

1 ***Oral Diseases***

2
3 **HIF-1 α controls palatal wound healing by regulating macrophage**
4 **motility via S1P/S1P₁ signaling axis**

5
6 Running title: Palatal wound healing in HIF-1 α deficient mice.

7
8 **Islamy Rahma Hutami^{1,3}, Takashi Izawa^{1,2*}, Tsendsuren Khurel-Ochir¹, Takuma**
9 **Sakamaki¹, Akihiko Iwasa¹, Shuhei Tomita⁴, and Eiji Tanaka¹**

10
11 ¹ Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Institute of Biomedical
12 Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, Tokushima, Japan

13 ² Department of Orthodontics, Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and
14 Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan

15 ³ Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Sultan Agung Islamic University,
16 Semarang, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia

17 ⁴ Department of Pharmacology, Osaka City University Graduate School, Osaka, Japan

18
19 ***Corresponding author:** Takashi Izawa, Department of Orthodontics, Graduate School
20 of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama University, 2-5-1 Shikata-
21 cho, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8525, Japan.

22 E-mail address: tizawa@okayama-u.ac.jp

23 Phone: +81-86-235-6691

24 FAX: +81-88-235-6694

25
26 **KEYWORDS:** HIF-1 α , S1P receptor, hypoxia, wound healing, M1/M2 macrophage,
27 DMOG

28
29 **ORCID ID:** Islamy Hutami 0000-0003-3245-953X, Takashi Izawa 0000-0002-9261-1604,
30 Tsendsuren Khurel-Ochir 0000-0001-5556-5437, Takuma Sakamaki 0000-0001-9629-
31 9956, Shuhei Tomita 0000-0003-3632-2485, Akihiko Iwasa 0000-0002-8666-5593,
32 Eiji Tanaka 0000-0002-2707-1613

1 **Abstract**

2 **Objectives:** To investigate the role of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α (HIF-1 α) signaling,
3 the expression profile of M1 and M2 macrophages, and the role of the sphingosine 1-
4 phosphate (S1P)/S1P receptor system in palatal wound healing of heterozygous HIF-1 α -
5 deficient (HIF-1 α HET) mice.

6 **Materials and methods:** HIF-1 α HET and wild-type (WT) littermates underwent palatal
7 tissue excision at the mid-hard palate. Histological analysis, immunostaining, real-time
8 PCR, Western blotting (WB), and cellular migration assays were performed to analyze
9 wound closure and macrophage infiltration.

10 **Results:** DMOG pretreatment showed an acceleration of palatal wound closure in WT
11 mice. In contrast, the delayed palatal wound closure was observed in HIF-1 α HET mice
12 with diminished production of Col1a1, MCP-1, and MIP-1 α , compared with WT mice.
13 Decreased infiltration of M1 macrophage (F4/80⁺TNF- α ⁺, F4/80⁺iNOS⁺) and M2
14 macrophage (F4/80⁺Arginase-1⁺, F4/80⁺CD163⁺) was observed. The numbers of
15 F4/80⁺S1P₁⁺ macrophages of HIF-1 α HET wounded tissues were significantly lower
16 compared with WT tissues. S1P treatment of bone marrow macrophages (BMMs)
17 significantly upregulated expression of S1P₁ in WT mice compared with HIF-1 α HET.
18 Phosphorylation of MAPK rapidly decreased in BMMs of HIF-1 α HET mice than in
19 BMMs of WT mice by S1P stimulation. Moreover, S1P enhanced HIF-1 α expression via
20 S1P₁ receptors to affect macrophage migration.

21 **Conclusions:** HIF-1 α deficiency aggravates M1 and M2 macrophage infiltration and
22 controls macrophage motility via S1P/S1P₁ signaling. These results suggest that HIF-1 α

1 signaling may contribute to the regulation of palatal wound healing.

2 **1. INTRODUCTION**

3 After primary surgery for cleft palate, patients often exhibit growth impairment and a
4 narrow collapse in the mid-facial region. These effects are often considered to be a
5 consequence of palatal wound healing which involves wound contraction and scar
6 formation (Ross, 1987). Wound healing is a site of inflammation and possible infection,
7 and is characterized by low levels of oxygen for extended periods of time. Despite this
8 hypoxic environment, macrophages accumulate in large numbers at sites of wound
9 healing. It has been hypothesized that hypoxic conditions modulate the biological
10 activities of macrophage (Murdoch, Muthana, & Lewis, 2005).

11 In most pathological situations, a hypoxic and inflammatory microenvironment
12 activates hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) (Lin & Simon, 2016). Following activation,
13 HIF forms a heterodimeric complex that consists of an α -subunit and a β -subunit. The α
14 subunit mainly consists of HIF-1 α or HIF-2 α , and it primarily regulates HIF signaling.
15 Meanwhile, the β -subunit is an aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator (ARNT)
16 protein. The HIF-1 α subunit promotes inflammatory gene expression and can reprogram
17 the metabolism of inflammatory cells. Correspondingly, HIF-1 α is expressed in several
18 types of innate immune cells (e.g., macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells)
19 (Corcoran & O'Neill, 2016; Cramer et al., 2003; Jantsch et al., 2008; Walmsley et al.,
20 2005).

21 Activation of M1 or classic macrophages via HIF-1 α leads to metabolic
22 reprogramming involving pro-inflammatory mediators such as interleukin (IL)-1 β , IL-6,

1 IL-12, and tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- α . Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species are
2 generated and T cells are activated. In contrast, M2 macrophages or macrophages with an
3 alternatively activated phenotype exhibit anti-inflammatory activities by producing
4 activated transcription factors (STAT6, IRF6, CD206, CD163), specific chemokines
5 (CCL17, CCL18), and Arginase-1 or -2 to limit nitric oxide (NO) production (Galvan-
6 Pena & O'Neill, 2014; Krzyszczyk, Schloss, Palmer, & Berthiaume, 2018; Rius et al.,
7 2008).

8 Macrophage cells are rapidly recruited to a wound site to infiltrate hypoxic tissue,
9 initiate healing, and remove cellular debris. Wound sites exhibit marked hypoxia due to a
10 lack of perfusion caused by vascular damage and the metabolic activity of inflammatory
11 cells. In response to hypoxic conditions, macrophages alter their gene expression to
12 induce a metabolic program of glycolysis and resistance to apoptotic stimuli (Cramer et
13 al., 2003; Murdoch, Giannoudis, & Lewis, 2004). Previous studies have demonstrated a
14 beneficial role for the hydroxylase inhibitor dimethyloxalylglycine (DMOG) in
15 inflammatory conditions, including experimental colitis, by regulating the activity of
16 HIF-1 and NF- κ B (Cummins et al., 2008). DMOG treatment of mice promotes M2
17 polarization in macrophages within the peritoneal cavity, resulting in the downregulation
18 of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- α (Hams et al., 2011). However, the
19 mechanistic details of M1/M2 macrophage activation and functions under hypoxic
20 conditions in the palatal wound tissue remain unclear. Further studies are needed to
21 facilitate the development of novel targeted therapies for treatment of inflammatory
22 diseases, especially delayed wound closure.

