¹ "Title"

2	Suspension pattern and rising height of sedimentary
3	particles with low concentration in a mechanically stirred
4	vessel
5	
6	"Author name"
7	Yuichiro Tokura ^a , Keita Miyagawa ^a , Md. Azhar Uddin ^a , Yoshiei Kato ^{a,*}
8	
9	"Author address"
10	a: Department of Material and Energy Science, Graduate School of Environmental and Life
11	Science, Okayama University, 1-1 Tsushima-naka, 3-chome, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8530
12	Japan
13	
14	"Corresponding author"
15	*Yoshiei Kato, E-mail address: y-kato@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp
16	
17	
18	

19Abstract:

In this study, the effects of impeller rotation speed, off-bottom clearance, blade angle, 20kinds of solid and liquid, etc. on the suspension pattern of sedimentary particles and particle-2122rising height in liquid were investigated with a hemispherical vessel without baffles under low particle concentration. The transition conditions of suspension pattern between regimes I and 23II, and regimes II and III, were observed visually, and their non-dimensional equations were 24expressed with a good correlation by varying the above operation factors a great deal. Here, 25regime I: particles stagnation on a vessel bottom II: partial suspension and III: complete 26suspension in liquid. The non-dimensional equation of the maximum particles-rising height 27was also successfully obtained. The combination of the non-dimensional equations of transition 28and maximum particles-rising height permitted us to determine the adequate solid/liquid 29mixing operation conditions without collision of particles with device parts. 30

31

32Key words:

33

Solid/liquid mixing, Suspension, Sedimentary particle, Mechanical stirring, PIV.

 $\mathbf{2}$

34 1 INTRODUCTION

Mechanical stirring operations with an impeller have been used in a wide range of 35industries. Among them, solid/liquid mixing procedure is applied to many unit operations 36 ^[1-3] such as crystallization, adsorption, solid catalytic reaction and polymerization in order 37 to maximize solid/liquid mass transfer and reaction rates by preventing particles from 38 depositing on a vessel bottom. ^[4-7] Thus, not only cloud height ^[8,9] above 10 mass% 39 particle concentration but also completely suspended rotation speed were investigated by 40 an impeller mixing with baffles. The reaction rate was moderately increased above the 41completely suspended rotation speed. ^[4, 5, 10] Thus, the empirical equations ^[2, 4, 11-17] and 42computational fluid dynamics ^[1, 18] were developed to estimate the completely suspended 43rotation speed which was one of the significant indexes. 4445On the other hand, crude molten metal has been purified by various methods in pyrometallurgy field. As one of the prevailing approaches to remove a small amount of 46nonmetallic inclusions (small-sized solid impurities) from molten light metals such as 47aluminum (ρ_L : 2.32x10³ kg/m³, T_M : 933.5 K) and magnesium (ρ_L : 1.54x10³ kg/m³, T_M : 48

923.2 K), ^[19] the operation procedure of addition and impeller agitation of pulverized
sedimentary flux to adhere impurities has been put to practical use. ^[20-22] The flux amount
to purify the molten metal is small due to the low impurity concentration below 1 mass%.

52	Thus, it is important to make clear the suspension behavior with low particle
53	concentration for the optimal purification operation. For the metal purification procedure,
54	the hemispherical vessel is normally used to be prevented from the flux stagnation at the
55	bottom corner and no baffled due to the erosion by high temperature operation. In addition,
56	unbaffled vessels have been usually used for the purification operation of molten metal
57	in the smelting industry because baffle erosion is promoted by the metal swirl flow of
58	high temperature.
59	Recently, the quest for solid/liquid mixing has become diversified to develop
60	advanced new products. ^[23, 24] The collision of solid particles against device parts such as
61	baffles and impeller or collision between solid particles sometimes reduce in products
62	quality, ^[25, 26] and the impeller abrasion was also raised due to colliding with the particles.
63	^[27] For example, particle collision affected a product size distribution ^[25, 27] at
64	crystallization process, abrasion of catalytic particles ^[25, 27] posed catalyst deterioration
65	as well as additional process of removing the fine particles formed by the abrasion at a
66	reactor with catalyst.
67	Besides, there was a need for mixing process without baffles ^[24] due to difficulty in
68	cleaning baffles and cost saving in the pharmaceutical industry. Although a few studies
69	described that the impeller mixing without baffles had smaller rotation speed and power

to reach the completely suspended condition ^[28-30] compared with the mixing with baffles, 70the correlation ^[28, 30] of suspension behavior with the operation factors was not always 71found out sufficiently. There is little study on the rotation speed colliding between 72sedimentary particles and impeller parts. On the other hand, in the case of low 73 concentration of solid particles with lower density than liquid, those on the liquid vortex 74were dispersed into the liquid phase by the collision of the deepened vortex and solid 75particles against an impeller when the rotation speed increased, ^[31] and the dispersion 76 manner of solid particles in liquid was clarified by operation factors such as the rotation 77speed and off-bottom clearance. 78

In this study, effects of operation factors such as impeller rotation speed, off-bottom 79clearance, a blade angle, kinds of solid and liquid on suspension behavior of sedimentary 80 particles were made clear by a hemispherical vessel without baffles. The hemispherical 81 typed bottom ^[32] is effective for the sedimentary particles not to stagnate at the bottom of 82 the side wall.^[10] Next, the non-dimensional equations on the transitions between particles 83 stagnation and partial suspension, and between partial and complete suspensions were 84 formed by multi-regression analyses with the use of experimental results. The maximum 85 86 particle-rising height was also indicated by the non-dimensional equation. The standard experimental condition was under the low particle concentration because it had less 87

impact on the maximum particle-rising height as described in Chapter 3. In addition, the
liquid flow pattern at the beginning of sedimentary particles suspension was visualized
by a PIV system to explain the effect of impeller blade angle on the flow pattern.

