SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 10 July 2015 Accepted: 09 September 2015 Published: 08 October 2015

Regulation of the unfolded protein response via S-nitrosylation of sensors of endoplasmic reticulum stress

Ryosuke Nakato^{1,*}, Yu Ohkubo^{1,*}, Akari Konishi^{1,*}, Mari Shibata¹, Yuki Kaneko¹, Takao Iwawaki², Tomohiro Nakamura^{3,†}, Stuart A. Lipton^{3,4,†} & Takashi Uehara¹

Protein S-nitrosylation modulates important cellular processes, including neurotransmission, vasodilation, proliferation, and apoptosis in various cell types. We have previously reported that protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) is S-nitrosylated in brains of patients with sporadic neurodegenerative diseases. This modification inhibits PDI enzymatic activity and consequently leads to the accumulation of unfolded/misfolded proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) lumen. Here, we describe S-nitrosylation of additional ER pathways that affect the unfolded protein response (UPR) in cell-based models of Parkinson's disease (PD). We demonstrate that nitric oxide (NO) can S-nitrosylate the ER stress sensors IRE1 α and PERK. While S-nitrosylation of IRE1 α inhibited its ribonuclease activity, S-nitrosylation of PERK activated its kinase activity and downstream phosphorylation/inactivation or eIF2 α . Site-directed mutagenesis of IRE1 α (Cys931) prevented S-nitrosylation and inhibition of its ribonuclease activity, indicating that Cys931 is the predominant site of S-nitrosylation. Importantly, cells overexpressing mutant IRE1 α (C931S) were resistant to NO-induced damage. Our findings show that nitrosative stress leads to dysfunctional ER stress signaling, thus contributing to neuronal cell death.

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is involved in many essential cellular processes, including calcium homeostasis, steroid synthesis, protein folding and maturation, and quality control of newly synthesized proteins^{1,2}. Chaperones in the ER facilitate protein folding and prevent accumulation of misfolded proteins. Correct folding of newly synthesized proteins that contain disulfide bonds and/or an *N*-glycan is facilitated by several ER chaperones, including protein disulphide isomerase (PDI), calnexin, and BiP^{3,4}. Only correctly-folded proteins are transported from the rough ER to the Golgi complex for export. Severe cellular stress, engendered by hypoxia, energy deprivation, or exposure to excessive reactive oxygen or nitrogen species (including nitric oxide (NO)), can lead to ER stress. Such stress triggers the unfolded protein response (UPR)^{5–8}, which, if terminated within a moderate amount of time, can lead to cytoprotection. However, prolonged ER stress upregulates the pro-apoptotic transcription factor C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP), leading to apoptotic cell death^{9,10}.

^aDepartment of Medicinal Pharmacology, Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry, and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan. ²Iwawaki laboratory, Education and Research Support Center, Graduate School of Medicine, Gunma University, Maebashi 371-8511, Japan. ³Neuroscience and Aging Research Center, Sanford-Burnham-Prebys Medical Discovery Institute, La Jolla, California 92037, USA. ⁴Department of Neurosciences, University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, La Jolla, California 92039, USA. ^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work. [†]Present address: The Scintillon Institute, San Diego, California 92121, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.U. (email: uehara@pharm.okayama-u. ac.jp) The UPR is a stress response that prevents accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER lumen. Unfolded proteins in the ER are detected by transmembrane ER stress sensors^{11,12}. The three major ER stress-sensing proteins are PKR-like ER kinase (PERK), inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6)¹³⁻¹⁵. These sensors transmit signals from the ER to the cytoplasm or nucleus, and activate the following three pathways: (i) suppression of protein translation to halt the production of more unfolded proteins, (ii) induction of genes encoding ER molecular chaperones to facilitate protein folding, and (iii) activation of ER-associated degradation (ERAD) to decrease the accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER¹⁶.