1 Sphingolipid metabolite sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P), an essential bioactive
2 lysophospholipid, is induced when tissue homeostasis is disrupted. It has recently been
3 demonstrated that the S1P/S1P receptor system is a crucial regulator of immunity and
4 controls immune cell trafficking (Cyster & Schwab, 2012; Spiegel & Milstien, 2011). S1P
5 also plays a role in macrophage activation. During inflammation, S1P levels in affected
6 tissues increase. As a result, macrophages are exposed to multiple signals from their
7 environment. S1P production, S1P receptor expression, and signaling mechanisms are
8 adjusted to achieve the needed output (Weigert, Olesch, & Brune, 2019).

9 Kalhori et al. (2013) investigated whether S1P serves as a non-hypoxic inducer of
10 HIF-1 α expression in thyroid cancer cells (Kalhori et al., 2013). Subsequently, Yang et al.
11 (2015) reported the powerful migratory action of S1P on bone marrow macrophages
12 (BMMs) via S1P receptor 2 (S1P₂) and S1P₃ in a cholestatic liver injury model (Kalhori
13 et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2015). Hughes et al. (2008) also reported that during acute
14 inflammation, S1P is able to switch the phenotype of macrophages from a pro-
15 inflammatory phenotype to an anti-inflammatory phenotype via regulation of S1P₁
16 (Hughes et al., 2008).

17 In the present study, we demonstrate that wound closure in the palatal tissue of HIF-
18 1 α HET mice is delayed, and is accompanied by reduced expression of pro-inflammatory
19 mediators and M1/M2 macrophage infiltration. We also provide evidence that S1P/S1P₁
20 signaling regulates the infiltration of macrophages into a wound site in HIF-1 α HET mice.

21

22 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

2.1 Mouse model

Twelve-week-old HIF-1 α -deficient heterozygous (HIF-1 α HET) mice and their wild-type (WT) littermates (C57BL/6J background: backcrossed more than ten times) for a total of 30 mice respectively were provided by Shuhei Tomita (Osaka City University) (Tomita et al., 2003). During the *in vivo* experiments performed, mice remained anaesthetised and all efforts were made to minimise their suffering. Mice were euthanised by cervical dislocation after CO₂ exposure. The Ethics Committee of the Tokushima University for Animal Research approved the experiments conducted for this study (approval number: T28-85).

2.2 Preparation of wound tissue

Mice were anaesthetised with an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of sodium pentobarbital (25 mg/kg) in physiological saline prior to excision of tissue samples with a surgical blade. Excised tissue samples measured approximately 1.0 \times 3.0 mm² and were obtained from the mid-hard palate between the upper molars as previously described (Jinno, Takahashi, Tsuchida, Tanaka, & Moriyama, 2009; Yoneda, Yasue, Watanabe, & Tanaka, 2013).

2.3 Reagents

Antibodies used in the present study included: TNF- α (#3707), Arginase-1 (#9819), phosphorylation (p) of -ERK (#4370), -Akt S473 (#9271), -p38 (#9216) (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), iNOS (#ab3523), CD68 (#ab955), CD163 (#ab182422), S1P₃ (#ab38324) (Abcam), S1P₁ (#10005228, Cayman Chemical, Ann

1 Arbor, MI, USA), F4/80 (Alexa Fluor 488 anti-mouse #123120, BioLegend, San Diego,
2 CA, USA), and HIF-1 α (#NB100-479, Novus Biologicals, Centennial, CO, USA).
3 Antibodies recognizing β -actin (#A5441, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and
4 reagents, prolyl hydroxylase inhibitor dimethyloxalylglycine (DMOG) and sphingosine
5 1-phosphatase (S1P) (Cayman Chemical), were also purchased.

6

7 **2.4 Histological analysis**

8 Palatal tissues were prepared for histological analysis with a fixation step in 4%
9 paraformaldehyde, followed by decalcification in 14% EDTA/PBS for 20 days. After
10 dehydration, the tissues were embedded in paraffin. Serial sagittal sections were cut
11 (HM360 microtome, Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and then stained with hematoxylin and
12 eosin (HE). A DAKO Envision kit (Glostrup, Denmark) was used for
13 immunohistochemical staining with primary antibodies diluted in PBS/0.1% bovine
14 serum albumin. After sections were incubated with primary antibodies overnight (4 °C),
15 they were rinsed with PBS and then incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies for
16 1 h (at room temperature).

17 For immunofluorescent analysis, sections were double stained with first antibodies of
18 anti-F4/80 with anti-TNF α or anti-iNOS for detecting M1 macrophages and anti-F4/80
19 with anti-Arginase-1 or anti-CD163 for detecting M2 macrophages. Corresponding Alexa
20 Fluor anti-rabbit 488 (#4412) or anti-mouse 555 (#4409) were used as secondary
21 antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (#D212,
22 Cellstain, Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA). After the

1 sections were mounted, they were imaged with a fluorescence microscope BioRevo BZ-
2 9000 and colocalization is visualized using color overlays (Pike, Styles, Rappoport, &
3 Heath, 2017) BZ-II analyzer software (KEYENCE, Osaka, Japan). The quantification of
4 positive-cells were analyzed using ImageJ software version 1.8.0 (NIH, Bethesda,
5 MD; <http://imagej.nih.gov/ij>) (Wilkinson et al., 2019).

6

7 **2.5 Western blot (WB) analysis**

8 To prepare extracts for WB analysis, BMMs or palatal tissue samples were incubated
9 with RIPA lysis buffer supplemented with a freshly prepared protease and phosphatase
10 inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). The extracts were
11 separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. Proteins of interest were
12 bound by corresponding primary and secondary antibodies. The latter included
13 horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse (#AP124P, Millipore, Billerica, MA,
14 USA) and anti-rabbit (#7074, Cell Signaling Technology) antibodies. The LumiGLO
15 Western Blot Detection System (#7003, Cell Signaling Technology) was used to visualise
16 bound antibodies.

17

18 **2.6 RT-PCR**

19 ISOGEN (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan) was used to extract total RNA from BMMs
20 and palatal mucosa, according to the manufacturer's instructions. A NanoDropND-2000
21 instrument (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) was used to estimate RNA
22 concentrations. Samples with an optical density ratio (260 nm/280 nm) > 1.8 were

1 subjected to a High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA,
2 USA). In a 20- μ L reaction mix containing 50 μ M primers (Table 1), 10 ng cDNA, and 10
3 μ L PowerSYBR Green PCR Master Mix, levels of *HIF-1 α* , *Colla1*, *MIP-1 α* , *MCP-1*,
4 *Sphk1*, and *SIP₁₋₅* were measured with a 7500 Real Time PCR system (Applied
5 Biosystems). Levels were normalised to GAPDH and quantitated according to the
6 comparative cycle threshold method ($\Delta\Delta$ Ct).