91

92 2 EXPERIMENTAL

93 2.1 Visual observation

94 The suspension behavior of sedimentary particles was observed visually. The 95 schematic diagram of experimental apparatus and angle-changeable impeller blades





102	decrease optical refraction index. [33-36] Liquid was charged into the hemispherical
103	vessel so as to become bath depth, $H_L[m] = (3/5)T$. Off-bottom clearance, $C[m]$, was
104	defined as the distance between an impeller and vessel bottoms. The shaft center of the
105	impeller was set on the central axis of the hemispherical vessel and the up-pumping
106	impeller was used in this study. The effect of blade angle, θ [deg], was represented by
107	the projected thickness, b_i' [m], to liquid. ^[37]
108	$b_{i}' = b_{i} \cos\theta + w_{i} \sin\theta \tag{1}$
109	Here, b_i [m] and w_i [m] are the thickness and width of the blade, respectively.
110	Liquid used for the experiment and physical properties are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Physical properties of liquid phase at 298.15 K.

		Liquid density, $\rho_{\rm L}$ (kg/m ³)	Liquid viscosity, μ (Pa · s)
	Ion-exchanged water	0.997×10 ³	0.89×10 ⁻³
	10 mass% glycerin-water solution	1.02×10^{3}	1.17×10^{-3}
112	20 mass% glycerin-water solution	1.05×10^{3}	1.55×10 ⁻³
1 1 4			

```
114 Based on ion-exchanged water (liquid density, \rho_L: 0.997x10<sup>3</sup> kg/m<sup>3</sup>, liquid viscosity, \mu:
```

```
115 0.89x10<sup>-3</sup> Pas), 10 mass% glycerin-water solution (\rho_L: 1.02x10<sup>3</sup> kg/m<sup>3</sup>, \mu: 1.17x10<sup>-3</sup>
```

- 116 Pas) and 20 mass% glycerin-water solution (ρ_L : 1.05x10³ kg/m³, μ : 1.55x10⁻³ Pas) were
- 117 used. On the other hand, as shown in Table 2, cationic (Na⁺) exchange resin (mean
- 118 diameter, $d_{\rm P}$: 0.7×10⁻³ m, solid density, $\rho_{\rm S}$: 1.15×10³ kg/m³, Organo Corporation),

119	polystyrene ball (d_p : 1.1×10 ⁻³ m	$\rho_{\rm S}$: 1.04×10 ³ kg/m ³	, Sekisui Plastics Co., Ltd	.) and

120 nylon ball (d_p : 3.2×10⁻³ m, ρ_s : 1.14×10³ kg/m³, Sato Tekko Co., Ltd.) were used for the

121	solid particle	. The kinemati	c viscosity
-----	----------------	----------------	-------------

122

126

Table 2 Physical properties of solid particles.

Polystyrene 1.1×10 ⁻³ 1.04×10 ³
Ion-exchange resin 0.7×10^{-3} 1.15×10^{3}
Nylon ball 3.2×10^{-3} 1.14×10^{3}

study was 1.15 as shown in Tables 1 and 2. On the other hand, the sedimentary mixed

127 fluxes of chlorides (MgCl₂, KCl, NaCl, AlCl₃, CaCl₂ etc.), fluorides (NaF, KF, AlF₃

128 etc.), carbonates (Na₂CO₃, K₂CO₃, CaCO₃ etc.) are usually used to purify molten

aluminum and magnesium and the densities of these compounds are between 2.0×10^3

and 3.2×10^3 kg/m³. ^[20] To reach the same ρ_S/ρ_L value between this experiment and light

131 metal purification condition, the $\rho_{\rm S}$ values of aluminum and magnesium must be

 $132 \quad 2.32 \times 10^3 \times 1.15 = 2.67 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ and } 1.54 \times 10^3 \times 1.15 = 1.77 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3, \text{ respectively. These}$

- 133 values lay within and near the range of the flux density for aluminum and magnesium
- 134 purifications, respectively. Additionally, the kinematic viscosity, μ/ρ_L , values of ion-
- exchanged water, molten aluminum and magnesium ^[38] were 8.9x10⁻⁷, 5.6x10⁻⁷, and

136	7.1×10^{-7} , respectively. It was found that the kinematic viscosity in this study was near
137	the molten aluminum and magnesium. From these facts, the selection of solid-liquid
138	system in this study seems to approximately permit to simulate the suspension behavior
139	of flux in light molten metal.

- 140 The experimental conditions of the suspension behavior of sedimentary particles141 in liquid are shown in Table 3. The standard experimental conditions were shown
- 142
- Table 3 Experimental conditions of suspension pattern and PIV measurements.