NO regulates numerous cell responses associated with proliferation, neurotransmission, synaptic plasticity, or cytotoxicity, in part via protein *S*-nitrosylation. This redox-mediated chemical modification occurs via oxidative reaction between NO and cysteine (Cys) thiol (or more properly thiolate anion) in the presence of an electron acceptor; alternatively, the reaction can proceed via transnitrosylation from one *S*-nitrosothiol to another^{17–19}. We previously demonstrated that PDI is a target of NO under neurodegenerative conditions. *S*-Nitrosylation of PDI (forming SNO-PDI) inhibits its enzymatic activity and induces ER stress²⁰. Additionally, we found that NO suppresses expression of mRNAs encoding ER stress markers. Therefore, we postulated that unidentified SNO-proteins might be involved in the UPR. In the present study, we discovered that a number of ER stress-sensing proteins are *S*-nitrosylated, suggesting a novel regulatory mechanism for UPR activation.

Results

NO regulates the IRE1 α **pathway during the UPR.** We examined mRNA expression of specific ER stress markers in response to NO. Exposure to S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO), a physiological NO donor, elevated the mRNA expression level of genes encoding BiP, CHOP, and EDEM1, but not HRD1 (Fig. 1a). Because HRD1 mRNA expression is known to be dependent on XBP1, it is possible that NO regulates this branch of the UPR via IRE1 α^{21} . To determine if NO modulates the UPR in this manner, we transfected SH-SY5Y neural cells with a vector expressing the fusion gene XBP1-luciferase (Luc) in order to monitor IRE1 α activity²². Cells transfected with the XBP1-Luc expression vector showed detectable luminescence when treated with thapsigargin (Fig. 1b). However, exposure to high concentrations of NO did not significantly affect XBP1-Luc reporter activity, consistent with the notion that the IRE1 α -XBP1 branch was regulated by NO. In addition, NO did not induce cytosolic splicing of *XBP1* mRNA (Fig. 1c). Next, we asked if NO impaired oligomerization or kinase activity of IRE1 α . Empirically, we observed that exposure to NO did not attenuate oligomerization or phosphorylation of IRE1 α (Fig. 1d,e).

Neurotoxin 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP⁺) and ER stress attenuate the IRE1 α pathway in an NO synthase (NOS)-dependent manner. MPP⁺, which is known to cause a parkinsonian phenotype in rodents, non-human primates and humans, induces NO production and neuronal cell death, at least in part via ER stress²³. Therefore, we tested whether MPP⁺ affected UPR signaling. We found that MPP⁺ induced mRNA expression of *BiP* and *CHOP* in a concentration-dependent manner; however, neither splicing of *XBP1* mRNA nor phosphorylation of IRE1 α was detected under these conditions (Fig. 2a,b). To determine whether attenuation of *XBP1* mRNA splicing was mediated by NO, we treated SH-SY5Y cells with the NOS inhibitor 7-nitroindazole (7-NI), and found that splicing was significantly ameliorated (Fig. 2c,d). To further test the effects of ER stress and NO on IRE1 α -XBP1 signaling, we induced ER stress with thapsigargin in the presence and absence of exogenous NO. Although thapsigargin clearly stimulated *XBP1* mRNA splicing, pre-incubation with NO completely abrogated this response (Fig. 3a,b). These findings are consistent with the notion that the ribonuclease activity of IRE1 α is regulated by redox state, specifically via reaction with NO.

S-Nitrosylation of IRE1a at Cys931 attenuates its ribonuclease activity. Next, we determined if NO could S-nitrosylate the UPR components IRE1 α , PERK, or ATF6. By biotin-switch assay, we found that NO mediated S-nitrosylation of IRE1 α (to form SNO-IRE1) and PERK (forming SNO-PERK), but not ATF6 (Fig. 4a). Therefore, we hypothesized that NO may modulate IRE1 α endoribonuclease activity by S-nitrosylating the enzyme. Along these lines, two cysteine residues, at positions 931 and 951, are known to reside in the kinase-extension nuclease (KEN) domain of $IRE1\alpha^{24,25}$. To determine if these residues were the target site(s) of S-nitrosylation, we substituted each cysteine for serine and assessed SNO-IRE1 α formation by performing biotin-switch assays. After 24h, the cells were exposed to S-nitrosocysteine (SNOC) or control conditions and were monitored for SNO-IRE1 α formation. We found that the IRE1 α (C931S) mutant nearly totally abrogated S-nitrosylation while the C951S mutant had a more modest effect (Fig. 4b,c). These results are consistent with the notion that Cys-931 is the predominant nitrosylation site on IRE1 α . To determine if this S-nitrosylation affected endonuclease activity, IRE1 α -null mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were used²⁶. The MEFs were transfected with vectors expressing wild-type (WT) HA-tagged IRE1 α or Cys mutant. NO-induced inhibition of IRE1 α endonuclease activity was significantly ameliorated in MEFs expressing the IRE1 α (C931S) mutant (Fig. 4d,e). To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration that Cys931 is involved in allosteric regulation of IRE1 α activity.

