

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 21, Issue 2

1979

Article 4

DECEMBER 1979

On abstract mean ergodic theorems. II

Ryotaro Sato*

*Okayama University

Copyright ©1979 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

ON ABSTRACT MEAN ERGODIC THEOREMS. II

RYOTARO SATO

1. Introduction

This is a continuation of [7]. In [7] we proved abstract mean ergodic theorems for weakly right ergodic semigroups \mathfrak{S} of continuous linear operators on a *complete* locally convex topological vector space E . Among other things it was proved that the fixed points of \mathfrak{S} separate the fixed points of the adjoint semigroup $\mathfrak{S}^* = \{T^* : T \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ if and only if E is the direct sum of the fixed points of \mathfrak{S} and the closed linear subspace of E determined by the set $\{x - Tx : x \in E \text{ and } T \in \mathfrak{S}\}$. This generalizes Sine's mean ergodic theorem for a single Banach space contraction operator ([5], [6], [9]). In the present paper we shall first derive a criterion, called the finite dimension criterion, for the validity of a mean ergodic theorem for a weakly right ergodic semigroup which has as a special case Sine's and Atalla's criterion for a single Banach space contraction operator ([2], [8], [11]). We shall next study ergodic properties of right ergodic semigroups of Markov operators on $C(X)$, $C(X)$ being the Banach space of all (real or complex) continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X with the supremum norm. Sine's results in [10] will be generalized.

2. Definitions and the finite dimension criterion

Throughout this section, E will be a *complete* locally convex topological vector space (t. v. s.) and \mathfrak{S} a semigroup of continuous linear operators on E . For an $x \in E$ we denote by $A(x)$ the affine subspace of E determined by the set $\{Tx : T \in \mathfrak{S}\}$, i. e.

$$A(x) = \{y : y = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i T_i x, \sum_{i=1}^k a_i = 1, T_i \in \mathfrak{S}, 1 \leq k < \infty\},$$

and by $\bar{A}(x)$ the closure of $A(x)$ in E . A net $(T_n, n \in \mathcal{A})$ of linear operators on E is said to be (*weakly*) *right* [resp. (*weakly*) *left*] \mathfrak{S} -ergodic if it satisfies:

- (I) For every $x \in E$ and all $n \in \mathcal{A}$, $T_n x \in \bar{A}(x)$.
- (II) The transformations T_n are equicontinuous.
- (III) For every $x \in E$ and all $T \in \mathfrak{S}$,

$$(\text{weak-}) \lim_n T_n T x - T_n x = 0 \quad [\text{resp. } (\text{weak-}) \lim_n T T_n x - T_n x = 0].$$

The semigroup \mathfrak{S} is said to be (*weakly*) *right* [resp. (*weakly*) *left*]

ergodic if it possesses at least one (weakly) right [resp. (weakly) left] \mathfrak{S} -ergodic net $(T_n, n \in \mathcal{J})$. Whenever $(T_n, n \in \mathcal{J})$ is a both (weakly) right and left \mathfrak{S} -ergodic net, we call it simply (weakly) \mathfrak{S} -ergodic. And if \mathfrak{S} possesses at least one (weakly) \mathfrak{S} -ergodic net, \mathfrak{S} is said to be (weakly) ergodic. (See [4] and [7].)

The adjoint semigroup of \mathfrak{S} is the semigroup $\mathfrak{S}^* = \{T^* : T \in \mathfrak{S}\}$, where T^* is the adjoint operator of T defined by $\langle x, T^*x^* \rangle = \langle Tx, x^* \rangle$ for all $x \in E$ and all $x^* \in E^*$, E^* being the topological dual of E . We let

$$F = \{x \in E : Tx = x \text{ for all } T \in \mathfrak{S}\}$$

and

$$F^* = \{x^* \in E^* : T^*x^* = x^* \text{ for all } T^* \in \mathfrak{S}^*\}.$$

Lemma. *Let \mathfrak{S} be a weakly right ergodic semigroup. If $\dim F < \infty$, then $\dim F^* \geq \dim F$.*

Proof. Let f be any linear functional on F . Then f is continuous on F , as F is finite dimensional. Therefore by the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists an $f^* \in E^*$ such that

$$f^* = f \text{ on } F.$$

Write

$$U = \{x \in E : |\langle x, f^* \rangle| < 1\}.$$

Now if $(T_n, n \in \mathcal{J})$ is a weakly right \mathfrak{S} -ergodic net, then by the equicontinuity of the operators T_n we can choose a neighborhood W of the origin of E such that $W \subset U$ and also such that

$$T_n W \subset U \text{ for all } n \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Let

$$A^* = \{x^* \in E^* : x^* = f \text{ on } F \text{ and } |\langle x, x^* \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in U\}$$

and

$$B^* = \{x^* \in E^* : x^* = f \text{ on } F \text{ and } |\langle x, x^* \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in W\}.$$

