## GENETICS OF HOST-PARASITE INTERACTION IN BARLEY MILDEW

### Unji HIURA

Flor (1955) has elucidated the gene-for-gene relationship between pathogenicity in the flax rust fungus *Melampsora lini* (Pers.) Lév. and rust reaction in the host, *Linum usitatissimum* L. According to his hypothesis, for each resistance gene in flax there is a specific gene for virulence in those rusts to which it is susceptible. Moseman (1959, '60, '63), and Moseman & Schaller (1960, '62) have found that for the 8 genes conditioning reaction in the barley varieties, Algerian, Atlas, Black Russian, Goldfoil, Hanna, Kwan, Nepal, and Recard, there are 8 complementary genes for pathogenicity in barley mildew fungus. Hiura, Heta & Tsushima (1961) studied inheritance of pathogenicity of *Erysiphe* graminis f. sp. hordei on the barley varieties with known genes for resistance to the avirulent parent cultures of the fungus. They have shown that Flor's gene-for-gene hypothesis is true in barley mildew.

The present paper deals with some of data of the Japanese article (Hiura, Heta & Tsushima 1961) and unpublished additional data for elucidation hostparasite interaction in barley mildew.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

11 cultures of *Erysiphe graminis* f. sp. *hordei* (H2, H4, H5, H6, H7, H9, H10, H11, H12, H13 and H14) were used in this study. The first 8 cultures were single conidium subcultures of the races II, IV, V, VI, VII, IX, X and XI, respectively, used in the previous studies (Hiura 1960). Cultures H12, H13 and H14 were derived from crosses of race  $I \times race IV$ , race  $IX \times race XI$ , and race  $XI \times H12$ , respectively.

14 barley varieties (A. 222, Goldfoil, Hanna, Heil's Hanna, H. E. S. 4, Hoso-mugi C, Kairyo-bozu, Monte Cristo, Nigrate, Russian 12, Russian 66, Russian 74, Six-rowed Chevalier and Turkey 290) were used to differentiate the pathogenicity of haploid progeny cultures obtained from crosses between cultures of the fungus. These 14 varieties, except Turkey 290, had known genes for mildew reactions (Hiura 1960). Turkey 290 had one gene conditioning mildew reaction (unpublished data).

Each of the crosses between cultures of *Erysiphe graminis* f. sp. *hordei* was made on 2 plants of the susceptible barley variety, Kuromugi 148, grown in a 12 cm clay pot for 4-5 weeks in a isolation cage. The cage was  $21 \times 21$  cm and 42 cm in height, enclosed with glass on two sides and white cotton cloth on the

other two sides and top. One of the 2 plants was inoculated with one of cultures to be crossed and the other one was inoculated with the mate. Mildew developed well on those plants 7 days after inoculation. Hybridization was accomplished by transferring conidia with a hair pencil from pustules of one culture onto pustules of the other culture. Cleistothecia formed on the plants 10-14 days after crossing. Leaves with cleistothecia were harvested 20 days after crossing and stored in a desiccator with calcium chloride.

Progeny cultures were obtained from the cleistothecia by the following methods. Mycelial mats with cleistothecia were removed from the leaves and kept on moist filter paper. The moist filter paper was suspended at the top of a glass cylinder,  $20 \times 7$  cm, that enclosed seedlings of Kuro-mugi 148 growing in a 12 cm clay pot. The discharged ascospores fell on and infected the seedlings. Conidia from each pustule developed on the seedlings were transferred with a hair pencil onto a seedling of the susceptible host growing in a  $22 \times 2$  cm test tube plugged with cotton. The cultures in the test tubes were purified by means of single spore isolations, thus obtaining pure haploid progeny cultures of the cross.

The pathogenicity of the progeny cultures on the differential hosts were determined by inoculation with conidia of each test tube culture on the seedlings of the varieties each growing in a  $22 \times 2$  cm test tube. Inoculation was made by using a hair pencil and readings were made 7-8 days following the inoculation. Pathogenicity was divided into 3 classes : A=avirulent, producing an infection type of 0; I=intermediate, producing an infection type of 1 to 3; and V=virulent, producing an infection type of 3-4 to 4. 2 test tubes were used for each test and each test was repeated twice. All experiments in this study were conducted in the greenhouse where the temperature was maintained at approximately 20°C.