Next, to test the potential role of S-nitrosylation of IRE1 α in cell death, we investigated the effects of the Cys mutants on NO-induced cell damage in IRE1 α -null MEFs. Transient expression of IRE1 α



Figure 1. NO regulates the IRE1 α -XBP1 pathway. (a) NO-stimulates ER stress-related gene expression. SH-SY5Y cells were exposed to 100 µm GSNO (NO) or 1µM thapsigargin (Tg) for 12h. RT-PCR was performed using specific primers for each mRNA. (b) NO does not activate *XBP1* mRNA signaling. SH-SY5Y cells were transfected with a vector expressing the XBP1–Luc reporter. After 48 h, the transfected cells were exposed to various concentrations of NO or 1µM Tg, and luciferase assay was performed. Values expressed as mean ± s.e.m. (n=5). (c) ER stress evoked by NO is independent of *XBP1* mRNA splicing. SH-SY5Y cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of NO for 12h, and *XBP1* mRNA splicing was determined by performing RT-PCR. (d,e) NO induces oligomerization and phosphorylation of IRE1 α . Lysates of SH-SY5Y cells exposed to NO for the indicated period of time were electrophoresed by performing native PAGE and then immunoblotted with anti-IRE1 α antibody (d). After exposure to varying concentrations of NO for 30 min, the level of phosphorylated IRE1 α was detected by western blotting (e).

(C931S) significantly restored cell viability compared with mock transfectants (Fig. 4f). Additionally, as expected, overexpression of spliced *XBP1* mRNA, but not unspliced *XBP1* mRNA, attenuated NO-induced cell death (Fig. 4f).

NO enhances elF2 α phosphorylation. As shown above, SNOC or GSNO can *S*-nitrosylate PERK, but not ATF6 (Fig. 4a). Therefore, we investigated whether NO influences PERK activity/phosphorylation in SH-SY5Y neural cells. ER stress is known to result in the oligomerization and autophosphorylation of PERK, which then phosphorylates and thus inactivates eIF2 α , although other kinases can also influence eIF2 α activity²⁷. Empirically, we found that NO induced a modest but detectable degree of phosphorylation of PERK (Fig. 5a). Additionally, NO significantly enhanced phosphorylation of eIF2 α in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 5a). This resulting phosphorylation was transient and reached a peak level ~2h after stimulation (Fig. 5b,c).



Figure 2. NO modulates MPP⁺-induced attenuation of the IRE1 α pathway. (a) MPP⁺-stimulated ER stress-related gene expression. SH-SY5Y cells were incubated in MPP⁺ for 24h. RT-PCR was performed using specific primers for each mRNA. (b) Cells were exposed to varying concentrations of MPP⁺ for 2h, and western blotting was performed using anti-phospho-IRE1 α antibody. (c) Cells were preincubated with 200 µM 7-NI for 6h and then stimulated with 500 µM MPP⁺ for 12h. Splicing of *XBP1* mRNA was assessed by RT-PCR. (d) Quantification of *XBP1* mRNA splicing is shown in (c). Values are expressed as mean ± s.e.m. (n = 4; *P < 0.05 by ANOVA).



Figure 3. Prior exposure to NO inhibits ER stress-induced *XBP1* **mRNA splicing.** (a) NO attenuates Tgstimulated *XBP1* mRNA splicing. Cells were preincubated with 100 μ M NO for 30 min and then stimulated with 10 μ M Tg for 2h. Splicing of *XBP1* mRNA was assessed by performing RT-PCR. (b) Quantification of *XBP1* mRNA splicing is shown for data in (a). Values are expressed as mean \pm s.e.m. (n = 4; P < 0.01 by ANOVA).