Variables	Suspension pattern	PIV
Vessel inner diameter, $T(m)$	<u>0.2</u> , 0.3	0.2
Bath depth (liquid height), $H_{\rm L}$ (m)	<u>0.12</u> , 0.18	0.12
Blade diameter, $D(m)$	<u>0.088</u>	0.088
Blade thickness, b_i (m)	<u>0.023</u>	0.023
Blade width, w_i (m)	<u>0.010</u>	0.010
Blade angle, θ (deg)	0, <u>40</u> , 60, 90	0, 40, 60, 90
Rotating speed, $N(s^{-1})$	0 - 6.0	0.3 - 1
Off-bottom clearance, $C(m)$	0.024 - 0.092	0.048
Solid/liquid ratio, $V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L}$ (-)	6×10^{-4} , 0.01, 0.02	_
Continuous phase	Ion-exchanged water 10 mass% Glycerin-water solution 20 mass% Glycerin-water solution	Ion-exchanged water
Dispersed phase	Polystyrene Ion-exchange resin Nylon ball	-

143

underlined. The vessel diameter, T, bath depth, H_L , blade angle, θ , rotation speed, N,

146 off-bottom clearance, C, solid/liquid volumetric ratio, $V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L}$, and kinds of solid and

147	liquid were varied. The experiment was carried out in the low particle concentration
148	such as $V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L} \leq 0.02$ based on the purification process of light molten metal. Although
149	the height of particles suspended to the entire radial direction of tank is usually
150	measured as the cloud height in the high particle concentration situation, ^[9, 39] the height
151	where low concentration of particles ($V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L}~\leq 0.02$) suspend around the center axis
152	below the impeller and impinge on the impeller was defined as the maximum rising
153	height in this study. Each suspension behavior of sedimentary particles was determined
154	by recording a digital video camera for 60 s to distinguish the particle suspension
155	pattern.
156	The values of Ar number in this study were calculated between 2.13×10^2 and
157	5.78×10^4 . The experiments were carried out in the regime where large and medium
158	sized particles interact with turbulent eddies in the sub-range due to $Ar > 2x10^{-2}$ as
159	indicated by Grenville et al. ^[7]
160	
161	2.2 PIV measurement

Assuming that the flow pattern with low concentration of sedimentary particles is 162similar to that with no-particle, the PIV experiment was carried out under the single-phase 163flow except for fine tracer particles. The two-dimensional PIV system (Flowtech 164

165 Research, Inc.) to measure liquid flow pattern is schematically shown in Figure 2. A

166 neodymium laser (green) with a wavelength of 532 nm was used in this



169

system. Polystyrene particles (mean diameter: 3.05x10⁻⁵ m, density: 1.07x10³ kg/m³, 170Sekisui Plastics Co., Ltd.) were put in ion-exchanged water and a black and white CCD 171(Charge-Coupled Device) camera was used to record the simultaneous motion of the 172173particles in liquid. To prevent the refraction of laser beam and optical strain due to the hemispherical configuration, the cuboid vessel was filled with tap water as schematically 174indicated in Figure 1. The sequential 1000 frames were analyzed statistically to evaluate 175the liquid motion and the sampling interval was 0.005 s^[36] because the reproducible flow 176pattern was obtained from the same test condition. The experimental conditions of PIV 177178measurement are also shown in Table 3. The variables were blade angle and rotation speed. 179

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 180 3

3.1 Suspension pattern of sedimentary particles in liquid 181

According to an increasing impeller rotation speed, sedimentary particles motion 182was shifted to only rotation on the vessel bottom without suspension \rightarrow partially 183 suspended in liquid \rightarrow completely suspended in liquid. The particles sometimes collided 184with the impeller while suspending. It was visually observed from the sudden particle 185movement toward a direction different from fluid flow near the bottom of the impeller. 186 As schematically shown in Figure 3, particles suspension pattern was clarified as 187



188

189

190

191 follows.

Regime where sedimentary particles stay at the bottom 192I.

II. Regime where particles leave the bottom partially and suspend in liquid withoutcollision with the impeller

- 195 II'. Regime where parts of partially suspended particles collide with the impeller
- 196 III. Regime where particles leave the bottom completely and suspend in liquid197 without collision with the impeller
- 198 III'. Regime where parts of completely suspended particles collide with the impeller
- Here, 'mark means that parts of particles collide with the impeller. In regime III or III', particles repeated to suspend in liquid within 1 to 2 seconds even if some particles deposited on the bottom based on Zwietering's definition. ^[4] The height of the transition between regimes II - II' (or III - III') indicates the maximum particle-rising height, H_R , of
- 203 particles and becomes $C = H_{\rm R}$.
- In addition, an example of suspension pattern of sedimentary particles under the condition such as resin-water system, $V_S/V_L=0.02$, T=0.2 m, $\theta=40$ deg and C=0.048 m is shown in Figure 4. Regime III was unobserved in this condition. The suspension pattern was switched to Regimes I \rightarrow II \rightarrow III" \rightarrow III" by the increasing rotation speed.



212 **3.2 Vertical cross-sectional flow pattern**

An example of cross-sectional velocity vectors obtained by the PIV measurement under the standard conditions such as T=0.2 m, C=0.048 m and $\theta=40$ deg is shown in Figure 5. The rotation speed was 1.0 s^{-1} . The condition was in regime II, although there was no sedimentary particle in the PIV system. As indicated by the arrow direction, the outward and horizontal flows generated by impeller rotation split upward and downward at the vessel wall. The upward and downward flows along the wall resulted in circulation flows, respectively. The vertical upward was seen just below the impeller blade.



Figure 5 An example of cross-sectional velocity vectors.