7

8 **2.7 Cell culture of BMMs**

9 BMMs were isolated and characterized as described previously (Muller, von
10 Bernstorff, Heidecke, & Schulze, 2017; Takeshita, Kaji, & Kudo, 2000). In brief, cells
11 flushed from the whole bone marrow of tibiae and femurs were collected in petri dishes
12 and cultured in α -MEM containing: 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Japan
13 Bioserum Co. Ltd., Fukuyama, Japan), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μ g/ml streptomycin, and
14 macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF; 20 ng/ml). Cells were incubated in an
15 atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ kept at 37°C for 3 d and were then washed with sterilized
16 PBS and trypsinized with 1 \times trypsin/EDTA (Invitrogen). BMMs were counted using a
17 hemocytometer and adjusted the concentration to 2 \times 10⁶ cells/mL and cultured in the same
18 medium. BMMs were starved overnight before the following treatment including cell
19 signaling experiment.

20

21 **2.8 Migration assay**

1 Chemotaxis was stimulated by S1P and DMOG in BMM cell cultures derived from
2 HIF-1 α HET and WT mice. InnocyteTM Monocyte Cell Migration Assay kits (Calbiochem,
3 Millipore, Temecula, CA, USA) were used according the manufacturer's directions.
4 Pretreatment with inhibitors, including FTY720 (BioVision, San Francisco, CA, USA)
5 and suramin (Sigma Aldrich), was performed 1 h prior to migration assays.

6

7 **2.9 Statistical analysis**

8 Experiments were independently repeated at least two or three times; and for each set
9 of conditions, experiments were performed at least in triplicate. Statistical analyses were
10 performed by applying one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post-hoc Tukey's
11 honest significant differences test or Student's *t*-test, as appropriate. P-values less than
12 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

13

14 **3. RESULTS**

15 **3.1 HIF-1 α regulates palatal wound healing**

16 The hydroxylase activity of prolyl hydroxylase domain (PHD) proteins depends on
17 the availability of O₂, Fe (II), and 2-oxoglutarate. The PHD inhibitor DMOG, a 2-
18 oxoglutarate analogue, inhibits the interaction between 2-oxoglutarate analogue and
19 PHDs, resulting in decreased PHD hydroxylase activity and stabilization of HIFs. To test
20 our hypothesis that stabilization of HIF-1 α proteins before palatal surgery augments
21 wound healing, we first analyzed the effects of DMOG pretreatment in a murine palatal
22 wound healing model. In a previous study, when DMOG (400 mg/kg body weight (b.w.))

1 was systemically administered 48 h prior to surgery, protection against ischemia was
2 observed (Takaku et al., 2012). Therefore, we assessed whether DMOG can also
3 accelerate palatal wound closure (Figure 1a). When mice (C56BL/6J) were pretreated
4 with DMOG, palatal wound closure was effectively promoted (Figure 1b and c).
5 Moreover, a significant decrease in gap distance was observed at the wound site in
6 DMOG-treated mice compared to the control group (Figure 1d). The latter results are
7 consistent with previous *in vivo* studies of skin flap survival (Takaku et al., 2012). To
8 evaluate the role of HIF-1 α in palatal wound closure, we excised palatal tissue from HIF-
9 1 α HET mice on days 4, 5, and 6 after wounding. The highest difference in percentage
10 wound closure was observed on day 5. The gaps were observed with real-time images
11 and histological analysis of HE stained sections (Figure 1e and f). Wound closure was
12 found to be significantly delayed in a time-dependent manner and was gradually catching
13 up on day 7 in the HIF-1 α HET mice compared with WT littermates (Figure 1g). This
14 reduction of wound healing in HET mice was significantly restored by DMOG
15 pretreatment (Figure 1e, f, and g). Relative mRNA expression in palatal wound tissue
16 samples was also analyzed. Expression levels of *HIF-1 α* , *MCP-1*, *Colla1*, and *MIP-1 α*
17 were significantly decreased in HIF-1 α HET mice compared with WT mice (Figure 1h).
18 Thus, HIF-1 α appears to be essential for the predicted healing outcome marker, *Colla1*,
19 and also for sustained expression of *MCP-1* and *MIP-1 α* , two main components of
20 monocyte recruitment.

21

22 **3.2 HIF-1 α affects the infiltration of pro-inflammatory M1 macrophage**

1 To investigate how HIF-1 α deficiency promotes delayed palatal wound closure, we
2 examined critical features of macrophage biology which are important for initiating
3 activation of foci inflammation in wound closure. As shown in Figure 2a, expression of
4 TNF- α and iNOS, markers of pro-inflammatory mediators of M1 macrophage, were
5 detected in an immunohistochemistry analysis. At the wound healing site, the numbers of
6 cells expressing TNF- α and iNOS were significantly decreased in HIF-1 α HET mice
7 compared with WT mice (Figure 2b). Double immunofluorescent staining was also
8 performed to detect protein expression of infiltrating M1 macrophages at day 5 (Figure
9 2c). There were significantly fewer numbers of M1 macrophage expressing F4/80⁺TNF-
10 α ⁺ and F4/80⁺iNOS⁺ in HIF-1 α HET mice than in WT mice (Figure 2c and d).

11

12 **3.3 HIF-1 α deficiency affects infiltration of anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages**

13 To identify the effect of HIF-1 α deficiency on anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages,
14 M2 macrophage marker expressions were analyzed in palatal wound tissue. When
15 expressions of F4/80⁺Arginase-1⁺ and F4/80⁺CD163⁺ macrophage were detected by
16 immunofluorescence analyses of palatal wound sites of HIF-1 α HET and WT mice
17 (Figure 3a), the numbers of infiltrating M2 macrophage were significantly decreased in
18 HIF-1 α HET mice compared with WT mice (Figure 3b). Protein levels of Arginase-1,
19 CD163, and CD68 confirmed by WB analysis were significantly lower in palatal wound
20 tissue extracts prepared from HIF-1 α HET mice than from palatal wound tissue extracts
21 prepared from WT mice (Figure 3c).

22

3.4 S1P receptors expression in palatal wound closure tissues of HIF-1 α HET mice

Macrophages need to migrate to an inflammation site to maintain wound healing homeostasis. S1P is produced by macrophage and it affects both their activation and migration. Moreover, S1P-dependent macrophage migration is tightly dependent on S1P receptors (Weigert et al., 2019). Therefore, we investigated the effect of S1P on palatal wound closure in HIF-1 α -deficient macrophages. First, we examined mRNA levels of *Sphk1* and all five receptors of *SIP* in palatal tissues at the wound site. Interestingly, HIF-1 α deficiency might be associated with decreased mRNA expression of the *SIP*₁ and *SIP*₃ receptors (Figure 4a). When double staining with F4/80 was performed to analyze both *SIP*₁ and *SIP*₃ expression respectively in palatal wounds of HIF-1 α HET mice (Figure 4b), it was observed that F4/80⁺ macrophages expressed *SIP*₁ were significantly low number in HIF-1 α HET mice. On the other hand, F4/80⁺ macrophages expressed *SIP*₃ were unchanged between WT and HIF-1 α HET mice. Moreover, the quantification of cells positive for F4/80⁺*SIP*₁⁺ in HIF-1 α HET tissues was significantly lower compared with WT tissues (Figure 4c).