Discussion

The present study elucidated the effects of NO on major signaling pathways involved in the UPR. Previous reports had shown that NO reacts with PDI to form SNO–PDI in the ER lumen of human brains obtained from patients with several neurodegenerative diseases characterized by abnormal protein accumulation, including Parkinson's disease (PD)²⁰. Cell-based models of neurodegeneration produced by exposure to NO or mitochondrial toxins known to induce Parkinsonism also displayed formation of SNO–PDI. S-Nitrosylation of PDI was shown to impair protein folding, thus promoting ER stress and the UPR, as observed in PD^{28–30}. Here, we found that NO also reacts with the major ER stress sensors, IRE1 α and PERK, thus attenuating UPR and contributing to cell death.



Figure 4. *S*-nitrosylation of ER stress sensor proteins. (a) SH-SY5Y neural cells transduced with vectors expressing wild-type IRE1 α , PERK, or ATF6 were incubated with physiological NO donors (SNOC or GSNO) to determine *S*-nitrosylation. Control cells were exposed to old SNOC, from which NO had been dissipated, or glutathione. *S*-Nitrosylated proteins were detected by performing biotin-switch assays. (b) Top: SH-SY5Y neural cells, transduced with wild-type (WT) or C-to-S HA-tagged IRE1 α mutants, were exposed to 100 μ M SNOC or control for 1 h. SNO–IRE1 α was detected by biotin-switch assay with anti-HA antibody. Bottom: Total IRE1 α . (c) Quantification of *XBP1* mRNA splicing is shown from (b). Values are mean \pm s.e.m. (n=4; P < 0.05 or 0.01 by ANOVA). (d) *XBP1* mRNA splicing in IRE1 α -null MEFs. IRE1 α -null MEFs transduced with WT or IRE1 α C-to-S mutants were exposed to 100 μ M SNOC for 12h, and *XBP1* mRNA splicing was assessed by performing RT-PCR. (e) Quantification of *XBP1* mRNA splicing. Values are mean \pm s.e.m. (n=4; P < 0.05 by ANOVA). (f) Overexpression of IRE1 α (C931S) mutant or spliced *XBP1* mRNA prevented NO-induced cell death of IRE1 α -null MEFs. Cell viability was estimated by performing WST-1 assay (see Methods). Values are mean \pm s.e.m. (n=4; P < 0.05 by ANOVA).

.....









Figure 5. NO-induced phosphorylation of eIF2 α . (a) Effect of NO treatment on the phosphorylation of PERK and eIF2 α . Cells were exposed to varying concentrations of NO for 30 min, and levels of phosphorylated PERK, total PERK, phosphorylated eIF2 α , total eIF2 α , and β -actin were detected by immunoblotting. (b) Time-dependent eIF2 α phosphorylation after exposure to 100 μ M NO. (c) Quantification of eIF2 α phosphorylation is shown for (b). Values are mean \pm s.e.m. (n = 4; P < 0.05 or 0.01 by ANOVA).

Initially, we investigated whether the IRE1 α -XBP1 pathway was affected by exposure to NO. We found that NO inhibited *XBP1* mRNA splicing, but did not affect oligomerization or phosphorylation of IRE1 α . Additionally, we found that pre-incubation with NO strongly inhibited *XBP1* mRNA splicing induced by the well-known ER stress agent, thapsigargin. Moreover, our results show that exposure of SH-SY5Y neural cells to the PD-inducing agent MPP⁺, which generates NO, attenuated *XBP1* mRNA splicing in an NOS inhibitor-dependent manner. From our findings, we concluded that NO inhibited the endonuclease activity of IRE1 α but not the kinase activity of its cytosolic domain, and that IRE1 α did not lose its ability to sense the accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER lumen after exposure to NO.

Next, we determined the Cys residue of IRE1 α targeted by NO. Analysis of the atomic structure of the cytosolic domain of IRE1 α showed that Cys931 and Cys951 are both located in the KEN domain^{24,25}. Biotin-switch assay revealed that Cys931 is the predominant site of S-nitrosylation. Importantly, as evidence for a causal relationship of the effect of S-nitrosylation, transduction of IRE1 α -null MEFs with the C931S mutant increased IRE1 α endoribonuclease activity and protected cells from NO-induced cell death. These results indicate that the Cys931 residue of IRE1 α is critical for redox signaling in the UPR.

