

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 23, Issue 1

1981

Article 9

JUNE 1981

Individual ergodic theorems for pseudo-resolvents

Ryotaro Sato*

*Okayama University

Copyright ©1981 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

INDIVIDUAL ERGODIC THEOREMS FOR PSEUDO-RESOLVENTS

RYOTARO SATO

1. Introduction. This paper deals with individual ergodic theorems for a pseudo-resolvent of linear operators acting on the space of functions which take their values in a reflexive Banach space.

Let $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a σ -finite measure space and $(X, |\cdot|)$ a reflexive Banach space. Denote by D the set of all complex numbers λ with $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$. Then the family $\mathbf{J} = (J_\lambda : \lambda \in D)$ of linear operators on $L_1(\mathcal{Q}, X) = L_1(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X)$ will be called a pseudo-resolvent if \mathbf{J} satisfies the resolvent equation

$$J_\lambda - J_\nu = (\nu - \lambda) J_\lambda J_\nu \quad (\lambda, \nu \in D).$$

Denote by D_+ the set of all positive reals. In this paper we shall assume that $\|tJ_t\|_1 \leq 1$ for all $t \in D_+$ and that for some constant $M \geq 1$, $\|tJ_t f\|_\infty \leq M\|f\|_\infty$ for all $f \in L_1(\mathcal{Q}, X) \cap L_\infty(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ and all $t \in D_+$. By the Riesz convexity theorem we see that for each $t \in D_+$ and each $1 < p < \infty$, tJ_t may be regarded as a linear operator on $L_p(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ such that $\|tJ_t\|_p \leq M$. We shall prove below that for each $1 \leq p < \infty$ and each $f \in L_p(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ there exists an X -valued function $g(\lambda, \omega)$, defined on $D \times \mathcal{Q}$ and strongly measurable with respect to the product of the Lebesgue measure on D and μ , such that for each fixed $\lambda \in D$, $g(\lambda, \omega)$ as a function of ω belongs to the equivalence class of $J_\lambda f$ and also such that for each fixed $\omega \in \mathcal{Q}$, $g(\lambda, \omega)$ as a function of λ is continuous on D . Thus we may agree to take, for all $\lambda \in D$,

$$(J_\lambda f)(\omega) = g(\lambda, \omega).$$

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that, putting

$$D(K) = \left\{ \lambda \in D : \left| \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)}{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)} \right| < K \right\}$$

for each constant $K > 0$, the following two individual ergodic limits

$$\lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \lambda J_\lambda f(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \lambda J_\lambda f(\omega)$$

exist almost everywhere on \mathcal{Q} . To do this we use abelian limit theorems, which will be prepared in the next section.

2. Abelian limit theorems. In this section the following two theorems are proved. (Cf. [4].)

Theorem 1. Let f be a strongly Lebesgue measurable function from the interval $(0, \infty)$ to a Banach space $(Y, |\cdot|)$ such that for some $\lambda_0 \in D_+$, $\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_0 t} |f(t)| dt < \infty$. If the limit

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(t) dt = x (\in Y)$$

exists, then we have

$$\lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt = x.$$

Theorem 2. Let f be a strongly Lebesgue measurable function from the interval $(0, \infty)$ to a Banach space $(Y, |\cdot|)$ such that for all $\lambda \in D_+$, $\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} |f(t)| dt < \infty$. If the limit