3.5 Signal crosstalk between HIF-1 α and S1P/S1P₁ system controls migration of BMMs

To elucidate the mechanism by which S1P regulates the responses and movements of macrophages in HIF-1 α HET mice, we first isolated and cultured BMMs from femur samples with M-CSF treatment. After 3 days, BMMs were treated with S1P before mRNA

1 was extracted and analyzed. Increased transcription of *HIF-1 α* , *SIP₁*, and *SIP₃* was
2 detected after S1P treatment in WT macrophage. S1P-stimulated BMMs trigger an
3 enhancement of *SIP₁* and *iNOS* expression only in WT mice. The levels of S1P induced
4 expression were not significant for *SIP₁* and *iNOS* mRNA in HIF-1 α HET macrophage.
5 Meanwhile, the levels of S1P induced expression were not significant for *SIP₂*, and *SIP₃*
6 mRNA in HIF-1 α HET macrophage (Figure 5a). *Arginase-1* mRNA expression in HIF-
7 1 α HET macrophage after S1P stimulation was significantly lower compared with WT
8 macrophage. WB to detect levels of HIF-1 α and phosphorylated forms of ERK, Akt, and
9 p38 showed a time-dependent increase in S1P-induced HIF-1 α activity of WT BMMs. In
10 contrast, S1P treatment of HIF-1 α HET BMMs did not upregulate expression of these
11 proteins (Figure 5b). Finally, macrophage migration experiments were conducted with
12 WT and HIF-1 α HET BMMs which were treated with S1P, DMOG, FTY20, or suramin
13 (Figure 5c). DMOG and S1P stimulation increased the migration of WT BMMs. FTY720
14 pretreatment inhibited the migration of S1P stimulated WT BMMs. In contrast, suramin
15 pretreatment unchanged the migration rates of S1P induced macrophage migration. These
16 findings indicate that S1P enhances HIF-1 α expression via *SIP₁* receptors to affect
17 macrophage migration.

18

19 **4. DISCUSSION**

20 The results of the present study demonstrate that HIF-1 α plays an essential role in
21 wound closure and macrophage infiltration following palatal injury in HIF-1 α HET mice.
22 Previously, DMOG-treated mice promoted expression of HIF-1 α in the skin flap with a

1 reduction in apoptotic cells and induction of endothelial and bone marrow progenitor cells.
2 It was confirmed that HIF-1 α HET mice, unlike WT mice, do not preserve an ischemic
3 skin flap (Takaku et al., 2012). Thus, accelerated palatal wound closure observed in
4 DMOG-treated mice is consistent with delayed palatal wound closure due to HIF-1 α
5 deficiency in HIF-1 α HET mice. This delayed palatal wound healing in HET mice was
6 also significantly restored by DMOG pretreatment (Figure 1). A detailed analysis of this
7 evidence in oral mucosa remains to be performed since it has been observed that wound
8 healing in oral mucosa and skin proceeds through similar stages. Oral mucosa wounds
9 heal more rapidly with minimal complications or scar formation compared with skin
10 wounds (Iglesias-Bartolome et al., 2018). Moreover, mucosa wounds heal under
11 significantly decreased hypoxic conditions compared to skin wounds (Chen,
12 Gajendrareddy, & DiPietro, 2012; Szpaderska, Walsh, Steinberg, & DiPietro, 2005).

13 In murine wound models, macrophages rapidly accumulate at the wound site to
14 remove cellular debris, activate revascularization, and repair the wound. Initially,
15 neutrophils infiltrate a wound within 24 to 48 h after the wound is established. Monocytes
16 are subsequently recruited to the wound site (2–5 days after wounding). During this later
17 stage, macrophage accumulation is significant (Stewart, Duley, Rosman, Fraser, &
18 Allardyce, 1981). In the present study, the most significant gap distance in the palatal
19 wounds was observed on day 5 after wounding (Figure 1). This evidence suggests that
20 HIF-1 α is likely to affect the cellular metabolism of infiltrating macrophages in the later
21 stages of a wound site.

22 In HIF-1 α HET palatal wound tissue, expression levels of *Colla1*, *MIP-1 α* , and *MCP-*

1 *I* were decreased (Figure 1). Previously, mutant collagen mice (*Coll1a1^{rr}*) exhibited
2 severely delayed wound closure due to a prolonged early response of inflammatory cells
3 which was attributed to delayed re-epithelialization (Beare, O'Kane, Krane, & Ferguson,
4 2003). Meanwhile, wound healing in *MCP-1^{-/-}* mice, yet not in *MIP-1 α ^{-/-}* mice, has
5 revealed a significant delay in collagen synthesis and wound re-epithelialization (Low et
6 al., 2001). In a study of alveolar hypoxia, enhanced expression of HIF-1 α , TNF- α , MCP-
7 1, MIP-1 β , and albumin was triggered by macrophage recruitment (Madjdpour et al.,
8 2003). Taken together, these results suggest that HIF-1 α regulates the primary interstitial
9 collagen, *Coll1a1*, as well as two potent mediators of macrophage movement and
10 recruitment in palatal wound healing, *MIP-1 α* and *MCP-1*.

11 Our immunohistochemistry analyses demonstrate that expression of TNF- α and iNOS
12 in the HIF-1 α HET mice palatal wound margin is attenuated (Figure 2). These data are
13 consistent with the reduced inflammatory phenotype of HIF-1 α -deficient macrophage
14 (Aarup et al., 2016). Expression of surface markers, CD68, Arginase-1, and CD163,
15 detected in WB analyses were found to be diminished in HIF-1 α HET mice (Figure 3).
16 These results are consistent with the strong relationship observed between CD68 and HIF-
17 1 α in tumour tissue, with low levels of HIF-1 α associated with significantly fewer CD68⁺
18 macrophage (Li et al., 2016). Thus, it appears that macrophage infiltration into palatal
19 wound closure is HIF-1 α -dependent.