Such redox regulation of IRE1 α activity has not been previously reported. We then tested whether NO can modulate other sensors of ER stress and observed S-nitrosylation of PERK but not ATF6.

Collectively, we found that NO can S-nitrosylate IRE1 α and PERK to regulate the UPR. Because the IRE1 α -XBP1 pathway functions prominently as an anti-apoptotic pathway, this redox-mediated inhibition by NO hinders cell survival during ER stress. In contrast, prolonged activation of the PERK–eIF2 α -ATF4/ATF6 pathways is known to induce cell death via induction of CHOP. Thus, in conjunction with its inhibition of the IRE1 α branch of the UPR, NO activation of the PERK-eIF2 α pathway would further sensitize neural cells to apoptosis. Taken together, we have elucidated the effects of S-nitrosylation on ER stress sensors that mediate the UPR, and thus contribute to cell death pathways. These findings have important implications for the development new therapeutic approaches for PD and other neurodegenerative diseases associated with nitrosative stress and abnormal protein accumulation.

Methods

Materials. Biotin-HPDP was purchased from Pierce Chemical Co. Thapsigargin was obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Ltd. All other reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Antibodies against IRE1 α and phospho-IRE1 α , PERK, phospho-PERK, ATF6, eIF2 α , phospho-eIF2 α , and β -actin were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology.

Cell culture. Human SH-SY5Y cells and MEFs were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10%(v/v) heat-inactivated fetal calf serum at 37 °C in humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂/95% air.

Mutagenesis. Mutants of IRE1 α were generated by substituting Ser for Cys residues using the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Luciferase assay. The XBP1-Luc reporter (ERAI system) and the phRL-TK (Promega, Madison, WI) were used in the dual luciferase assay. SH-SY5Y cells were seeded in 24-well plates and were then transfected with the plasmids. The cells were lysed after 24h of transfection, and luciferase assay was performed. Reporter activity was measured using Dual-Luciferase 1000 Assay System kit (Promega) and a luminometer (Berthold, Bad Wildbad, Germany). The results are expressed as mean \pm s.e.m. of three experiments. Each value is normalized to fold induction in mock-transfected cells.

RNA extraction and RT-PCR. Total RNA of cells was extracted using TRI reagent (Sigma-Aldrich). Transcriptor High Fidelity cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) was used to synthesize the cDNA according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA was amplified by using 24 cycles of PCR. The following primers were used: human *XBP1* sense primer, 5'-TTA CGA GAG AAA ACT CAT GGC C-3'; human *XBP1* antisense primer, 5'-GGG TCC AAG TTG TCC AGA ATG C-3'; human *EDEM1* sense primer, 5'-TCC ATA TCC TCG GGT GAA TC-3'; human *EDEM1* antisense primer, 5'-AAA TTC CAC CAG GAG GGA AC-3'; human *GRP78/BiP* sense primer, 5'-GTT TGC TGA GGA AGA CAA AAA GCT C-3'; human *GRP78/BiP* antisense primer, 5'-CAC TTC CAT AGA GTT TGC TGA TAA TTG-3'; human *HRD1* sense primer 5'-GCA CAC CTT CCC ACT CTT TG-3'; human *HRD1* antisense primer, 5'-TGG CAC CAG TCA CCA TCT CT-3'; human *CHOP* sense primer, 5'-CTC TGG CTT GGC TGA CTG A-3'; human *GROP* antisense primer, 5'-CTT CAG CTA GCT TGT CCA CT-3'; human β -actin sense primer, 5'-CCT GAC GGC CAG GTC ATC-3'; human β -actin antisense primer, 5'-GGA CTC GTC ATA CTC CTG-''. PCR products were analyzed by performing agarose gel electrophoresis on a 1.5% gel.