222

3.3 Effect of operating factors on particle suspension pattern

224The effect of operation factors such as off-bottom clearance, rotation speed, sedimentary particles, liquid, solid/liquid volumetric ratio, vessel diameter on the 225suspension pattern were investigated in this section. The relationships between the off-226bottom clearance and rotation speed under the various parameters were shown together 227in Figure 6. The transition rotation speed of particles suspension pattern for each C in an 228arbitrary manner was determined when non-transition occurred at 3 % lower rotation 229230speed. Thus, the critical rotation speed was between 0.97N and N. Each effect was described in detail below. 231



232

233



236 particles suspension pattern

237 The relationship between off-bottom clearance, C, and rotation speed, N, at the

transition of particles suspension is shown in Figure 6 (a). The off-bottom clearance and

- rotation speed were varied under the standard conditions of T=0.2 m, $\theta = 40$ deg and
- 240 $V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L} = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ with nylon balls ion-exchanged water system. From Figure 6 (a), both

241	of the transition N of I - II and II–III (II' - III') were kept constant at $C \ge 0.048$ m,
242	whereas they increased with the decrease in C at $C < 0.048$ m. The difference of the
243	relationship between C and N at $C = 0.048$ m is estimated to depend on the change in
244	the flow pattern near the bottom as indicated by Montante et al. ^[35] The transition N of
245	II - II' and III - III' increased with the increasing $C (= H_R)$, which means that the
246	maximum particle-rising height depended on the impeller rotation speed.
247	3.3.2 Effect of sedimentary particles and liquid on their suspension pattern
248	The effect of solid particles on the transition of particles suspension pattern is
249	shown in Figure 6 (b). Three kinds of solid particles were used. $T = 0.2$ m, $\theta = 40$ deg,
250	$V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L} = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ and ion-exchanged water were the standard conditions. The transition
251	between C and N of each kind of particles indicated had almost the same tendency as
252	Figure 6 (a). The transition N of I - II and II - III (II' - III') against C was in the
253	following descending order: Nylon ball > Ion exchange resin > Polystyrene (PS), except
254	the transition of II–III (II' - III') for resin and nylon, that is, 0.92 s ⁻¹ (Nylon) > 0.60 s ⁻¹
255	(resin) > 0.32 s ⁻¹ (PS) for I – II, and 2.75 s ⁻¹ (Nylon) > 3.3 s ⁻¹ (resin and PS) for II - III
256	(II' - III'). It is due to the higher density and diameter of particles which make it more
257	difficult to suspend in liquid. $^{[40]}$ Being different from nylon ball, the I - II transition N
258	for polystyrene and resin was kept constant at $C < 0.048$ m because of suspension

easiness. However, the II - III (II' - III') tendency of N vs C was the same between three 259solid particles. Moreover, the transition N of II - II' and III - III' increased with the 260261increasing $C (= H_R)$. 262The effect of physical properties of liquid phase such as density and viscosity on the transition of nylon particles suspension pattern is shown in Figure 6 (c). T = 0.2 m, θ 263= 40 deg and $V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L} = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ were the standard conditions. The transition N of I - II 264and II - III (II' - III') against C was in the following descending order: water > 10265mass% glycerin-water solution > 20 mass% glycerin-water solution, although the 266relationship between transition N and C had the same tendency as Figures 6 (a) and (b). 267That was due to the larger liquid viscosity, which was easy to lift up and difficult to sink 268down the solid particles. On the other hand, the transition N of regime II - II' and III -269270III' increased with the increasing $C (= H_R)$. 3.3.3 Effect of solid/liquid volumetric ratio on suspension pattern of sedimentary 271particles 272The effect of solid/liquid volumetric ratio, $V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L}$, on the resin suspension pattern 273is shown in Figure 6 (d). The ion-exchange resin was used as the sedimentary particles. 274275T = 0.2 m and $\theta = 40$ deg were the standard conditions. From Figure 6 (d), neither C nor $V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L}$ was almost affected by the transition N of I – II and II' – III'. The smaller $V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L}$ 276

277	made the transition N of II – II' slightly larger against the same C , although the
278	difference was smaller than those of other figures in Figure 6. Thus, the suspension
279	behavior with low sedimentary particle concentration was permitted to estimate the
280	suspension with the other concentration. There was no III regime in this condition. That
281	is because some particles begin to collide with the impeller before the complete
282	suspension.
283	3.3.4 Effect of vessel diameter on suspension pattern of sedimentary particles
284	The effect of vessel diameter on the transition of resin particles suspension pattern
285	is shown in Figure 6 (e) when the ion-exchange resin was used as the sedimentary
286	particles. $\theta = 40 \text{ deg and } V_{\text{S}}/V_{\text{L}} = 6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ were the standard conditions. The transition N of}$
287	I – II at $T = 0.2$ and 0.3 m became equal to each other and constant for varying C. On the
288	other hand, the transition N of II' – III' was kept constant at $C \ge 0.048$ m and larger at
289	C < 0.048 m as seen in Figures 6 (a) – (d), and that of $T = 0.3$ m had the larger N than
290	T = 0.2 m. As the energy supplied rate per volume of $T = 0.2$ m was larger than that of T
291	=0.3 m at the more strong rotation speed such as $N > 3$ s ⁻¹ , all particles suspended in the
292	liquid phase even if the rotation speed of $T = 0.2$ m was smaller than that of $T = 0.3$ m.
293	The maximum particle-rising height, H_R , of $T = 0.2$ m obtained from the transition C of
294	II - II' was slightly smaller than that of $T = 0.3$ m at the same rotation speed. That results

296

310

from the smaller geometric configuration of T = 0.2 m. C normalized by T indicated the same values between T = 0.2 and 0.3 m, although it was not shown by the figure.