#### RESULTS

## 1. Inheritance of Pathogenicity of Mildew Cultures on Barley Varieties Each Having a Single Gene Conditioning Resistance to Mildew

Progeny Cultures from Cross of Cultures  $H9 \times H14$ . 9 barley varieties (A. 222, Goldfoil, Hanna, Heil's Hanna, Monte Cristo, Nigrate, Russian 12, Russian 74 and Turkey 290) with single mildew conditioning genes, were chosen as differential varieties for the pathogenicity of the progeny cultures from cross of  $H9 \times H14$  because they showed reciprocal reactions to the parent cultures. The pathogenicity of cultures H9 and H14 on the 9 varieties was given in Table 1. The number of genes conditioning the pathogenicity of cultures H9 and H14 on each variety was determined by the pathogenicity of 289 haploid progeny cultures from the cross of cultures H9  $\times$  H14 on those varieties (Table 2).

Although the infection types produced on the varieties, Goldfoil, Monte

** * .	Pathogenicit	y of culture
Variety -	H9	H14
A.222	V*	I
Goldfoil	А	I
Hanna	I	v
Heil's Hanna	v	А
Monte Cristo	A	I
Nigrate	I	А
Russian 12	v	I
Russian 74	V	А
Turkey 290	v	А

TABLE 1
Pathogenicity of cultures H9 and H14 of Erysiphe graminis hordei
on 9 barley varieties

\* V=virulent, producing an infection type of 3-4 to 4; I = intermediate, producing an infection type 1 to 3;

A = avirulent, producing an infection type 0

ABLC 4	BLE 2	TAE
--------	-------	-----

Classification of 289 haploid progeny cultures from cross of cultures H9×H14 according to their pathogenicity on 9 barley varieties

	Observed	Value of P for		
Differential variety	Virulent*	Intermediate	Avirulent	1:1 ratio
A.222	135	154		. 2 3
Goldfoil		149	140	. 5 7
Hanna	147	142		.78
Heil's Hanna	147		142	. 7 8
Monte Cristo		133	156	.12
Nigrate		149	140	. 5 7
Russian 12	143	146		.89
Russian 74	142		147	. 7 8
Turkey 290	143		146	.89

\* See footnotes, Table 1.

Cristo and Nigrate were not always the same as those produced from inoculation with the parent cultures, it was not difficult to distinguish avirulent from intermediate. On Goldfoil, for example, cultures H9 and H14 produced reaction type 0 and type 1-2, respectively, and the progeny cultures of H9×H14 produced types 0, 1, 2 and 3. Avirulent (type 0), however, could be sharply distinguished from intermediate (types 1, 2 and 3). The infection types of the progeny cultures on the varieties Hanna, Heil's Hanna, Russian 12, Russian 74, and Turkey 290 were the same as those produced from inoculations with the parent cultures. On A. 222, difficulties were encountered in dividing some cultures into intermediate and virulent. It was supposed that cultures H9 and H14 differed by one major gene conditioning their pathogenicity and modifying gene or genes affecting the degree of the pathogenicity on A. 222.

In this way, on each variety, except A. 222, the 289 progeny cultures could be separated exactly by their reaction types into only 2 classes : avirulent and either intermediate or virulent, or intermediate and virulent. The observed number of cultures divided into 2 classes on each of 9 varieties fits the 1:1 ratio expected if the parent cultures differed by one gene for pathogenicity on that variety.

The relationships of the genes conditioning pathogenicity on the 9 varieties were determined by comparing the pathogenicity of the 289 haploid progeny cultures on those varieties (Table 3). On each variety, the pathogenicity of

TABLE 3
Relationships of genes conditioning pathogenicity of 289 progeny cultures
from cross of cultures H9×H14 on 9 barley varieties