Western blotting analysis. SH-SY5Y cells were treated as indicated above, harvested, washed with PBS, and lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1% NP-40 containing a protease inhibitor cocktail) for 10 min. For detecting of ATF6, the cells were preincubated with or without 50 μ M cycloheximide and 5 μ M MG-132 for 3h and were further incubated during NO treatment. After quantification with Bradford assay, the proteins were boiled in sample loading buffer for 5 min and were electrophoresed by performing SDS-PAGE. The proteins (10 μ g/lane) were then transferred onto a PVDF membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% BSA or non-fat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20 for 1 h at room temperature. The membrane was then incubated overnight at 4°C with the antibodies indicated above. The proteins were detected using Western Lightning Ultra ECL-HRP substrate (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) and visualized using the ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

Biotin-switch assay for S-nitrosylated proteins (SNO-P). Cell lysates were prepared in HENT buffer (250 mM Hepes, pH7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM neocuproine, 0.1% SDS, and 1% Triton X-100). Protein concentration ranges were tested using the biotin-switch assay. Typically, 0.8 mg of cell lysate and up to 1.6 mg of tissue extract were used. Samples were mixed with blocking buffer (2.5% SDS and 20 mM methylmethanethiosulfonate (MMTS) in HEN buffer) and were incubated at 50 °C for 30 min to block free thiol groups. After removing excess MMTS by acetone precipitation, nitrosothiols were then reduced

to free thiols by using 1 mM ascorbate. The newly formed thiols were linked to the sulfhydryl-specific biotinylating reagent biotin–HPDP. The biotinylated proteins were pilled down using streptavidin–agarose beads, and SNO-P remaining in the samples was detected by performing western blotting.

Assessment of cell viability. Cell viability was measured in triplicate in 24-well plates by performing a quantitative colorimetric assay with 5-(2, 4-bis[sodiooxysulfonyl]phenyl)-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-3-(4 -iodophenyl)-2H-tetrazole-3- ium (WST-1). Briefly, IRE1 α -null MEFs were transfected with 0.4µg of each gene and were incubated for 24h. Next, the cells were treated with 100µM GSNO for 24h. Cell viability was expressed as the ration of signal obtained from treated cells and signal obtained from control cells multiplied by 100 (% control).

Statistical analysis. All experiments were independently performed at least three times. All data are expressed as the mean \pm s.e.m. Statistical comparisons were performed using an ANOVA with a Bonferroni correction conducted post hoc using Graphpad Prism 5 (Graphpad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). *P* values < 0.05 were considered to be significant.