3.3.5 Effect of blade angle on particles suspension and liquid flow patterns

298The effect of blade angle on the transition of resin particles suspension pattern is shown in Figure 6 (f) when the ion-exchange resin as the sedimentary particles and water 299as liquid phase were used. T = 0.2 m and $V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L} = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ were the standard conditions. 300 Both of the transition N of I - II and II' - III' for a given C were in the following ascending 301 order: $\theta = 40 < 0 < 60 < 90$ deg. Liquid circulation flow caused by the impeller rotation 302 is promoted by the larger blade-projected thickness promotes when the rotation speed is 303 equal. The blade-projected thickness calculated by Equation (1) was in the following 304 decreasing order: $\theta = 40$ (b_i '=0.024 m), > 0 (b_i '=0.023 m) > 60 (b_i '=0.020 m) > 90 deg 305 306 $(b_i)=0.010$ m). Thus, the blade-projected thickness decreased the transition N at the same C. The maximum particle-rising height, $H_{\rm R}$ obtained by the transition C of II - II' at the 307 same N was also in the following descending order: $\theta = 40 > 0 > 60 > 90$ deg. 308 When the uplifting force of particles on the bottom surpasses the difference of 309

- 311 transition of I II occurs. The upward force associated with liquid flow near the bottom
- 312 is supposed to affect the particles suspension. Taking notice of the upward vertical

downward force between gravity and buoyancy, they start suspending in liquid ^[5] and the

velocity, the PIV measurements at different blade angle were carried out under the standard conditions of T = 0.2 m, C = 0.048 m and $V_S/V_L = 6 \times 10^{-4}$. The impeller rotation speed at the transition of I—II was used for each blade angle as seen in Figure 6 (f). Figure 7 shows the distribution of the upward vertical mean velocity under the impeller by a PIV measurement. Each figure was drawn by vertical components of velocity vector as typically shown in Figure 5. The rotation speed of each impeller blade

in Figure 7 was at the transition of I - II. For four kinds of blade angles, there was an



320





328 0.915 (
$$\theta = 40 \text{ deg}, b_i' = 0.024 \text{ m}, N = 0.6 \text{ s}^{-1}$$
), 0.877 ($\theta = 0 \text{ deg}, b_i' = 0.023 \text{ m}, N = 0.63 \text{ s}^{-1}$)

329 ¹), 0.779 ($\theta = 60 \text{ deg}$, $b_i' = 0.020 \text{ m}$, $N = 0.72 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and 0.504 ($\theta = 90 \text{ deg}$, $b_i' = 0.010 \text{ m}$, N

- $330 = 0.83 \text{ s}^{-1}$), that is, N_p decreased with the decreasing b_i ' and increasing N.
- In this study, the suspension pattern of sedimentary particles was investigated under the up-pumping operation where upward flow was formed just below the blade as seen in Figure 7. The necessary comparison between the up- and down-pumping conditions may be made in the next phase.

335

336 3.4 Non-dimensional equations of particles suspension pattern and maximum 337 particle-rising height in liquid

From Section 3.3, the transition *N* did not affect *C* at $C \ge 0.048$ m where two circulation flow existed below and above *C*. In this section, non-dimensional equations of the transition of I-II and II - III (II' - III') at $C \ge 0.048$ m were developed by a multiple regression analysis with dimensionless variables. The maximum particle rising height, *H*_R in liquid calculated by the transition *C* of II - II' and III - III' was also offered by another multiple regression analysis.

344 The non-dimensional equation of the transition of I - II, that is, the initiation of 345 sedimentary particle suspension in liquid was obtained as follows:

$$Fr = 10^{-4.75} Re^{0.895} \left\{ (\rho_{\rm S} - \rho_{\rm L}) / \rho_{\rm L} \right\}^{0.651} (b_{\rm i}'/D)^{-0.434} (d_{\rm p}/D)^{0.367}$$
(2)

Here, as the transition of I - II is considered to be affected by 8 variables such as D, N, g, $\rho_{\rm L}$, $\mu_{\rm L}$, $\rho_{\rm S}$, $b_{\rm i}$ ' and $d_{\rm p}$, and they have 3 basic units like length, time and mass, 5 (=8-3) sorts of dimensionless variables are necessary according to Buckingham's Π theorem. Thus, 5 dimensionless variables in Equation (2) were used for the non-dimensional equation. Equation (2) can be arranged by the Zwietering equation form ^[4] as follows:

$$N_{\rm JS}^* = 3.97 \times 10^{-4} v^{-0.810} \left\{ (\rho_{\rm S} - \rho_{\rm L}) / \rho_{\rm L} \right\}^{0.589} b_{\rm i}'^{-0.393} d_{\rm p}^{0.332} D^{-0.776}$$
(2')

Here, the impeller rotation speed at the transition of regime I and II, N_{JS}^* , had a positive correlation with the particle diameter, d_P , and a negative one with the impeller diameter, D, as well as Zwietering equation ^[4].