20 To be more precise, we further investigated whether HIF-1 α affects the phenotype of
21 M1/M2 macrophage. Macrophages were detected in wound tissue sections on day 5 by
22 staining for the macrophage marker, F4/80 (Biswas, Tapryal, Mukherjee, Kumar, &

1 Mukhopadhyay, 2013). Immunohistofluorescent analyses demonstrated that palatal
2 wounds in HIF-1 α HET mice led to dysregulation of macrophage function, with fewer
3 macrophages recruited to the wound site and down-regulation of F4/80⁺TNF- α ⁺,
4 F4/80⁺iNOS⁺, F4/80⁺Arginase-1⁺, and F4/80⁺CD163⁺. HIF-1 α participates in M1
5 polarization of macrophage through the induction of glycolysis metabolism, while M2
6 macrophage markers remain unaffected (Ke et al., 2019). In contrast, *in vitro* studies have
7 revealed that in HIF-1 α -null macrophages, M1-characteristic genes are diminished; yet
8 M2-characteristic genes are unchanged (Aarup et al., 2016). During the wound healing
9 process, macrophages are highly dependent on HIF-1 α expression, which is accompanied
10 by a decrease in pro-inflammatory cytokines. HIF-1 α also affects macrophage
11 metabolism by disrupting phenotypic polarization. In the late wound stage, the M2
12 macrophage phenotype (CD163 and Arginase-1) is also less frequent (Figure 3). These
13 results suggest that in addition to common macrophage chemokines, other receptors may
14 influence macrophage movement, such as the transmembrane lipid receptor, S1P.

15 Several studies have shown that hypoxia increases expression of Sphk1 and S1P. In
16 human cancer cells, Sphk1 regulates hypoxia-induced stabilization of HIF-1 α via Akt and
17 GSK3 β (Ader, Brizuela, Bouquerel, Malavaud, & Cuvillier, 2008). Meanwhile, S1P
18 increases HIF-1 α activity and expression in ML-1 thyroid cancer cells, while HIF-1
19 mediates S1P-induced cell migration (Kalhori et al., 2013). S1P has also been shown to
20 regulate HIF-1 α transcription in mouse T cells (Srinivasan et al., 2008) and macrophages
21 (Herr et al., 2009). Since the ability of macrophages to infiltrate a wound site is strongly
22 influenced by their migration capacity, we analyzed S1P expression in macrophages that

1 infiltrated to the wound site.

2 Sphk1 and all five S1P receptors were detected in the palatal wound tissues examined.
3 However, palatal wound tissue in the HIF-1 α HET mice exhibited the reduction of *SIP₁*
4 and *SIP₃* mRNA levels. It is known that S1P provokes HIF-1 α responses. For example,
5 in macrophages, activation of S1P₁ and/or S1P₃ triggers HIF-1 α expression (Herr et al.,
6 2009). Our protein analysis of F4/80⁺S1P₁⁺ but not F4/80⁺S1P₃⁺ shows that in HIF-1 α
7 HET tissue, decreased activation of S1P₁ receptor occurred by post transcriptional
8 regulation. We also observed that S1P₁ expression was detected in cells other than F4/80
9 macrophage in HIF-1 α HET palatal wounds (Figure 4). Further experiments are needed
10 to analyze the impact of S1P on T-cells during the late stages of palatal wound closure. It
11 has been demonstrated that S1P acts through S1P₁ and HIF-1 α to negatively regulate T-
12 cell activation (Srinivasan et al., 2008). Furthermore, T-cells that lack HIF-1 α exhibit
13 enhanced pro-inflammatory cytokine expression (e.g., IFN- γ) (Lukashev et al., 2006).

14 It has been reported that S1P influence on macrophage polarization (Muller et al.,
15 2017). In this study, S1P stimulated BMMs trigger a significant enhancement of *SIP₁* and
16 *iNOS* expression only in WT mice. The levels of S1P induced expression were not
17 significant for *SIP₁* and *iNOS* mRNA in HIF-1 α HET macrophage. On the other hand,
18 mRNA expression of *Arginase-1* after S1P stimulation was significantly lower in HIF-1 α
19 HET macrophage (Figure 5). It has also been shown that HIF-1 α induce M1 macrophages
20 polarization (Takeda et al., 2010). This activation in macrophages subsequently limits the
21 expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Hughes et al., 2008). These results suggest
22 that signaling crosstalk between S1P/S1P₁ and HIF-1 α might control macrophage

1 polarization. We further demonstrate that S1P regulates HIF-1 α via activation of the
2 MAPK phosphatases; ERK, Akt, and p38. It has previously been shown that inhibition of
3 p38 reduces pro-inflammatory cytokines under hypoxic conditions (Sakiyama et al.,
4 2003), and hypoxia-induced p38 phosphorylation is partially dependent on HIF-1 α
5 expression (Ke et al., 2019). Cell migration in relation to HIF-1 α and S1P/S1P receptor
6 signaling was also characterized with use of the S1P receptor agonist, FTY720 and the
7 S1P₃ antagonist, suramin. We observed that DMOG and S1P affect BMM migration via
8 S1P₁. Taken together, these results suggest that crosstalk between HIF1 α and S1P/ S1P₁
9 signaling has a regulatory function in the migration of macrophages, and further study of
10 this function is warranted.

11 In conclusion, the results of this study provide an analysis of macrophage infiltration
12 during a delayed palatal wound healing under hypoxia. To the best of our knowledge, this
13 is the first study to provide such evidence. In addition, we demonstrate that HIF-1 α
14 controls the bifurcation of anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory M1/M2 macrophages
15 and the motility of macrophage via the S1P/S1P₁ system.

17 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

18 This work was supported by the grants provided by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Numbers.
19 17K19758, 18H03011, 18KK0464 to T.I.), The Nakatomi Foundation, Smoking Research
20 Foundation, Takeda Science Foundation, to T.I., and LPPM Sultan Agung Islamic
21 University to I.H.

22

1 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

2 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

3

4 **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS**

5 Study design: IH, TI, ST, and ET

6 Data acquisition: IH, TK-O, TS, AI, and TI

7 Data analysis: All authors

8 Writing of first draft: IH, TI, and ET

9 Manuscript revision and approval of final manuscript: All authors

10

11 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

12 **FIGURE 1.** Effects of DMOG and HIF-1 α deficiency on palatal wound healing. (a)

13 C57BL/6J mice were randomly assigned to a control group or an experimental group. The

14 latter received an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of DMOG (400 mg/kg body weight

15 (b.w.)). Palatal wound healing was evaluated on day 5 after a palatal excisional wound

16 approximately 1.0 mm in width was made in the hard palate. (b) Images of palatal wound

17 closure obtained at day 0 and day 5. Representative images of three to five mice in each

18 group are shown. (c) HE staining was performed to analyze wound closure distance at

19 day 0 and day 5. Representative images show three to five mice of each group. (d) The

20 wound closure distances of palatal tissues at day 5 in WT and HIF-1 α HET mice (μ m).