Different	ial variety	Observe	d number o	of progeny	cultures	Value of P fo
X	Y	V <sub>x</sub> V <sub>y</sub> *	V <sub>x</sub> A <sub>y</sub>	A <sub>x</sub> V <sub>y</sub>	A <sub>x</sub> A <sub>y</sub>	1:1:1:1 ratio
A. 222	Goldfoil	72	63	77	77	.57
A. 222	Hanna	70	65	77	77	.78
A. 222	Heil's Hanna	65	70	82	72	.57
A. 222	Monte Cristo	61	74	72	82	.35
A. 222	Nigrate	66	69	83	71	.57
A. 222	Russian 12	65	70	78	76	.57
A. 222	Russian 74	64	71	78	76	.57
A. 222	Turkey 290	63	72	80	74	.57
Goldfoil	Hanna	83	66	64	76	.35
Goldfoil	Heil's Hanna	77	72	70	70	.57
Goldfoil	Monte Cristo	74	75	59	81	.35
Goldfoil	Nigrate	73	76	76	64	.78
Goldfoil	Russian 12	70	79	73	67	.78
Goldfoil	Russian 74	79	70	63	77	.57
Goldfoil	Turkey 290	66	83	77	63	.35
Hanna	Heil's Hanna	67	80	80	62	.35
Hanna	Monte Cristo	68	79	65	77	.57
Hanna	Nigrate	70	79	79	63	.57
Hanna	Russian 12	5	142	138	4	Very small
Hanna	Russian 74	74	73	68	74	, 95-, 98
	Turkey 290	77		66	76	
Hanna Heil's Hanna	Monte Cristo	64	70	69	73	.78
		80	83	69	73	.35
Heil's Hanna	Nigrate		67			.78
Heil's Hanna	Russian 12	83	64	60	82	.12
Heil's Hanna	Russian 74	70	77	72	70	.995
Heil's Hanna	Turkey 290	75	72	68	74	.995
Monte Cristo	Nigrate	67	66	82	74	.57
Monte Cristo	Russian 12	67	66	76	80	.57
Monte Cristo	Russian 74	58	75	84	72	.12
Monte Cristo	Turkey 290	70	63	73	83	.35
Nigrate	Russian 12	80	69	63	77	.35
Nigrate	Russian 74	63	86	79	61	.12
Nigrate	Turkey 290	82	67	61	79	.23
Russian 12	Russian 74	70	73	72	74	. 98 99
Russian 12	Turkey 290	67	76	76	70	.89
Russian 74	Turkey 290	64	78	79	68	.57

\* V<sub>x</sub> and A<sub>x</sub> indicate the pathogenicity of progeny cultures on var. X;

Vy and Ay indicate the pathogenicity of progeny cultures on var. Y.

TABLE 4
Linkage relation between genes conditioning pathogenicity of 289 progeny
cultures from cross of cultures H9×H14 on barley varieties
Hanna and Russian 12

Differential variety Item		Tram	Number of progeny cultures					Value of P for
X	Y	Item	V <sub>x</sub> V <sub>y</sub> *	V <sub>x</sub> A <sub>y</sub>	A <sub>x</sub> V <sub>y</sub>	A <sub>x</sub> A <sub>y</sub>	Total	ratio indicated
Hanna	Russian 12	Observed	5	142	138	4	289	
		Based on 1:1:1:1	72.25	72.25	72.25	72.25	289	Very small
		Based on $p=0.0311$	4.49	140.01	140.01	4.49	289	. 98 99

\* See footnotes, Table 3.

the progeny cultures could be separated into only 2 classes. Therefore, to simplify the Table, the 2 classes have been shown as avirulent and virulent. The observed number of the progeny cultures indicated that 7 genes conditioning pathogenicity on A. 222, Goldfoil, Heil's Hanna, Monte Cristo, Nigrate, Russian 74 and Turkey 290 were inherited independently of each other gene. Only 9 of the 289 haploid progeny cultures differed from the parent cultures in pathogenicity on Hanna and Russian 12. This indicated that genes for pathogenicity on those varieties were linked. The recombination value calculated was  $3.11 \pm 1.02$  per cent and the fit of the observed number to the expected on this basis was very good (Table 4). The 2 genes conditioned the pathogenicity on Hanna and Russian 12 were inherited independently of the other 7 genes.