354 The relationship between the measured and calculated *Fr* is shown in Figure 8. A



356 Figure 8 Comparison between measured and calculated *Fr* at the transition of I - II.

358 good correlation was achieved (
$$R^2 = 0.989$$
). As *Fr* and *Re* in Equation (2) represent
359 inertial force/gravitational force and inertial force/viscous force, respectively, *Fr/Re*^{0.895}
360 indicates (inertial force)^{0.105}. Thus, the inertial force at the transition of I – II had a
361 positive correlation with ($\rho_S - \rho_L$) and *d*_P, and a negative correlation with *b*_i'. It means
362 that the larger ($\rho_S - \rho_L$) and *d*_P values needed the extra inertia to suspend a particle,
363 whereas the larger *b*_i' agitates solid/liquid effectively and reduced the rotation speed.
364 Next, the non-dimensional equation of the transition of II – III and II' – III' was
365 given by Equation (3).

$$Fr = 10^{-4.45} Re^{0.806} \left\{ (\rho_{\rm S} - \rho_{\rm L}) / \rho_{\rm L} \right\}^{0.227} (b_{\rm i}' / D)^{-0.110}$$
(3)



$$Fr = 10^{-4.48} Re^{0.812} \left\{ (\rho_{\rm S} - \rho_{\rm L}) / \rho_{\rm L} \right\}^{0.217} (b_{\rm i}'/D)^{-0.093} (d_{\rm p}/D)^{-0.010}$$
(3')

Here, R^2 became 0.969. However, as the exponent of (d_p/D) term came to -0.01, the 374 effect of particle diameter on the transition of II - III and II' - III' was negligibly small as 375well as Tamburini et al.^[30] That seems to be because the fluid inertial force is significantly 376larger than the fluid resistance working on particles unlike in the case of the transition of 377I - II. Thus, Equation (3) is better than Equation (3') as the non-dimensional equation of 378the transition of II - III and II' - III'. $Fr/Re^{0.806}$ from Equation (3) indicates (inertial force) 379 ^{0.194}. As well as Equation (2), larger $(\rho_{\rm S} - \rho_{\rm L})$ increased the inertia and larger $b_{\rm i}$ 380 decreased the rotation speed. 381

382 On the other hand, the equation of rotation speed to predict the complete 383 suspension pattern of particles was given by Tamburini et al. (2014) as follows:

$$N_{\rm JS} = K \, d_{\rm P}^{0.033} \left\{ g(\rho_{\rm S} - \rho_{\rm L}) / \rho_{\rm L} \right\}^{0.309} B^{0.115} v^{-0.143}$$
(4)

By substituting V_S/V_L into *B* which is defined as the particles concentration in liquid (m⁻ 385 ³), the scale parameter, K, was deformed as Equation (4')

$$K = N_{\rm JS} / [d_{\rm P}^{0.033} \{g(\rho_{\rm S} - \rho_{\rm L}) / \rho_{\rm L}\}^{0.309} V_{\rm S} / V_{\rm L}^{0.115} v^{-0.143}]$$
(4')

The mean *K* value was calculated as 1.17 by the experimental values in this study. The relationship between the experimental and calculated N_{JS} values is shown in Figure 9 (b). The change in the experimental values became smaller than the calculated ones compared with Figure 9 (a). The non-dimensional equation of the maximum particle-rising height (= offbottom clearance at the transition of II - II' and III - III'), which may be used in a limited way to obtain the avoiding condition of the collision between particles and an impeller, was indicated by Equation (5).

$$Fr = 10^{-4.29} Re^{1.11} \{ (\rho_{\rm S} - \rho_{\rm L}) / \rho_{\rm L} \}^{0.529} \{ (C - H_{\rm S}) / T \}^{0.329} (b_{\rm i}' / D)^{-0.437} (d_{\rm p} / D)^{0.809}$$
(5)

Here, there were 10 variables such as D, N, g, $\rho_{\rm L}$, $\mu_{\rm L}$, $\rho_{\rm S}$, $b_{\rm i}$ ', $d_{\rm p}$, T and (C-H_s)

including 3 basic units (length, time and mass). Although Buckingham's Π theorem demands 7 (=10-3) dimensionless variables, a good correlation (R^2 =0.989) was

achieved by even 6 dimensionless variables in Equation (5) as shown in Figure 10.



398 Here,

399 <u>Figure 10 Comparison between measured and calculated *Fr* at the transition of II - II'</u>

the effect of V_S/V_L on the maximum particle-rising height was included by H_s term in Equation (5). From Equation (5), the $(C-H_S)$ term relevant to the maximum rising height had a negative correlation with $(\rho_S - \rho_L)$ and d_P due to the fluid resistance acting on particles, whereas it maintained mutually positive relationship with b_i ' and $Fr/\text{Re}^{1.11} \propto N^{0.89}$ because of the increasing power.

407 Thus, the adequate solid/liquid mixing operation factors to avoid particles

408 collision with device parts such as an impeller, baffles, etc. will be determined by

409 combining the transition of regime I - II (Equation (2)) or II - III (Equation (3)) with the

410 maximum particle rising height (Equation (5)).

Non-dimensional equations of Equations (2), (3) and (5) were given by some dimensionless number such as Re, Fr, and not by the power number, N_p , because N_p is seemed to be essentially a function of Re and Fr, and was not measured in this study. However, the relationship between Re, Fr and N_p will be evaluated in this suspension condition of sedimentary particles by obtaining N_p from the measurement of the power required for stirring, P, in the future.

- 417 There are two scale-up criteria of stirring apparatus in terms of dynamic similarity:
- 418 constant power per unit volume and tip velocity. ^[42] The constant power per unit volume
- 419 leads to $N \propto b_i$ '^{-2/3} and constant tip velocity to $N \propto b_i$ '⁻¹. On the other hand, Equations

420 (2), (3) and (5) became $N \propto b_i^{*-0.393}$, $N \propto b_i^{*-0.092}$ and $N \propto b_i^{*-0.491}$, respectively. The 421 exponent of b_i^* (-0.491) for the particle rising height in Equation (5) was a 26.4 % 422 difference and roughly close to that of b_i^* (-2/3) for the criterion of the power per unit 423 volume, compared with the transition of the regime I-II and II-III which did not fit into 424 either criteria for power per unit volume or tip velocity. The analysis based on the 425 individual particle motion in fluid will be necessary to obtain the appropriate scale-up 426 rule for these transitions in the future.