It is easily seen that $f^* \in A^* \subset B^*$ and that

$$x^* \in A^* \text{ implies } T_n^* x^* \in B^* \text{ for all } n \in \mathcal{J}.$$

The Banach-Alaoglu theorem shows that B^* is weak*-compact, thus there exists a subnet $(T_{n'}^*, f^*, n' \in \mathcal{J}')$ of the net $(T_n^* f^*, n \in \mathcal{J})$ which converges in the weak*-topology to a point g^* in B^* . Hence for every $T^* \in \mathfrak{S}^*$ and all $x \in E$

$$\langle x, T^* g^* \rangle = \lim_{n'} \langle x, T^* T_{n'}^* f^* \rangle = \lim_{n'} \langle T_{n'} Tx, f^* \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{n'} \langle T_{n'} x, f^* \rangle = \lim_{n'} \langle x, T_{n'}^* f^* \rangle \\
 &= \langle x, g^* \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $g^* \in F^*$, and since $g^* = f$ on F , we immediately conclude that $\dim F^* \geq \dim F$. The proof is complete.

Remark 1. The above-given argument can easily be modified to show that if \mathfrak{S} is a weakly right ergodic semigroup, then $\dim F^* < \infty$ implies $\dim F \leq \dim F^*$. Any continuous linear functional on F can be extended to a continuous linear functional on E belonging to F^* .

Theorem 1. Let \mathfrak{S} be a weakly right ergodic semigroup of continuous linear operators on a complete locally convex t. v. s. E . If either $\dim F < \infty$ or $\dim F^* < \infty$ then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $\dim F = \dim F^*$.
- (b) E is the direct sum of F and N , where N is the closed linear subspace of E determined by the set $\{x - Tx : x \in E \text{ and } T \in \mathfrak{S}\}$.

Proof. By the previous lemma and remark, we see that (a) is equivalent to the following: For any nonzero $x^* \in F^*$ there exists an $x \in F$ satisfying $\langle x, x^* \rangle \neq 0$, i. e. F separates F^* . And this condition is equivalent to (b), as is stated in Introduction. The proof is complete.

Remark 2. In the above theorem, the hypothesis that F is finite dimensional is not omitted. In fact there are many spaces E such that $\dim E < \dim E^*$. If we let $S = \{I\}$, where I denotes the identity operator on such a space E , then clearly (b) holds but (a) does not.

3. Ergodic properties of Markov operator semigroups

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $C(X)$ the Banach space of all (real or complex) continuous functions on X with the supremum norm. A linear operator T on $C(X)$ is said to be a *Markov operator* if $T1 = 1$ and if $f \geq 0$ implies $Tf \geq 0$. Let \mathfrak{S} be a fixed semigroup of Markov operators on $C(X)$, and put

$$C_i(X) = \{f \in C(X) : Tf = f \text{ for all } T \in \mathfrak{S}\}.$$

It is well-known ([3], p. 265) that the topological dual space $C^*(X)$ of $C(X)$ is identified with the space of all regular finite (countably additive) measures on the σ -field Σ of Borel subsets of X . Denote by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the regular probability measures on Σ , and put

$$\mathcal{P}_i(X) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X) : T^*\mu = \mu \text{ for all } T^* \in \mathcal{G}^*\}.$$

We define, as in Sine [10], the center M of \mathcal{G} by

$$M = \text{closure} \cup \{\text{supp } \mu : \mu \in \mathcal{P}_i(X)\}.$$

A closed subset K of X is said to be \mathcal{G} -invariant if $\text{supp } T^*e_x \subset K$ for every $x \in K$, where e_x denotes the unit mass concentrated at x . It is easily seen from Sine [8] that M is \mathcal{G} -invariant.

Proposition. *Let \mathcal{G} be a weakly right ergodic semigroup of Markov operators on $C(X)$. Then any $g \in C(X)$ with $g = 0$ on M is in the closed linear subspace N of $C(X)$ determined by the set $\{f - Tf : f \in C(X) \text{ and } T \in \mathcal{G}\}$.*