Progeny Cultures of Crosses between Cultures other than Cultures H9 and H14. In the progeny from cross of H9×H14, one gene was found to condition pathogenicity on each of the barley varieties possessing one gene for resistance to the avirulent parent culture. Is the same true in any other crosses between cultures of the fungus? As shown in Table 5, cultures H2, H4, H5, H7, H11 and H13 were virulent on 2 varieties designated as X and Y, and cultures H4, H6, H11 and H12 were avirulent on the 2 varieties. Each of 8 barley varieties, Kairyobozu, H. E. S. 4, Hoso-mugi C, Goldfoil, Monte Cristo, Russian 12, Nigrate and Russian 74 possessed one gene for resistance to the avirulent cultures used. Crosses were made between cultures of virulent and avirulent on 2 varieties (X and Y). In all crosses, except cultures H5 × H6, progeny cultures that differed from the parent cultures in pathogenicity on 2 varieties were segregated. This suggested that each parent culture being virulent on 2 varieties had at least 2 genes to condition the pathogenicity on the 2 varieties. In the cross of cultures  $H5 \times H6$ , all 29 progeny cultures showed the same pathogenicity as parent cultures on var. Hoso-mugi C and Russian 12. This indicated that the genes conditioning pathogenicity on those 2 varieties might be allelic or closely linked.

The results shown in Tables 2, 3 and 5 indicated that each gene conditioning pathogenicity on a variety was different from every other gene conditioning pathogenicity on every other variety.

T	AF	T	E.	5
	AL	11	E.	U

Segregation of genes conditioning pathogenicity of progeny from crosses between virulent and avirulent cultures of mildew on 2 barley varieties designated as X and Y

P	arent culture	and	Differentia	al variety	Observe	d number o	of progeny	cultures
	cross		X	Y	V <sub>x</sub> V <sub>y</sub> *	V <sub>x</sub> A <sub>y</sub>	A <sub>x</sub> V <sub>y</sub>	A <sub>x</sub> A <sub>y</sub>
H2	$(V_x V_y) \times H12$	$(A_x A_y)$	Kairyo-bozu	H. E. S. 4	9	4	9	6
H4	$(V_x V_y) \times H11$	$(A_x A_y)$	H. E. S. 4	Monte Cristo	4	7	10	7
H5	$(V_x V_y) \times H6$	$(A_x A_y)$	Hoso-mugi C	Russian 12	15	0	0	14
H7	$(V_x V_y) \times H6$	$(A_x A_y)$	H. E. S. 4	Goldfoil	7	7	6	5
H7	$(V_x V_y) \times H6$	$(A_x A_y)$	H. E. S. 4	Monte Cristo	6	8	4	7
H7	$(V_x V_y) \times H6$	$(A_x A_y)$	Goldfoil	Monte Cristo	6	7	4	8
HII	$(V_xV_y) \times H4$	$(A_x A_y)$	Goldfoil	Nigrate	2	12	6	8
H13	$(V_x V_y) \times H6$	$(A_x A_y)$	H. E. S. 4	Russian 74	95	99	89	117

\* See footnotes, Table 3.

## 2. Inheritance of Pathogenicity of Cultures H9 and H14 on the Barley Varieties Each with 2 Genes Conditioning Resistance to the Avirulent Parent Culture

Russian 66 had 2 genes  $(Ml_h \text{ in Hanna, and } Ml_{h4} \text{ in H. E. S. 4})$ , and Sixrowed Chevalier had 2 genes  $(Ml_{r12} \text{ in Russian 12, and } Ml_{h4} \text{ in H. E. S. 4})$  for resistance to mildew (Hiura 1960). Culture H9 was virulent on H. E. S. 4 and Russian 12 but avirulent on Hanna, and culture H14 was virulent on Hanna but avirulent on H. E. S. 4 and Russian 12. The segregation of 47 haploid progeny cultures obtained from cross of cultures H9 × H14 for pathogenicity on Russian 66 and Six-rowed Chevalier was shown in Table 6. The observed

$H9 \times H14$	according to t red Chevalier e	their pathogenicit	res from cross of co y on var. Russian 6 conditioning resist culture	6 and
 Variety	Observ	ved No. of progeny	Expected ratio	Value of P for ratio indicated

Variety	Observed No. of progeny			- Expected ratio	Value of P for
variety -	Virulent	Avirulent	Total	- Expected fatto	ratio indicated
Russian 66	9	38	47	1:3	. 3–. 5
Six-rowed Chevalier	10	37	47	1:3	. 5 7

numbers of virulent and avirulent cultures satisfactorily fit those expected if virulence were conditioned by two genes on those varieties, indicating that progeny cultures segregated for pathogenicity on barley varieties in accordance with the number of genes in the barley varieties that conditioned resistance to the avirulent parent culture. This suggests that for each gene conditioning resistance in the host there is a corresponding gene conditioning pathogenicity in the parasite.