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(t) dt = x (\in Y)$$

exists, then we have

$$\lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt = x.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Using Fubini's theorem and Tonelli's theorem (see e. g. [1], Theorems III. 11. 9 and III. 11. 14), for $\lambda \in D(K)$ with $\text{Re}(\lambda) > \lambda_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt &= \lambda^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t f(s) ds dt \\ &= (\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t f(s) ds dt) / (\int_0^\infty t e^{-\lambda t} dt). \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, given an $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose $B > 0$ so that

$$0 < b < B \text{ implies } \left| \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b f(t) dt - x \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\left| \int_B^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t f(s) ds dt \right| \leq e^{-[\text{Re}(\lambda) - \lambda_0]B} \int_B^\infty e^{-\lambda_0 t} \int_0^t |f(s)| ds dt$$

and further

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda^2 e^{-\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)B}| &= \frac{\lambda}{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)} \left| [\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)]^2 e^{-\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)B} \right. \\ &< (1 + K^2) [\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)]^2 e^{-\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)B} \quad (\lambda \in D(K)), \end{aligned}$$

therefore, since $\lambda \in D(K)$ and $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ imply $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \lambda^2 \int_B^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t f(s) ds dt = 0.$$

Similarly (or directly)

$$\lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \left(\int_B^\infty t e^{-\lambda t} dt \right) / \left(\int_0^B t e^{-\lambda t} dt \right) = 0.$$

Thus to prove the theorem it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \left| \left(\int_0^B e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t f(s) ds dt \right) / \left(\int_0^B t e^{-\lambda t} dt \right) - x \right|$$

can be arbitrarily small. To see this, put $\xi(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(s) ds - x$ for $0 < t < B$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^B e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t f(s) ds dt \right) / \left(\int_0^B t e^{-\lambda t} dt \right) \\ &= x + \left(\int_0^B t e^{-\lambda t} \xi(t) dt \right) / \left(\int_0^B t e^{-\lambda t} dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \left| \left(\int_0^B t e^{-\lambda t} \xi(t) dt \right) / \left(\int_0^B t e^{-\lambda t} dt \right) \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \limsup_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \left| \frac{\lambda}{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)} \right|^2 \frac{|1 - e^{-\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)B} - \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)B e^{-\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)B}|}{|1 - e^{-\lambda B} - \lambda B e^{-\lambda B}|} \\ &\leq \varepsilon(1 + K^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since for any constant $B > 0$

$$\lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \lambda^2 \int_0^B e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t f(s) ds dt = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \in \mathcal{D}(K)}} \left(\int_0^B t e^{-\lambda t} dt \right) / \left(\int_B^\infty t e^{-\lambda t} dt \right) = 0,$$

Theorem 2 follows from an easy modification of the proof of Theorem 1, and so we omit the details.

3. Ergodic theorems for pseudo-resolvents. In this section $\mathbf{J} = (J_\lambda : \lambda \in D)$ will denote a pseudo-resolvent of linear operators on $L_1(\mathcal{Q}, X)$, where $(X, |\cdot|)$ is a reflexive Banach space, such that $\|tJ_t\|_1 \leq 1$ for all $t \in D_+$ and also such that for some $M \geq 1$, $\|tJ_t f\|_\infty \leq M \|f\|_\infty$ for all $f \in L_1(\mathcal{Q}, X) \cap L_\infty(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ and all $t \in D_+$.

Lemma 1. (a) For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and every $f \in L_p(\mathcal{Q}, X)$, $tJ_t f$ converges in L_p -norm as $t \rightarrow \infty$, when t is restricted to be in D_+ .

(b) For every $1 < p < \infty$ and every $f \in L_p(\mathcal{Q}, X)$, $tJ_t f$ converges in L_p -norm as $t \rightarrow 0$, when t is restricted to be in D_+ .

Proof. Since $L_p(\mathcal{Q}, X)$, with $1 < p < \infty$, is reflexive (because X is reflexive) and $\|tJ_t\|_p \leq M$ for all $t \in D_+$, it follows from Yosida's theory (cf. [5], VIII) that for every $1 < p < \infty$ and every $f \in L_p(\mathcal{Q}, X)$, tJ_t converges in L_p -norm as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and also does as $t \rightarrow 0$, when t is restricted to be in D_+ . Thus we have proved (b). To complete the proof of (a), it suffices to show that for each $f \in L_1(\mathcal{Q}, X) \cap L_\infty(\mathcal{Q}, X)$, $tJ_t f$ converges in L_1 -norm as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $t \in D_+$, since $\|tJ_t\|_1 \leq 1$ for all $t \in D_+$. To do this, choose $f_\infty \in L_2(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ so that