427

428 **4 CONCLUSIONS**

429	The effects of off-bottom clearance, impeller rotation speed, blade angle, a few
430	kinds of solid particles and liquid, etc. on the suspension pattern of sedimentary
431	particles in liquid were investigated by a hemispherical vessel without baffles.
432	 The transition of rotation speed between the regimes I (particles stagnation) - II
433	(partial suspension) as well as II (partial suspension) - III (complete suspension) was
434	kept constant above a given off-bottom clearance and increased below it.
435	— The vertical upward velocity near a vessel bottom became equal at the transition of
436	the regime I - II.

437	— The non-dimensional equations of transitions of regimes I - II and II - III with a
438	good correlation were obtained by 4 or 5 kinds of dimensionless variables.
439	- The maximum particle-rising height was successfully given by the non-dimensional
440	equation with 6 kinds of dimensionless variables.
441	
442	Nomenclature
443	<i>Ar</i> : Archimedes number defined by $d_{\rm p}^3(\rho_{\rm S} - \rho_{\rm L}) \rho_{\rm L} g/\mu^2$
444	<i>b</i> _i : Impeller thickness defined by Figure 1 [m]
445	b_i ': Projected thickness defined by Equation (1) [m]
446	B: Particles concentration in liquid defined by Tamburtini et al. [30]
447	C: Off-bottom clearance [m]
448	<i>d</i> _p : Particle diameter [m]
449	D: Impeller diameter [m]
450	<i>Fr</i> : Froude number defined as DN^2/g
451	g: Gravity acceleration [m/s ²]
452	H _L : Bath depth [m]
453	<i>H</i> _R : Maximum particle-rising height [m]
454	$H_{\rm s}$: Thickness of sedimentary particles layer [m]

- 455 K: Scale factor defined by Tamburtini et al. $[^{30}]$
- 456 N: Impeller rotation speed $[s^{-1}]$
- $N_{\rm JS}$: Impeller rotation speed at the transition of regime II and III [s⁻¹]
- $N_{\rm JS}^*$: Impeller rotation speed at the transition of regime I and II [s⁻¹]
- $N_{\rm p}$: power number defined as $P/(\rho_{\rm L}N^3D^5)$
- *P*: Power required for stirring [W]
- *Re*: Reynolds number defined by $\rho_{\rm L} N D^2 / \mu_{\rm L}$
- *T*: Vessel inner diameter [m]
- $T_{\rm M}$: Melting point [K]
- $V_{\rm S}$: Solid particles volume [m³]
- $V_{\rm L}$: Liquid volume [m³]
- *w*_i: Impeller width defined by Figure 1 [m]
- $\rho_{\rm L}$: Liquid density [kg/m³]
- $\rho_{\rm S}$: Solid density [kg/m³]
- θ : Blade angle [deg]
- μ : Liquid viscosity [Pa s]
- *v*: Kinematic viscosity $[m^2/s]$ defined by μ/ρ_L

473 **References**

- 474 (1) S. Hosseini, D. Patel, F. Ein-Mozaffari, M. Mehrvar, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2010,
- 475 49, 4426.
- 476 (2) S. Aravinth, P. G. Rao, T. Murugesan, *Bioproc. Eng.* 1996, 14, 97.
- 477 (3) P. M. Armenante, E. U. Nagamine, J. Susanto, Can. J. Chem. Eng. 1998, 76, 413.
- 478 (4) T. N. Zwietering, Chem. Eng. Sci. 1958, 8, 244.
- 479 (5) Z. Zamzam, S. Yoshikawa, S. Ookawara, Y. Kato, J. Chem. Eng. Japan. 2016, 49,
- 480 737.
- 481 (6) E. Y. Bong, N. Eshtiaghi, J. Wu, R. Parthasarathy, *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 2015, 100,
 482 148.
- 483 (7) R. Grenville, A. T. C. Mak, D. A. R. Brown, Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 2015, 100, 282
- 484 (8) V. Madhavi, M.V. Sardeshpande, A. R. Sagi, V. A. Juvekar, V. V. Ranade, Ind.
- 485 Eng. Chem. Res. 2009, 48, 9713.
- 486 (9) K. J. Bittorf, S. M. Kresta, *Trans. IChemE.* 2003, 81, Pat A, 568.
- 487 (10)S. Nagata, T. Yokoyama, S. Nanjyo, *Kagaku kogaku* 1953, 17, 98.
- 488 (11)S. Nagata, T. Yokoyama, H. Naka, *Kagaku kogaku* 1953, 17, 144.
- 489 (12) A. W. Nienow, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **1968**, 23, 1453.