#### Hiura : Genetics of host-parasite interaction in barley mildew

19647

# 3. Genes Conditioning Pathogenicity of the Mildew Races Which were Virulent on One and the Same Barley Variety

A single gene for pathogenicity in a mildew culture could not condition its pathogenicity on a variety or varieties possessing 2 genes for resistance to mildew. Is there any case that different genes in mildew cultures condition their pathogenicity on one and the same variety with one gene for mildew reaction.

Of 5 pairs of cultures, H2 and H4, H3 and H4, H5 and H9, H10 and H11, and H13 and H6, each was virulent on the same barley variety with one gene for mildew reaction. Each pair was crossed. If the pathogenicity of parent cultures on the same variety are conditioned by different genes, avirulent progeny on the variety should segregate. As shown in Table 7, all progeny cultures showed the same pathogenicity as parent cultures. This indicated that 2 cultures being virulent on one and the same variety possessed the same gene for virulence on that variety.

### TABLE 7

Pathogenicity of haploid progeny from crosses between mildew cultures each virulent on one and the same variety

Parent culture and cross	Variety on which progeny cultures		
rarent culture and cross	' were tested	Virulent	Avirulent
H2 (virulent on H. E. S. 4) × H4 (virulent on H. E. S. 4)	H. E. S. 4	100	0
H3 (virulent on M. Cristo) × H4 (virulent on M. Cristo)	Monte Cristo	100	0
H5 (virulent on Russian 12) × H9 (virulent on Russian 12	2) Russian 12	100	0
H10 (virulent on Nigrate) × H11(virulent on Nigrate)	Nigrate	100	0
H13 (virulent on Hanna) × H6 (virulent on Hanna)	Hanna	400	0

#### DISCUSSION

Flor's gene-for-gene hypothesis, that is : for each gene conditioning reaction in the host apparently there is a specific gene for pathogenicity in the parasite, is true in barley mildew as far as major genes for pathogenicity of mildew cultures and for resistance of barley varieties are concerned.

Moseman (1963) has shown that 3 genes conditioning pathogenicity on Goldfoil, Hanna and Atlas or Russian 12 in cultures of *Erysiphe graminis* f. sp. *hordei* isolated in Japan and in North America may be the same. Genes found in Goldfoil for resistance to Japanese mildew cultures and to those of North America may be the same because both the genes were linked with hooded in var. Nepal with recombination values of 17.8% and 18.8%, respectively (Hiura 1960, Briggs & Stanford 1943). If the gene-for-gene hypothesis is true, the gene in culture H14 conditioning its pathogenicity on Goldfoil must be the same as that of North American culture 59.11. Culture H14, however, was

127

intermediate in pathogenicity on Goldfoil showing reaction type 1-2, and culture 59.11 was virulent on the variety showing reaction type 4. This disagreement might be elucidated as follow : supposing that virulence gene always shows reaction type 4, and reaction type of avirulence gene is determined in accordance with related resistance gene in host. And supposing that Goldfoil has 2 genes  $Ml_g$  and  $Ml_{g2}$  for resistance to mildew, and  $Ml_g$  shows reaction type 0 and  $Ml_{g2}$  shows reaction type 1-2, then postulated genotypes of the 4 cultures, H9, H14, 59.11 and 59.20, and their reaction types on Goldfoil are as given in Table 8. In cultures H9 and H14, and cultures 59.11 and 59.20,

on Goldfoil	Japanese cultures		American cultures	
	H9	H14	59.11	59. 20
Genotype for Pathogenicity	AgAg2**	VgAgs	$V_g V_{g_2}$	$A_g V_{g_2}$
Reaction type	0	1-2	4	0

isolated in Japan and cultures 59.11 and 59.20 isolated in North

TABLE 8 Postulated genotypes for pathogenicity of mildew cultures H9 and H14

\* Moseman, J. G. (1963)

\*\* V indicates the gene is virulent to the complementary gene for

resistance in the host, and A indicates it is avirulent.

each pair differs by one gene conditioning virulence to  $Ml_g$ , and although cultures H14 and 59.11 showed different reaction types on Goldfoil, both the cultures may have the same gene  $V_g$  complementary to the  $Ml_g$  gene in Goldfoil.