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ t \in D_+}} \|tJ_t f - f_\infty\|_2 = 0.$$

Then using Fatou's lemma we have $\|f_\infty\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$. On the other hand, the resolvent equation shows that

$$J_\lambda(tJ_t) = (\lambda - t)^{-1} [tJ_t - tJ_\lambda],$$

and hence $J_\lambda f_\infty = J_\lambda f$ for all $\lambda \in D$. Therefore $\|f_\infty\|_1 = \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ t \in D_+}} \|tJ_t f_\infty\|_1$, and

since $tJ_t f$ converges in measure to f_∞ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $t \in D_+$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ t \in D_+}} \|tJ_t f - f_\infty\|_1 = \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ t \in D_+}} \|tJ_t f_\infty - f_\infty\|_1 = 0,$$

which completes the proof.

Lemma 2. There exists a strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup

$\Gamma = (T_t : t \geq 0)$ of linear operators on $L_1(\Omega, X)$ such that

- (i) $\|T_t\|_1 \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$,
- (ii) $\|T_t f\|_\infty \leq M^2 \|f\|_\infty$ for all $f \in L_1(\Omega, X) \cap L_\infty(\Omega, X)$ and all $t \geq 0$,
- (iii) tJ_t converges strongly to T_0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$, when t is restricted to be in D_+ ,
- (iv) for all $\lambda \in D$ and all $f \in L_1(\Omega, X)$

$$J_\lambda f = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T_t f \, dt.$$

Proof. For $f \in L_1(\Omega, X)$ define Ef to be the function in $L_1(\Omega, X)$ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ t \in D_+}} \|tJ_t f - Ef\|_1 = 0.$$

Then E is a linear operator on $L_1(\Omega, X)$ such that

$$E = E^2, \quad J_\lambda E = EJ_\lambda = J_\lambda \quad (\lambda \in D), \quad \|E\|_1 \leq 1$$

and

$$\|Ef\|_\infty \leq M \|f\|_\infty \quad (f \in L_1(\Omega, X) \cap L_\infty(\Omega, X)).$$

Let us put $R = EL_1(\Omega, X)$. Then $\mathbf{J} = (J_\lambda : \lambda \in D)$ may be regarded as a pseudo-resolvent of linear operators on the Banach space R . To see that $J_\lambda, \lambda \in D$, are one to one operators on R , let $f \in R$ and $J_\lambda f = 0$ for some $\lambda \in D$. Then we have

$$f = Ef = \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ t \in D_+}} tJ_t f = 0,$$

because the resolvent equation implies that $J_\lambda f = 0$ if and only if $J_\nu f = 0$ for all $\nu \in D$. Thus we may apply Theorem VIII. 4. 1 in [5] to infer that

$$J_\lambda = (\lambda - A)^{-1} \text{ on } R \quad (\lambda \in D)$$

for some closed linear operator A with dense domain in R . Since $\|tJ_t\|_1 \leq 1$ for all $t \in D_+$, it then follows from the Hille-Yosida theorem ([5], p. 248) that there exists a strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup $\mathcal{J} = (S_t : t \geq 0)$ of linear operators on R , with $S_0 = I$ (the identity operator) and $\|S_t\|_1 \leq 1$ (on R) for all $t \geq 0$, such that A is the infinitesimal generator of \mathcal{J} . Since $\|tJ_t f\|_\infty \leq M \|f\|_\infty$ for all $t \in D_+$ and all $f \in L_1(\Omega, X) \cap L_\infty(\Omega, X)$, it also follows that

$$\|S_t f\|_\infty \leq M \|f\|_\infty \quad (t \geq 0 \text{ and } f \in R \cap L_\infty(\Omega, X)).$$