References

- 1. Gething, M. J. & Sambrook, J. Protein folding in the cell. Nature 355, 33-45 (1992).
- 2. Ellgaard, L., Molinari, M. & Helenius, A. Setting the standards: quality control in the secretory pathway. *Science* **286**, 1882–1888 (1999).
- 3. Rapoport, T. A. Transport of proteins across the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. Science 258, 931–936 (1992).
- 4. Kaufman, R. J. Orchestrating the unfolded protein response in health and disease. Clin Invest. 110, 1389–1398 (2002).
- 5. Malhotra, J. D. & Kaufman, R. J. Endoplasmic reticulum stress and oxidative stress: a vicious cycle or a double-edged sword? *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 9, 2277–2793 (2007).
- 6. Helenius, A., Marquardt, T. & Braakman, I. The endoplasmic reticulum as a protein-folding compartment. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2, 227–231 (1992).
- 7. Schröder, M. & Kaufman, R. J. ER stress and the unfolded protein response. Mutat Res. 569, 29-63 (2005).
- Ron, D. & Walter, P. Signal integration in the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 8, 519–529 (2007).
- 9. Friedman, A. D. GADD153/CHOP, a DNA damage-inducible protein, reduced CAAT/enhancer binding protein activities and increased apoptosis in 32D c13 myeloid cells. *Cancer Res.* 56, 3250–3256 (1996).
- Zinszner, H. et al. CHOP is implicated in programmed cell death in response to impaired function of the endoplasmic reticulum. Genes Dev. 12, 982–995 (1998).
- 11. Kaufman, R. J. Stress signaling from the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum: coordination of gene transcription and translational controls. *Genes Dev.* 13, 1211–1233 (1999).
- 12. Harding, H. P. et al. Transcriptional and translational control in the mammalian unfolded protein response. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 18, 575–599 (2002).
- 13. Mori, K., Ma, W., Gething, M. J. & Sambrook, J. A transmembrane protein with a cdc2⁺/CDC28-related kinase activity is required for signaling from the ER to the nucleus. *Cell.* 74, 743–756 (1993).
- 14. Harding, H. P., Zhan, Y. & Ron, D. Protein translation and folding are coupled by an endoplasmic reticulum-resident kinase. *Nature*. 397, 271–274 (1999).
- Yoshida, H. *et al.* Identification of the cis-acting endoplasmic reticulum stress response element responsible for transcriptional induction of mammalian glucose-regulated proteins. Involvement of basic leucine zipper transcription factors. *J Biol Chem.* 273, 33741–33749 (1998).
- Lee, R. J. et al. Uncoupling retro-translocation and degradation in the ER-associated degradation of a soluble protein. EMBO J. 23, 2206–2215 (2004).
- 17. Liu, L. et al. Essential roles of S-nitrosothiols in vascular homeostasis and endotoxic shock. Cell 116, 617-628 (2004).
- 18. Foster, M. W., Hess, D. T. & Stamler, J. S. Protein S-nitrosylation in health and disease: A current perspective. *Trends Mol Med* 15, 391–404 (2009).
- Foster, M. W., Forrester, M. T. & Stamler, J. S. A protein microarray-based analysis of S-nitrosylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106, 18948–18953 (2009).
- 20. Uehara, T. *et al.* S-Nitrosylated protein-disulphide isomerase links protein misfolding to neurodegeneration. *Nature* **441**, 513–517 (2006).
- 21. Shoulders, M. D. et al. Stress-independent activation of XBP1s and/or ATF6 reveals three functionally diverse ER proteostasis environments. Cell Rep. 25, 1279–1292 (2013).
- 22. Iwawaki, T., Akai, R., Kohno, K. & Miura, M. A transgenic mouse model for monitoring endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Nat Med* **10**, 98–102 (2004).
- Przedborski, S. et al. Role of neuronal nitric oxide in 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP)-induced dopaminergic neurotoxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93, 4565–4571 (1996).
- 24. Lee, K. P. *et al.* Structure of the dual enzyme Ire1 reveals the basis for catalysis and regulation in nonconventional RNA splicing. *Cell.* **132**, 89–100 (2008).
- 25. Ali, M. M. *et al.* Structure of the Ire1 autophosphorylation complex and implications for the unfolded protein response. *EMBO J.* **30**, 894–905 (2011).
- Oikawa, D., Kimata, Y., Kohno, K. & Iwawaki, T. Activation of mammalian IRE1alpha upon ER stress depends on dissociation of BiP rather than on direct interaction with unfolded proteins. *Exp Cell Res.* 315, 2496–2504 (2009).
- Cláudio, N., Dalet, A., Gatti, E. & Pierre, P. Mapping the crossroads of immune activation and cellular stress response pathways. EMBO J. 32, 1214–1224 (2013).
- Gasperini, L. et al. Prion protein and copper cooperatively protect neurons by modulating NMDA receptor through S-nitrosylation. Antioxid Redox Signal. 22, 772–784 (2015).
- 29. Nakamura, T. *et al.* Aberrant protein S-nitrosylation contributes to the pathophysiology of neurodegenerative diseases. *Neurobiol Dis.* **S0969–9961**, 00089–3 (2015).
- 30. Chung. K. K. Studying nitrosative stress in Parkinson's disease. Methods Mol Biol. 1292, 195-201 (2015).

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Kazutoshi Mori, Dr. Hideki Nishitoh, Dr. Osamu Hori, and Dr. Kenji Kohno for providing plasmids and advices, Ms.Yoko Okamoto for technical assistance. This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Scientific Research (B) (15H04649), and Challenging Exploratory Research (15K14952) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports and Technology (MEXT) of Japan. This research was also supported by The Takeda Science Foundation, The Uehara Memorial Foundation, and The Smoking Research Foundation (to T.U.). Additionally, support was provided by NIH grants P01 HD29587, R01 NS086890 and P30 NS076411, and a Brain & Behavior Research Foundation Distinguished Investigator Award (to S.A.L.).

Author Contributions

R.N., Y.O. and A.K. performed most experiments, contributing equally to the work, and helped write the manuscript. Y.K., M.S. and T.N. provided the biochemical data. T.I. provided constructs, cells, and advice. S.A.L. provided scientific input and advice, helped interpret the data and write the manuscript. T.U. designed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the manuscript and provided financial support.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Nakato, R. *et al.* Regulation of the unfolded protein response via *S*-nitrosylation of sensors of endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 14812; doi: 10.1038/srep14812 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/