21 The results represent the mean \pm SD of three to five mice from each group. (e)

22 Representative images of palatal wounds at day 5 after surgery. Representative images of

23 three to five mice in each group are shown. (f) Representative histological sections stained

1 with HE at day 0 and day 5. Representative images show three to five mice of each group.
2 (g) The ratio of wound closure to original wound gap for palatal tissues at indicated time-
3 points in WT and HIF-1 α HET mice with or without DMOG injection. The results
4 represent the mean \pm SD of three to five mice from each group. (h) Total mRNA was
5 collected 5 days after palatal surgery. Expression levels of *HIF-1 α* , *Colla1*, *MCP-1*, and
6 *MIP-1 α* were measured. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of three to five mice from each
7 group. Scale bar: 100 μ m. **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05.

8
9 **FIGURE 2.** Expression profiles of M1 macrophages marker in palatal wound healing of
10 HIF-1 α HET mice. (a, b) Representative immunohistochemical images and quantification
11 of M1-related markers, TNF- α - and iNOS-, in the outer perimeter of a wound site.
12 Representative images and quantifications of three to five mice in each group are shown.
13 (c) Representative double stained immunohistofluorescent images of M1 macrophage-
14 related markers in a palatal wound area. Wounded sections were stained with F4/80
15 (green) for TNF- α (red) or iNOS (red) and counterstained with DAPI (blue) respectively.
16 Representative images of three to five mice in each group are shown. (d) The numbers of
17 F4/80⁺ macrophages and positive for TNF- α or iNOS in palatal wound areas. Data are
18 presented as mean \pm SD (4–5 sections from 3–5 individual animals for each group). Scale
19 bar: 100 μ m. **p < 0.01.

20
21 **FIGURE 3.** Expression of M2 macrophages related markers in palatal wound healing of
22 HIF-1 α HET mice. (a) Representative double stained immunohistofluorescent images of

1 M2 macrophage-related markers in palatal wound areas. Wounded sections were stained
2 with F4/80 (green) for Arginase-1 (red) or CD163 (red) and counterstained with DAPI
3 (blue) respectively. Representative images and quantifications of three to five mice in
4 each group are shown. (b) The numbers of F4/80⁺ macrophages and positive for Arginase-
5 1 or CD163 in palatal wound areas. Data are presented as mean \pm SD (4–5 sections from
6 3–5 individual animals for each group). (c) WB analysis of Arginase-1, CD163, and CD68
7 from palatal wound tissues at day 5. Scale bar: 100 μ m. **p < 0.01 Samples are from
8 three to five individual animals for each group.

9

10 **FIGURE 4.** Expression of Sphk1 and S1P receptors in palatal wound healing of HIF-1 α
11 HET mice. (a) Relative mRNA expression in palatal tissue was determined at day 5 after
12 surgery. Data are presented as mean \pm SD (4–5 sections from 3–5 individual animals for
13 each group). (b, c) Representative double stained immunohistofluorescent images of
14 F4/80 macrophages (green) expressing S1P₁ (red) or S1P₃ (red) and counterstained with
15 DAPI (blue) in palatal wound areas. Positive cell numbers are indicated. Representative
16 images of three to five mice in each group are shown. Quantification data are presented
17 as mean \pm SD. Scale bar: 100 μ m. **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05.

18

19 **FIGURE 5.** Effect of HIF-1 α deficiency on expression of S1P receptors and motility in
20 BMMs. (a) Relative mRNA expression of *HIF-1 α* , *S1P₁*, *S1P₂*, *S1P₃*, *iNOS* and *Arginase-1*
21 *1* in BMMs stimulated with or without S1P (10 μ M). Data are presented as mean \pm SD of

1 three to five mice from each group. (b) A time course WB analysis of phosphorylated
2 forms and total of ERK, Akt, and p38 in WT and HIF-1 α HET BMMs. The BMMs were
3 cultured with M-CSF (20 ng/mL) for 3 d, were starved, and then were stimulated with
4 S1P (10 μ M) for an additional 0–30 min. HIF-1 α , β -actin were exposed as loading
5 controls. Samples are from three to five individual animals for each group. (c) The
6 percentage of migrating BMMs from WT and HIF-1 α HET mice which were incubated
7 with DMOG alone or S1P alone or were pretreated for 1 h with FTY720 or suramin. Data
8 are presented as the mean \pm SD of three to five mice from each group. **p < 0.01, *p <
9 0.05.

10

11 **References**

12 Aarup, A., Pedersen, T. X., Junker, N., Christoffersen, C., Bartels, E. D., Madsen,

13 M., . . . Nielsen, L. B. (2016). Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1alpha Expression

14 in Macrophages Promotes Development of Atherosclerosis. *Arterioscler*

15 *Thromb Vasc Biol*, 36(9), 1782-1790.

16 doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.116.307830

17 Ader, I., Brizuela, L., Bouquerel, P., Malavaud, B., & Cuvillier, O. (2008).

18 Sphingosine kinase 1: a new modulator of hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha

19 during hypoxia in human cancer cells. *Cancer Res*, 68(20), 8635-8642.

- 1 doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0917
- 2 Beare, A. H., O'Kane, S., Krane, S. M., & Ferguson, M. W. (2003). Severely
3 impaired wound healing in the collagenase-resistant mouse. *J Invest*
4 *Dermatol*, *120*(1), 153-163. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1747.2003.12019.x
- 5 Biswas, S., Tapryal, N., Mukherjee, R., Kumar, R., & Mukhopadhyay, C. K. (2013).
6 Insulin promotes iron uptake in human hepatic cell by regulating
7 transferrin receptor-1 transcription mediated by hypoxia inducible factor-
8 1. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, *1832*(2), 293-301.
9 doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2012.11.003
- 10 Chen, L., Gajendrareddy, P. K., & DiPietro, L. A. (2012). Differential expression
11 of HIF-1alpha in skin and mucosal wounds. *J Dent Res*, *91*(9), 871-876.
12 doi:10.1177/0022034512454435
- 13 Corcoran, S. E., & O'Neill, L. A. (2016). HIF1alpha and metabolic reprogramming
14 in inflammation. *J Clin Invest*, *126*(10), 3699-3707. doi:10.1172/jci84431
- 15 Cramer, T., Yamanishi, Y., Clausen, B. E., Forster, I., Pawlinski, R., Mackman,
16 N., . . . Johnson, R. S. (2003). HIF-1alpha is essential for myeloid cell-

1 mediated inflammation. *Cell*, 112(5), 645-657.