Define $T_t = S_t E$ ($t \geq 0$). Then it is easily seen that $\Gamma = (T_t : t \geq 0)$ is a

strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup of linear operators on $L_1(\Omega, X)$ such that $\|T_t\|_1 \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$, $\text{strong-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t = T_0$, and for every $\lambda \in D$ and every $f \in L_1(\Omega, X)$

$$J_\lambda f = J_\lambda E f = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_t E f \, dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T_t f \, dt.$$

Since $\|T_t f\|_\infty \leq M \|E f\|_\infty \leq M^2 \|f\|_\infty$ for all $t \geq 0$ and all $f \in L_1(\Omega, X) \cap L_\infty(\Omega, X)$, the proof is completed.

Corollary 1. (a) For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and every $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$, $\lambda J_\lambda f$ converges in L_p -norm as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, when λ is restricted to be in $D(K)$.

(b) For every $1 < p < \infty$ and every $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$, $\lambda J_\lambda f$ converges in L_p -norm as $|\lambda| \rightarrow 0$, when λ is restricted to be in $D(K)$.

Proof. Since the proof of Lemma 2 implies that $T_0 = \text{strong-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t$ on $L_1(\Omega, X)$ and hence also on each $L_p(\Omega, X)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f \, dt - T_0 f \right\|_p = 0$$

for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and every $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$. Thus by Theorem 1, (a) follows. Similarly, (b) follows from Theorem 2, since the reflexivity of $L_p(\Omega, X)$, $1 < p < \infty$, implies (see e. g. [3]) that if $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$ then the averages

$$\frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f \, dt$$

converge in L_p -norm as $b \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 2. For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and every $f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$ there exists an X -valued function $g(\lambda, \omega)$, defined on $D \times \Omega$ and strongly measurable with respect to the product of the Lebesgue measure on D and μ , such that for each fixed $\lambda \in D$, $g(\lambda, \omega)$ as a function of ω belongs to the equivalence class of $J_\lambda f$, and also such that for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, $g(\lambda, \omega)$ as a function of λ is continuous on D .

Proof. By an approximation argument it is known that there exists an X -valued function $T_t f(\omega)$, defined on $(0, \infty) \times \Omega$ and strongly measurable with respect to the product of the Lebesgue measure on $(0, \infty)$ and μ , such that for each fixed $t > 0$, $T_t f(\omega)$ as a function of ω belongs to the equivalence class of $T_t f \in L_p(\Omega, X)$. Then we see that there exists a μ -null set $N(f)$, dependent on f but independent of $\lambda \in D$, such that if $\omega \notin N(f)$ then

the X -valued function $t \longrightarrow e^{-\lambda t} T_t f(\omega)$ is Bochner integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the interval $(0, \infty)$, and the integral $\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T_t f(\omega) dt$ as a function of ω belongs to the equivalence class of $\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T_t f dt = J_\lambda f$ for every $\lambda \in D$. Let us put

$$g(\lambda, \omega) = \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T_t f(\omega) dt & (\omega \notin N(f)) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that g satisfies the required properties.

In what follows, $g(\lambda, \omega)$ will be denoted by $J_\lambda f(\omega)$. Now we are in a position to prove the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 3. *For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and every $f \in L_p(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ the following limits*

$$\lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in \mathcal{D}(K)}} \lambda J_\lambda f(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \in \mathcal{D}(K)}} \lambda J_\lambda f(\omega)$$

exist almost everywhere on \mathcal{Q} .

Proof. By Theorems 1 and 2, it suffices to notice that the limits

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f(\omega) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f(\omega) dt$$

exist almost everywhere on \mathcal{Q} , and the almost everywhere existence of these limits follows from [3]. Thus the proof is completed.