- (13)S. Narayanan, V. K. Bhatia, D. K. Guha, M. N. Rao, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 1969, 24,
 223.
- 492 (14)K. Wichterle, Chem. Eng. Sci. 1988, 43, 467.
- 493 (15)G. Baldi, R. Conti, E. Alaria, Chem. Eng. Sci. 1978, 33, 21.
- 494 (16)R. Conti, S. Sicardi, V. Specchia, *The Chemical Engineering Journal* 1981, 22,
 495 247.
- 496 (17) P. M. Armenante, E. U. Nagaminea, Chem. Eng. Sci. 1998, 53, 1757.
- 497 (18)Y. Shoji, N. Yoshio, M. Tanaka, K. Otawara, *Kagaku kogaku ronbunsyu* 2018, 44,
 498 39.
- 499 (19) The Japan Institute of Metals and Materials, *Handbook of Metallurgy*, Rev. 6th ed.
- 500 Maruzen, Tokyo 2000, P. 565
- 501 (20)S. Nishina, *Keikinzoku* **1991**, 41, 373
- 502 (21)G-H Wu, W. Wang, M. Sun, Q-D. Wang, W-J. Ding, Trans. Nonferrous Metals
- 503 Society China, **2010**, 20, 1177
- 504 (22) J. Mei, W-C. Liu, G-H.Wu, Y. Zhang, Y-T. Zhang, Y-K. Hong, R-X. Zhang, L.
- 505 Xiao, W-J. Ding, Trans. Nonferrous Metals Society China, 2015, 25, 1811
- 506 (23)N. Kamei, Y. Kato, Y. Tada, T. Murai, J. Ando, Kagaku kogaku ronbunsyu 2008,
- 507 34, 551.

- 508 (24)K. J. Myers, J. P. Herr, E. E. Janz, Can. J. Chem. Eng. 2011, 89, 940.
- 509 (25) J. J. Derksen, AIChE J. 2003, 49, 2700.
- 510 (26)X. Shan, G. Yu, C. Yang, Z. S. Mao, W. Zhang, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2008, 47,
- 511 2926.
- 512 (27) M. Isaji, S. Ookawara, K. Ogawa, Kagaku kogaku tonbunsyu 2006, 32, 315.
- 513 (28) A. Tamburini, A. Cipollina, G. Micale, F. Scargiali, A. Brucato, Ind. Eng. Chem.
- 514 *Res.* **2016**, 55, 7535.
- 515 (29)B. M. Cohen, B. Inankur, K. T. Lauser, J. Lott, W. Chen, Org. Process Res. Dev.
- **2018**, 22, 1481.
- 517 (30) A. Tamburini, A. Brucato, A. Busciglio, A. Cipollina, F. Grisafi, G. Micale, F.
- 518 Scargiali, G. Vella, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2014**, 53, 9587.
- 519 (31) R. Shiba, Md. A. Uddin, Y. Kato, Tetsu-to-Hagane 2016, 102, 196.
- 520 (32) Y. Kato, K. Shiobara, H. Furukawa, Y. Tada, Y. Nagatsu, S. T. Koh, Y. S. Lee,
- 521 *Kagaku kogaku ronbunsyu* **2011**, 37, 305.
- 522 (33) Y. Takahashi, M. Iguchi, *Tetsu-to-Hagane* 2008, 94, 461.
- 523 (34) R. Tanaka, Md. A. Uddin, Y. Kato ISIJ Int. 2018, 58, 620.
- 524 (35)G. Montante, K. C. Lee, A. Brucato, M. Yianneskis, Computers and Chemical
- 525 *Engineering* **2001**, 25, 729.

- 526 (36)K. Shiobara, S. Hiraoka, Y. Kato, S. Iwata, T. Yamaguchi, Kagaku kogaku
- *ronbunsyu* **2007**, 33, 281.
- 528 (37) Y. Kato, H. Furukawa, K. Fujii, R. Nagumo, Y. Tada, S. T. Koh, Y. S. Lee, Kagaku
- *kogaku ronbunsyu* **2015**, 41, 215.
- 530 (38) T. W. Chapman, *AIChE J.* **1966**, 12, 395.
- 531 (39) M. V. Sardeshpande, A. R. Sagi, V. A. Juvekar, V. V. Rande, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.
- **2009**, 48, 9713.
- 533 (40)S. Shimasaki, T. Wada, S. Taniguchi, *Tetsu-to-Hagane* 2003, 89, 637.
- 534 (41)S. Nagata, K. Yamamoto, T. Yokoyama, S. Shiga, Kagaku kogaku 1957, 21, 708.
- 535 (42) The Society of Chemical Engineering, Handbook of Chemical Engineering, Rev.
- 536 7th ed., Maruzen, Tokyo **2011**, P. 334.

538 Caption list

539 Figure 1 Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus and angle-changeable impeller

540 blades.

- 541 Figure 2 Schematic diagram of PIV measurement system.
- 542 Figure 3 Schematic diagram of particles suspension patterns.
- 543 Figure 4 An example of suspension pattern of sedimentary particles (Resin-water,
- 544 $V_{\rm S}/V_{\rm L}=0.02$, T=0.2 m, $\theta=40$ deg, C=0.048 m).
- 545 Figure 5 An example of cross-sectional velocity vectors.
- 546 Figure 6 Effect of operating factors on transitions of particles suspension pattern.
- 547 Figure 7 Distribution of upward vertical mean velocity for different blade angle.
- 548 Figure 8 Comparison between measured and calculated *Fr* at the transition of I II.
- 549 Figure 9 Comparison between measured and calculated Fr number (a) and NJs by
- 550 Tamburini et al. $^{[30]}$ at the transition of II III and II' III'.
- 551 Figure 10 Comparison between measured and calculated *Fr* at the transition of II II' and
- 552 III III' (maximum rising height of particles).
- 553
- Table 1 Physical properties of liquid phase at 298.15 K.
- 555 Table 2 Physical properties of solid particles.

Table 3 Experimental conditions of suspension pattern and PIV measurements.