2 Cummins, E. P., Seeballuck, F., Keely, S. J., Mangan, N. E., Callanan, J. J., Fallon,
3 P. G., & Taylor, C. T. (2008). The hydroxylase inhibitor
4 dimethyloxalylglycine is protective in a murine model of colitis.
5 *Gastroenterology*, 134(1), 156-165. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2007.10.012

6 Cyster, J. G., & Schwab, S. R. (2012). Sphingosine-1-phosphate and lymphocyte
7 egress from lymphoid organs. *Annu Rev Immunol*, 30, 69-94.
8 doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-020711-075011

9 Galvan-Pena, S., & O'Neill, L. A. (2014). Metabolic reprogramming in macrophage
10 polarization. *Front Immunol*, 5, 420. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00420

11 Hams, E., Saunders, S. P., Cummins, E. P., O'Connor, A., Tambuwala, M. T.,
12 Gallagher, W. M., . . . Fallon, P. G. (2011). The hydroxylase inhibitor
13 dimethyloxallyl glycine attenuates endotoxic shock via alternative
14 activation of macrophages and IL-10 production by B1 cells. *Shock*, 36(3),
15 295-302. doi:10.1097/SHK.0b013e318225ad7e

16 Herr, B., Zhou, J., Werno, C., Menrad, H., Namgaladze, D., Weigert, A., . . . Brune,

1 B. (2009). The supernatant of apoptotic cells causes transcriptional
2 activation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha in macrophages via
3 sphingosine-1-phosphate and transforming growth factor-beta. *Blood*,
4 *114*(10), 2140-2148. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-01-201889

5 Hughes, J. E., Srinivasan, S., Lynch, K. R., Proia, R. L., Ferdek, P., & Hedrick, C.
6 C. (2008). Sphingosine-1-phosphate induces an antiinflammatory
7 phenotype in macrophages. *Circ Res*, *102*(8), 950-958.
8 doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.107.170779

9 Iglesias-Bartolome, R., Uchiyama, A., Molinolo, A. A., Abusleme, L., Brooks, S.
10 R., Callejas-Valera, J. L., . . . Morasso, M. I. (2018). Transcriptional
11 signature primes human oral mucosa for rapid wound healing. *Sci Transl*
12 *Med*, *10*(451). doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aap8798

13 Jantsch, J., Chakravorty, D., Turza, N., Prechtel, A. T., Buchholz, B., Gerlach, R.
14 G., . . . Willam, C. (2008). Hypoxia and hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha
15 modulate lipopolysaccharide-induced dendritic cell activation and function.
16 *J Immunol*, *180*(7), 4697-4705.

- 1 Jinno, K., Takahashi, T., Tsuchida, K., Tanaka, E., & Moriyama, K. (2009).
2 Acceleration of palatal wound healing in Smad3-deficient mice. *J Dent Res*,
3 *88*(8), 757-761. doi:10.1177/0022034509341798
- 4 Kalhori, V., Kemppainen, K., Asghar, M. Y., Bergelin, N., Jaakkola, P., &
5 Tornquist, K. (2013). Sphingosine-1-Phosphate as a Regulator of
6 Hypoxia-Induced Factor-1alpha in Thyroid Follicular Carcinoma Cells.
7 *PLoS One*, *8*(6), e66189. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066189
- 8 Ke, X., Chen, C., Song, Y., Cai, Q., Li, J., Tang, Y., . . . Liu, D. (2019). Hypoxia
9 modifies the polarization of macrophages and their inflammatory
10 microenvironment, and inhibits malignant behavior in cancer cells. *Oncol*
11 *Lett*, *18*(6), 5871-5878. doi:10.3892/ol.2019.10956
- 12 Krzyszczyk, P., Schloss, R., Palmer, A., & Berthiaume, F. (2018). The Role of
13 Macrophages in Acute and Chronic Wound Healing and Interventions to
14 Promote Pro-wound Healing Phenotypes. *Front Physiol*, *9*, 419.
15 doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00419
- 16 Li, N., Li, Y., Li, Z., Huang, C., Yang, Y., Lang, M., . . . Ren, H. (2016). Hypoxia

- 1 Inducible Factor 1 (HIF-1) Recruits Macrophage to Activate Pancreatic
2 Stellate Cells in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Int J Mol Sci*, 17(6).
3 doi:10.3390/ijms17060799
- 4 Lin, N., & Simon, M. C. (2016). Hypoxia-inducible factors: key regulators of
5 myeloid cells during inflammation. *J Clin Invest*, 126(10), 3661-3671.
6 doi:10.1172/jci84426
- 7 Low, Q. E., Drugea, I. A., Duffner, L. A., Quinn, D. G., Cook, D. N., Rollins, B.
8 J., . . . DiPietro, L. A. (2001). Wound healing in MIP-1alpha(-/-) and
9 MCP-1(-/-) mice. *Am J Pathol*, 159(2), 457-463. doi:10.1016/s0002-
10 9440(10)61717-8
- 11 Lukashev, D., Klebanov, B., Kojima, H., Grinberg, A., Ohta, A., Berenfeld, L., . . .
12 Sitkovsky, M. (2006). Cutting edge: hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha and
13 its activation-inducible short isoform I.1 negatively regulate functions of
14 CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes. *J Immunol*, 177(8), 4962-4965.
15 doi:10.4049/jimmunol.177.8.4962
- 16 Madjdpour, C., Jewell, U. R., Kneller, S., Ziegler, U., Schwendener, R., Booy,

1 C., . . . Beck-Schimmer, B. (2003). Decreased alveolar oxygen induces lung
2 inflammation. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*, 284(2), L360-367.
3 doi:10.1152/ajplung.00158.2002

4 Muller, J., von Bernstorff, W., Heidecke, C. D., & Schulze, T. (2017). Differential
5 S1P Receptor Profiles on M1- and M2-Polarized Macrophages Affect
6 Macrophage Cytokine Production and Migration. *Biomed Res Int*, 2017,
7 7584621. doi:10.1155/2017/7584621

8 Murdoch, C., Giannoudis, A., & Lewis, C. E. (2004). Mechanisms regulating the
9 recruitment of macrophages into hypoxic areas of tumors and other
10 ischemic tissues. *Blood*, 104(8), 2224-2234. doi:10.1182/blood-2004-03-
11 1109

12 Murdoch, C., Muthana, M., & Lewis, C. E. (2005). Hypoxia regulates macrophage
13 functions in inflammation. *J Immunol*, 175(10), 6257-6263.
14 doi:10.4049/jimmunol.175.10.6257

15 Pike, J. A., Styles, I. B., Rappoport, J. Z., & Heath, J. K. (2017). Quantifying
16 receptor trafficking and colocalization with confocal microscopy. *Methods*,

1 115, 42-54. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2017.01.005

2 Rius, J., Guma, M., Schachtrup, C., Akassoglou, K., Zinkernagel, A. S., Nizet,

3 V., . . . Karin, M. (2008). NF-kappaB links innate immunity to the hypoxic

4 response through transcriptional regulation of HIF-1alpha. *Nature*,

5 453(7196), 807-811. doi:10.1038/nature06905

6 Ross, R. B. (1987). Treatment variables affecting facial growth in complete

7 unilateral cleft lip and palate. *Cleft Palate J*, 24(1), 5-77.