Proof. Let $(T_n, n \in \mathcal{A})$ be a weakly right \mathcal{G} -ergodic net. If $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, then as in the proof of the lemma there exists a subnet $(T_{n'}, \mu, n' \in \mathcal{A}')$ of the net $(T_n^* \mu, n \in \mathcal{A})$ and an element $\tilde{\mu} \in C^*(X)$ such that

$$\text{weak}^*\text{-}\lim_{n'} T_{n'}^* \mu = \tilde{\mu}.$$

It follows that $T^*\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}$ for all $T^* \in \mathcal{G}^*$. Since $\|T^*\| = 1$ for all $T^* \in \mathcal{G}^*$, it follows that $\tilde{\mu}$ is a finite linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{P}_i(X)$. Hence $\text{supp } \tilde{\mu} \subset M$, and so we have

$$\lim_{n'} \langle T_{n'} g, \mu \rangle = \lim_{n'} \langle g, T_{n'}^* \mu \rangle = \langle g, \tilde{\mu} \rangle = 0.$$

By this and an easy induction argument, the zero function 0 is a weak cluster element of the net $(T_n g, n \in \mathcal{A})$, and thus we have $0 \in \overline{A}(g)$. Therefore given an $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $h = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i T_i g$ with $\|h\| < \epsilon$, where $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i = 1$ and $T_i \in \mathcal{G}$ for each i . Consequently

$$g = h + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (g - T_i g),$$

and this proves the proposition.

In Theorem 2 below we study ergodic properties of \mathcal{G} restricted to the center M . A semigroup \mathcal{G} is said to be *continuously scattered* if there exists a family of functions in $C(X)$ so that each function in the family is constant on the support of each extreme measure of $\mathcal{P}_i(X)$ and the family separates the extreme measures of $\mathcal{P}_i(X)$.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{G} be a semigroup of Markov operators on $C(X)$ and*

$(T_n, n \in \mathcal{A})$ a right \mathfrak{G} -ergodic net of linear operators on $C(X)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) \mathfrak{G} is continuously scattered.

(b) For any $f \in C(X)$ the net $(T_n f, n \in \mathcal{A})$ converges uniformly on the center M , and further $\lim_n T_n f - T_n f = 0$ uniformly on M for all $T \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Proof. We proceed partly as in Sine [10]. Since M is \mathfrak{G} -invariant, we may and will assume without loss of generality that M equals the whole space X .

(a) \implies (b). Let \mathcal{A} be the family of all $f \in C(X)$ that are constant on the support of each extreme measure of $\mathcal{P}_i(X)$. Then we see that \mathcal{A} is a norm closed algebra and that if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and if μ is an extreme measure of $\mathcal{P}_i(X)$ then $Tf = f$ on $\text{supp } \mu$ for all $T \in \mathfrak{G}$, because $\text{supp } \mu$ is \mathfrak{G} -invariant. By the Krein-Milman theorem, the union

$$\cup \{ \text{supp } \mu : \mu \text{ is an extreme measure of } \mathcal{P}_i(X) \}$$

is dense in $X (= M)$, and thus the continuity of f implies that $Tf = f$ on X for all $T \in \mathfrak{G}$. Let Y be the quotient topological space X/\mathcal{A} . The quotient map q is defined by

$$q(x) = \{ z \in X : f(z) = f(x) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{A} \} (\in Y)$$

for all $x \in X$. Y is then a compact Hausdorff space and q is continuous. The Stone-Weierstrass theorem implies that \mathcal{A} can be identified with the Banach space $C(Y)$, and from this it may be readily seen that for any $y \in Y$ the set $q^{-1}(y)$ is \mathfrak{G} -invariant. Since by assumption \mathfrak{G} is continuously scattered, there exists a unique measure μ in $\mathcal{P}_i(X)$ such that $\text{supp } \mu \subset q^{-1}(y)$. It follows from Corollary 1 of [7] and the results of the preceding section that $(T_n f, n \in \mathcal{A})$ converges uniformly on $q^{-1}(y)$ to a constant function for each $f \in C(X)$.

Let

$$F(x) = \lim_n T_n f(x) \quad (x \in X).$$

To prove the uniform convergence of $(T_n f, n \in \mathcal{A})$ to F , let $x \in q^{-1}(y)$. Since $(T_n f, n \in \mathcal{A})$ converges uniformly on $q^{-1}(y)$, given an $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $N \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$q^{-1}(y) \subset \{ z \in X : |T_N f(z) - F(x)| < \varepsilon \}.$$

The latter set is open, and hence there exists an open set U in Y so that

$$q^{-1}(y) \subset q^{-1}(U) \subset \{ z \in X : |T_N f(z) - F(x)| < \varepsilon \}.$$

Since $T_N f \in \overline{A}(f)$, we can choose $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i T_i f \in A(f)$ so that

$$\| T_N f - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i T_i f \| < \varepsilon.$$

It then follows that

$$|\sum_{i=1}^k a_i T_i f - F(x)| < 2\varepsilon \text{ on } q^{-1}(U)$$

and that

$$T_n f = T_n(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i(f - T_i f)) + T_n(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i T_i f - F(x)) + T_n F(x).$$