4. An extension of Theorem 3. In this section we shall prove that Theorem 3 holds for functions f in $L_1(\mathcal{Q}, X) + L_\infty(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ such that

$$\int_{\{|f|>t\}} |f| d\mu < \infty \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Following Fava [2], the class of such functions f will be denoted by $R_0(\mathcal{Q}, X)$. It is known that $R_0(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ is a linear manifold of $L_1(\mathcal{Q}, X) + L_\infty(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ including $\bigcup_{1 \leq p < \infty} L_p(\mathcal{Q}, X)$. A linear operator T on $L_1(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ such that $\|T\|_1 \leq 1$ and $\|Tf\|_\infty \leq M\|f\|_\infty$ for all $f \in L_1(\mathcal{Q}, X) \cap L_\infty(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ may be extended to a linear operator on $R_0(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ as follows. Let $f \in R_0(\mathcal{Q}, X)$, and choose f_n , $n=1, 2, \dots$, in $L_1(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ so that

$$\lim_n f_n(\omega) = f(\omega) \quad \text{almost everywhere on } \mathcal{Q}$$

and

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty = 0.$$

Then $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|Tf_n - Tf_m\|_\infty = 0$, and hence the limit

$$g(\omega) = \lim_n Tf_n(\omega)$$

exists almost everywhere on Ω . It is a routine matter to see that $g \in R_0(\Omega, X)$, and thus if we set $g = Tf$ then T is well-defined on $R_0(\Omega, X)$ and linear.

Next let $\Gamma = (T_t : t \geq 0)$ be as in Lemma 2. Put

$$T_t f(\omega) = \lim_n T_t f_n(\omega)$$

and

$$J_\lambda f(\omega) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T_t f(\omega) dt \quad (\lambda \in D).$$

From the preceding section and the above argument we observe that for each fixed $\lambda \in D$, $J_\lambda f(\omega)$ as a function of ω belongs to the equivalence class of $J_\lambda f \in R_0(\Omega, X)$. Here we may assume without loss of generality that for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, $J_\lambda f(\omega)$ as a function of λ is continuous on D . It then follows from [3] together with an easy approximation argument that for every $f \in R_0(\Omega, X)$ the limits

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f(\omega) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f(\omega) dt$$

exist almost everywhere on Ω . (In fact, if $f \in R_0(\Omega, X)$, we can choose $f_n \in L_1(\Omega, X)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, so that $\lim_n \|f - f_n\|_\infty = 0$. Then we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f(\omega) dt - \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f_n(\omega) dt \right| \leq M^2 \|f - f_n\|_\infty$$

almost everywhere on Ω for all $b > 0$ (cf. Lemma 2), and further the limits

$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f_n(\omega) dt$ and $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f_n(\omega) dt$ exist almost everywhere on Ω .

Hence for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ we have

$$\limsup_{b, b' \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f(\omega) dt - \frac{1}{b'} \int_0^{b'} T_t f(\omega) dt \right| = 0$$

and

$$\limsup_{b, b' \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f(\omega) dt - \frac{1}{b'} \int_0^{b'} T_t f(\omega) dt \right| = 0.$$

This establishes the desired conclusion.) Thus we may apply Theorems 1

and 2 to obtain the following extension of Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. *For every $f \in R_0(\mathcal{Q}, X)$ the ergodic limits*

$$\lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \lambda J_\lambda f(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{|\lambda| \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \in D(K)}} \lambda J_\lambda f(\omega)$$

exist almost everywhere on \mathcal{Q} .

REFERENCES

- [1] N. DUNFORD and J. T. SCHWARTZ: Linear Operators, Part I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [2] N. A. FAVA: Weak type inequalities for product operators, *Studia Math.* **42** (1972), 271—288.
- [3] S. HASEGAWA, R. SATO and S. TSURUMI: Vector valued ergodic theorems for a one-parameter semigroup of linear operators, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **30** (1978), 95—106.
- [4] R. SATO: Ratio limit theorems and applications to ergodic theory, *Studia Math.* **66** (1980), 237—245.
- [5] K. YOSIDA: *Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received May 21, 1980)