8 Sakiyama, S., dePerrot, M., Han, B., Waddell, T. K., Keshavjee, S., & Liu, M.

9 (2003). Ischemia-reperfusion decreases protein tyrosine phosphorylation

10 and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphorylation in rat lung

11 transplants. *J Heart Lung Transplant*, 22(3), 338-346. doi:10.1016/s1053-

12 2498(02)00553-3

13 Spiegel, S., & Milstien, S. (2011). The outs and the ins of sphingosine-1-

14 phosphate in immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 11(6), 403-415.

15 doi:10.1038/nri2974

16 Srinivasan, S., Bolick, D. T., Lukashev, D., Lappas, C., Sitkovsky, M., Lynch, K.

1 R., & Hedrick, C. C. (2008). Sphingosine-1-phosphate reduces CD4+ T-
2 cell activation in type 1 diabetes through regulation of hypoxia-inducible
3 factor short isoform I.1 and CD69. *Diabetes*, *57*(2), 484-493.
4 doi:10.2337/db07-0855

5 Stewart, R. J., Duley, J. A., Rosman, I., Fraser, R., & Allardyce, R. A. (1981). The
6 wound fibroblast and macrophage. I: Wound cell population changes
7 observed in tissue culture. *Br J Surg*, *68*(2), 125-128.
8 doi:10.1002/bjs.1800680219

9 Szpaderska, A. M., Walsh, C. G., Steinberg, M. J., & DiPietro, L. A. (2005).
10 Distinct patterns of angiogenesis in oral and skin wounds. *J Dent Res*,
11 *84*(4), 309-314. doi:10.1177/154405910508400403

12 Takaku, M., Tomita, S., Kurobe, H., Kihira, Y., Morimoto, A., Higashida, M., . . .
13 Tamaki, T. (2012). Systemic preconditioning by a prolyl hydroxylase
14 inhibitor promotes prevention of skin flap necrosis via HIF-1-induced bone
15 marrow-derived cells. *PLoS One*, *7*(8), e42964.
16 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042964

1 Takeda, N., O'Dea, E. L., Doedens, A., Kim, J. W., Weidemann, A., Stockmann,
2 C., . . . Johnson, R. S. (2010). Differential activation and antagonistic
3 function of HIF- α isoforms in macrophages are essential for NO
4 homeostasis. *Genes Dev*, *24*(5), 491-501. doi:10.1101/gad.1881410

5 Takeshita, S., Kaji, K., & Kudo, A. (2000). Identification and characterization of
6 the new osteoclast progenitor with macrophage phenotypes being able to
7 differentiate into mature osteoclasts. *J Bone Miner Res*, *15*(8), 1477-1488.
8 doi:10.1359/jbmr.2000.15.8.1477

9 Tomita, S., Ueno, M., Sakamoto, M., Kitahama, Y., Ueki, M., Maekawa, N., . . .
10 Takahama, Y. (2003). Defective brain development in mice lacking the
11 Hif-1 α gene in neural cells. *Mol Cell Biol*, *23*(19), 6739-6749.
12 doi:10.1128/mcb.23.19.6739-6749.2003

13 Walmsley, S. R., Print, C., Farahi, N., Peyssonnaud, C., Johnson, R. S., Cramer,
14 T., . . . Chilvers, E. R. (2005). Hypoxia-induced neutrophil survival is
15 mediated by HIF-1 α -dependent NF-kappaB activity. *J Exp Med*,
16 *201*(1), 105-115. doi:10.1084/jem.20040624

1 Weigert, A., Olesch, C., & Brune, B. (2019). Sphingosine-1-Phosphate and
2 Macrophage Biology-How the Sphinx Tames the Big Eater. *Front Immunol*,
3 *10*, 1706. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.01706

4 Wilkinson, H. N., Roberts, E. R., Stafford, A. R., Banyard, K. L., Matteucci, P.,
5 Mace, K. A., & Hardman, M. J. (2019). Tissue Iron Promotes Wound
6 Repair via M2 Macrophage Polarization and the Chemokine (C-C Motif)
7 Ligands 17 and 22. *Am J Pathol*, *189*(11), 2196-2208.
8 doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2019.07.015

9 Yang, L., Han, Z., Tian, L., Mai, P., Zhang, Y., Wang, L., & Li, L. (2015).
10 Sphingosine 1-Phosphate Receptor 2 and 3 Mediate Bone Marrow-
11 Derived Monocyte/Macrophage Motility in Cholestatic Liver Injury in
12 Mice. *Sci Rep*, *5*, 13423. doi:10.1038/srep13423

13 Yoneda, N., Yasue, A., Watanabe, T., & Tanaka, E. (2013). Down-regulation of
14 Smad3 accelerates palatal wound repair. *J Dent Res*, *92*(8), 716-720.
15 doi:10.1177/0022034513491575

16
17

1
2
3
4

5 **TABLE 1. Primer sequence used for RT-PCR**

Gene	Sense	Antisense
<i>HIF-1α</i>	5'-GTCACCTGGTTGCTGCAATA-3'	5'-CATGATGGCTCCCTTTTTTCA-3'
<i>Coll1a1</i>	5'-CCACGTCTCACCATTGGGG-3'	5'-GCTCCTCTTAGGGGCCACT-3'
<i>MCP-1</i>	5'-GCATTAGCTTCAGATTTACGGGT-3'	5'-TAAAAACCTGGATCGGAACCAAA-3'
<i>MIP-1α</i>	5'-CAACGATGAATTGGCGTGGAA-3'	5'-TGTACCATGACACTCTGCAAC-3'
<i>Sphk1</i>	5'-GCTTCTGTGAACCACTATGCTGG-3'	5'-ACTGAGCACAGAATAGAGCCGC-3'
<i>SIP₁</i>	5'-CGCAGTTCTGAGAAGTCTCTGG-3'	5'-GGATGTCACAGGTCTTCGCCTT-3'
<i>SIP₂</i>	5'-TGTTGCTGGTCCTCAGACGCTA-3'	5'-AGTGGGCTTTGTAGAGGACAGG-3'
<i>SIP₃</i>	5'-GCTTCATCGTCTTGGAGAACCTG-3'	5'-CAGAGAGCCAAGTTGCCGATGA-3'
<i>SIP₄</i>	5'-GTGTATGGCTGCATCGGTCTGT-3'	5'-GAGCACATAGCCCTTGGAGTAG-3'
<i>SIP₅</i>	5'-AGACTCCTCCAACAGCTTGCAG-3'	5'-TAGAGCTGCGATCCAAGGTTGG-3'
<i>iNOS</i>	5'-GAGACAGGGAAGTCTGAAGCAC-3'	5'-CCAGCAGTAGTTGCTCCTCTTC-3'
<i>Arginase-1</i>	5'-CATTGGCTTGCAGACGTAGAC-3'	5'-GCTGAAGGTCTCTTCCATCACC-3'
<i>GAPDH</i>	5'-GGTCCTCAGTGTAGCCCAAG-3'	5'-TGCCCAGAACATCATCCCT-3'

6