In the progeny cultures from the cross of cultures  $H9 \times H14$ , 9 genes were found to condition their pathogenicity on 9 barley varieties, each with one gene for resistance to the avirulent parent culture. 2 of 9 genes conditioning pathogenicity on Hanna and Russian 12 were linked with recombination value of  $3.11 \pm 1.02$  per cent. Therefore, 8 of 9 genes are able to combine freely, and  $2^8 = 256$  biotypes should be expected on 8 barley varieties. 164 of 289 progeny cultures of H9×H14 were found to be different in pathogenicity on 8 differential varieties. In nature, however, some of physiologic races were prevalent, and in general, not so many races or biotypes could be found. This fact is, from a practical viewpoint, the most interesting problem to be extensively studied.

#### SUMMARY

For genetic approach to elucidation of host-parasite interaction in barley mildew, genetics of pathogenicity of 11 cultures of Erysiphe graminis f. sp. hordei was studied on 14 barley varieties with known genes conditioning their reaction Hiura : Genetics of host-parasite interaction in barley mildew

to the mildew cultures.

On barley varieties possessing one gene for resistance to the avirulent parent culture, pathogenicity was conditioned by one gene, and on barley varieties possessing 2 genes for resistance to the avirulent parent culture, pathogenicity was conditioned by 2 genes. Each gene conditioning pathogenicity on a variety was different from every other gene conditioning pathogenicity on every other variety when each barley variety possessed different one gene for mildew reaction. The mildew cultures being virulent on one and the same barley variety, with one gene for mildew reaction, possessed the same gene for virulence on that variety. These results amply proved that Flor's gene-forgene hypothesis was true in barley mildew.

Linkage relationships were determined for 9 genes conditioning pathogenicity of the fungus on 9 barley varieties. 7 of 9 genes were inherited independently of each other gene. 2 genes conditioning the pathogenicity on Hanna and Russian 12 were linked with recombination value of  $3.11 \pm 1.02$  per cent. The 2 genes were inherited independently of the other 7 genes.

### LITERATURE CITED

- Briggs, F. N. and Stanford, E. H. 1943. Linkage relations of the Goldfoil factor for resistance to mildew in barley. Jour. Agr. Res. 66: 1-5.
- Flor, H. H. 1955. Host-parasite interaction in flax rust Its genetics and other implications. Phytopathology 45: 680-685.
- Hiura, U. 1960. Studies on the disease-resistance in barley. IV. Genetics of the resistance to powdery mildew. Ber. Ohara Inst. landwirts. Biol. 11:235-300.
- Hiura, U., Heta, H. and Tsushima, T. 1961. Pathogenic variability attributed to hybridization in *Erysiphe graminis hordei*. Studies on variation in pathogenicity. II. (in Japanese) Nogaku Kenkyu 48: 107-115.
- Moseman, J. G. 1959. Host-pathogen interaction of the genes for resistance in *Hordeum vulgare* and for pathogenicity in *Erysiphe graminis* f. sp. *hordei*. Phytopathology 49: 469-472.

Moseman, J. G. 1960. Inheritance of 5 genes conditioning pathogenicity in Erysiphe graminis f. sp. hordei on barley. (Abstr.) Phytopathology 50: 647.

- Moseman, J. G. 1963. Relationship of genes conditioning pathogenicity of Erysiphe graminis f. sp. hordei on barley. Phytopathology 53: 1326-1330.
- Moseman, J. G. and Schaller, C. W. 1960. Genetics of the allelic series at the  $Ml_a$  locus in barley and cultures of *Erysiphe graminis* f. sp. *hordei* that differentiate these alleles. Phytopathology 50: 736-741.
- Moseman, J. G. and Schaller, C. W. 1962. Interaction of genes conditioning reaction of Algerian barley and pathogenicity of *Erysiphe graminis* f. sp. hordei. Phytopathology 52: 529-533.

1964]