Since for every $z \in q^{-1}(U)$ and all $n \in \Delta$, $\text{supp } T_n^* e_x \subset q^{-1}(U)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n f - F(x)| &= |T_n f - T_n F(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |a_i| \|T_n f - T_n T_i f\| + 2A\varepsilon \text{ on } q^{-1}(U) \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \sup_n \|T_n\|$, and that

$$\lim_n \|T_n f - T_n T_i f\| = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

Hence we see that $|F(z) - F(x)| \leq 2A\varepsilon$ for all $z \in q^{-1}(U)$, and furthermore that there exists an $N(x) \in \Delta$ such that if $n \geq N(x)$ then

$$|T_n f - F| < 5A\varepsilon \text{ on } q^{-1}(U).$$

Since X is compact, the uniform convergence of $(T_n f, n \in \Delta)$ to F on X follows. Since $F \in \mathcal{A} \subset C_i(X)$, we also have

$$\lim_n \|TT_n f - T_n f\| = \|TF - F\| = 0$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{S}$.

(b) \implies (a). If (b) holds then by Corollary 1 of [7] $C_i(X)$ separates the extreme measures of $\mathcal{P}_i(X)$. On the other hand, every $f \in C_i(X)$ is constant on the support of each extreme measure of $\mathcal{P}_i(X)$ ([8]). Therefore \mathcal{S} is continuously scattered.

The following theorem may be regarded as a generalization of Theorem 3.2 of Atalla [1].

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{S} be a continuously scattered semigroup of Markov operators on $C(X)$ and $(T_n, n \in \Delta)$ a right \mathcal{S} -ergodic net of linear operators on $C(X)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent :*

- (a) *For any $f \in C(X)$ the net $(T_n f, n \in \Delta)$ converges uniformly on X .*
- (b) *There exists a continuous linear operator S on $C(X)$ such that for every $f \in C(X)$ $\lim_n \|ST_n f - T_n f\| = 0$ and such that, for each $f \in C(X)$ with $f = 0$ on M , $Sf = 0$ on X .*

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Let $Sf = \lim_n T_n f$ for all $f \in C(X)$. Since $(T_n, n \in \mathcal{J})$ is right \mathfrak{S} -ergodic, if $f \in C(X)$ satisfies $f = 0$ on M then by the Proposition $Sf = 0$ on X . Furthermore for every $T \in \mathfrak{S}$ and all $f \in C(X)$,

$$STf - Sf = \lim_n T_n Tf - T_n f = 0.$$

Hence, immediately, $ST_n = S$ for all $n \in \mathcal{J}$, and so (b) follows.

(b) \implies (a). Since \mathfrak{S} is continuously scattered by hypothesis, Theorem 2 shows that the net $(T_n f, n \in \mathcal{J})$ converges uniformly on the center M for every $f \in C(X)$. Choose an $F \in C(X)$ so that

$$F(x) = \lim_n T_n f(x) \quad (x \in M).$$

(b) implies that $\text{supp } S^*e_x \subset M$ for all $x \in X$, and hence we have

$$\lim_n \|SF - ST_n f\| \leq \|S\| \lim_n (\sup \{|F(z) - T_n f(z)| : z \in M\}) = 0.$$

Therefore, by (b) again, we have

$$\lim_n \|SF - T_n f\| \leq \lim_n \|SF - ST_n f\| + \lim_n \|ST_n f - T_n f\| = 0,$$

completing the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. E. ATALLA: On the mean convergence of Markov operators, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **19** (1974), 205–209.
- [2] R. E. ATALLA: On the ergodic theory of contractions, Rev. Colombiana Mat. **10** (1976), 75–81.
- [3] N. DUNFORD and J. T. SCHWARTZ: Linear Operators. Part I, New York, 1958.
- [4] W. F. EBERLEIN: Abstract ergodic theorems and weak almost periodic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1949), 217–240.
- [5] S. P. LLOYD: On the mean ergodic theorem of Sine, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (1976), 121–126.
- [6] R. J. NAGEL: Mittelergodische Halbgruppen linearer Operatoren, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **23**–4 (1973), 75–87.
- [7] R. SATO: On abstract mean ergodic theorems, Tohoku Math. J. **30** (1978), 575–581.
- [8] R. SINE: Geometric theory of a single Markov operator, Pacific J. Math. **27** (1968), 155–166.
- [9] R. SINE: A mean ergodic theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 438–439.
- [10] R. SINE: On local uniform mean convergence for Markov operators, Pacific J. Math. **60** (1975), 247–252.
- [11] R. SINE: Geometric theory of a single Markov operator. II, unpublished.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received February 5, 1979)