Theorem 4.18 in [1] by $\alpha$. By using the improvement we are able to show the tightness of the laws of $\left\{X^{N}\right\}$ in the spaces smaller than that in Theorem 4.19 in [1] as follows.

Theorem 3.7. For $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in(0,1 / 16]$, the laws of $\left\{X^{N}\right\}$ are tight on $C\left([0, \infty) ; B_{12 / 5}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}\right)$. Moreover, if $X$ is a limit in law of a subsequence $\left\{X^{N(k)}\right\}$ of $\left\{X^{N}\right\}$ on $C\left([0, \infty) ; B_{12 / 5}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}\right)$, then $X$ is a continuous process on $B_{12 / 5}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}$, the limit measure $\mu$ of the associated subsequence $\left\{\mu_{N(k)}\right\}$ is a stationary measure with respect to $X$ and it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\|\phi\|_{B_{\infty}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^{2} \mu(d \phi)<\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 4.19 in [1]. Choose $\alpha \in[0,1 / 2)$ sufficiently close to $1 / 2$ so that $\alpha+\tilde{\varepsilon}>1 / 2$, choose $\gamma \in(0,1 / 8)$ and $\varepsilon \in$ $(0,1 / 16)$ sufficiently small, and choose $\eta \in(1 / 2,1)$ sufficiently large so that the assumptions in Theorem 3.6 and $\varepsilon<\tilde{\varepsilon}$ hold. Let $T \in(0, \infty)$ and $t_{0} \in(0, T)$. For $h \in(0,1]$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime} \in(0,1]$, Chebyshev's inequality implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} P\left(\sup _{s, t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right] ;|s-t|<h}\left\|X_{t}^{N,(2)}-X_{s}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}}>\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{h^{\gamma}}{\varepsilon^{\prime} t_{0}^{\eta}} E\left[\sup _{s, t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; s<t, t-s<h} \frac{s^{\eta}\left\|X_{t}^{N,(2)}-X_{s}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}}}{(t-s)^{\gamma}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from Theorem 3.6 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \downarrow 0} \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} P\left(\sup _{s, t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right] ;|s-t|<h}\left\|X_{t}^{N,(2)}-X_{s}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}}>\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\varepsilon^{\prime} \in(0,1]$. On the other hand, Chebyshev's inequality implies that, for any $R>0$,

$$
\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} P\left(\left\|X_{t_{0}}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}>R\right) \leq \frac{1}{R t_{0}^{\eta}} \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} E\left[\sup _{r \in[0, T]} r^{\eta}\left\|X_{r}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}\right]
$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.6 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} P\left(\left\|X_{t_{0}}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}}>R\right)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the fact that the unit ball in $B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha+2 \gamma}$ is compactly embedded in $B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}$ (see Theorem 2.94 in [2]), the tightness of the laws of $\left\{X^{N,(2)}\right\}$ on $C\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha}\right)$ follows from (3.6) and (3.7). By the Besov embedding theorem (see Proposition 2.1 in [1]) we have $B_{4 / 3}^{\alpha} \subset B_{12 / 5}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}$. Hence, we have the tightness of the laws of $\left\{X^{N,(2)}\right\}$ on $C\left(\left[t_{0}, T\right] ; B_{12 / 5}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}\right)$. The rest of the proofs are completely same as that of Theorem 4.19 in [1] except (3.5).

Now we prove (3.5). The stationarity of $X^{N}$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\|\phi\|_{B_{\infty}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^{2} \mu(d \phi) & \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int\|\phi\|_{B_{\infty}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^{2} \mu_{N(k)}(d \phi) \\
& =\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\left\|X_{0}^{N(k)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} E\left[\left\|X_{t}^{N(k)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^{2}\right] d t \\
& \leq C \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} E\left[\left\|X_{t}^{N(k),(2)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^{2}\right] d t+C
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the Besov embedding theorem implies

$$
\left\|X_{t}^{N(k),(2)}\right\|_{B_{\infty}^{-1 / 2-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^{2} \leq C\left\|X_{t}^{N(k),(2)}\right\|_{B_{2}^{1-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^{2}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\|\phi\|_{B_{\infty}^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon}}^{2} \mu(d \phi) & \leq C \liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} E\left[\left\|X_{t}^{N,(2)}\right\|_{B_{2}^{1-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}^{2}\right] d t+C \\
& \leq C \liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\mathfrak{X}_{\lambda, \eta, \gamma}^{N}(T)\right]+C \liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\mathfrak{Y}_{\varepsilon}^{N}(T)\right]+C
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain (3.5) from Theorem 3.6.
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