

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 14, Issue 1*

1969

*Article 11*

NOVEMBER 1969

---

## On uniformities generated by filters

Norman Levine\*

\*The Ohio State University

Copyright ©1969 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by  
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

## ON UNIFORMITIES GENERATED BY FILTERS

NORMAN LEVINE

1. A filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on a set  $X$  generates a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  by taking as base sets of the form  $\Delta \cup F \times F$  where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal in  $X \times X$  and  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

In § 4, we obtain characterizations for principal ultrafilters, principal filters, filters  $\mathfrak{F}$  with the property that  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F}$  has at most one point.

In § 5, we characterize the topologies which arise from uniformities generated by filters.

Completeness, total boundedness and compactness of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  are treated in § 6, 7, and 8.

We shall call a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  for a set  $X$  a filter generated uniformity if there exists a filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ . Such uniformities will be termed fg-uniformities. In this case,  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  will be called an fg-uniform space, or simply an fg-space.

In § 9, we show that subspaces and quotient spaces of fg-spaces are fg-spaces. Furthermore, the supremum of a family of fg-uniformities is an fg-uniformity.

**2. Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter on  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  be the set of relations  $U$  such that  $X \times X \supseteq U \supseteq \Delta \cup F \times F$  for some  $F$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is a uniformity for  $X$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Delta \subseteq \Delta \cup F \times F$  (ii)  $(\Delta \cup F \times F)^{-1} = \Delta \cup F \times F$  (iii)  $(\Delta \cup F \times F) \cap (\Delta \cup F' \times F') = \Delta \cup (F \cap F') \times (F \cap F')$  and (iv)  $(\Delta \cup F \times F) \circ (\Delta \cup F \times F) = \Delta \cup F \times F$ .

**3. Theorem 3.1** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_2$  be two filters on  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}_2)$ .*

The proof is trivial.

Frequent use will be made of the following

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $F$  and  $F^*$  be two subsets of  $X$  and suppose that  $F^*$  has at least two elements. If  $\Delta \cup F \times F \supseteq \Delta \cup F^* \times F^*$ , then  $F \supseteq F^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in F^*$ . Take  $y \neq x$  and  $y \in F^*$ . Then  $(x, y) \in F^* \times F^* \subseteq \Delta \cup F \times F$ . Thus  $(x, y) \in F \times F$  and  $x \in F$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  be two filters on  $X$  and suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is not a principal ultrafilter. If  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}_2)$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then  $\bigcap F_1 \times F_1 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  and hence  $\bigcap F_1 \times F_1 \supseteq \bigcap F_2 \times F_2$  for some  $F_2 \in \mathfrak{F}_2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is not a principal ultrafilter,  $F_2$  has at least two elements and hence by lemma 3.2  $F_1 \supseteq F_2$ . Thus  $F_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_2$ .

4. In this paragraph, we obtain characterizations for various kinds of filters  $\mathfrak{F}$  in terms of the associated uniformity  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *A filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $X$  is a principal ultrafilter iff  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is discrete.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is discrete. Then  $\bigcap \supseteq \bigcap F \times F$  for some  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Clearly then,  $F$  is a singleton set and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a principal ultrafilter. Conversely, suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a principal ultrafilter. Then there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $\{x\} \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\bigcap = \bigcap \{x\} \times \{x\}$  and hence  $\bigcap \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is discrete.

**Corollary 4.2** *A filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $X$  is a principal filter iff  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is a principal filter.*

*Proof.* Case 1. Suppose  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a principal ultrafilter. Then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is discrete by theorem 4.1 and hence is a principal filter. Case 2.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not a principal ultrafilter. Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is a principal filter. Then there exists an  $F^* \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $U \supseteq \bigcap F^* \times F^*$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ . Then  $\bigcap F \times F \supseteq \bigcap F^* \times F^*$  for all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  and by lemma 3.2,  $F \supseteq F^*$  since  $F^*$  has at least two points. Thus  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a principal filter. Conversely, suppose  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a principal filter. Then there exists an  $F^* \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $F \supseteq F^*$  for all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\bigcap F \times F \supseteq \bigcap F^* \times F^*$  for all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ . It follows then that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is a principal filter.

**Theorem 4.3** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter on the set  $X$ . Then  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F}$  has at most one point iff  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is separated.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \neq y$  and  $\{x, y\} \subseteq \bigcap \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $(x, y) \in \bigcap F \times F$  for all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $(x, y) \in U$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  and thus  $\bigcap \neq \bigcap \mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is not separated. Conversely, in  $\mathcal{U}$  is not separated, then  $\bigcap \neq \bigcap \mathcal{U}$ . Then there exist points  $x \neq y$  such that  $(x, y) \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}$ . Thus,  $(x, y) \in \bigcap F \times F$  for all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  and hence  $\{x, y\} \subseteq F$  for all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ . It follows then that  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F}$  contains more than one point.

**Corollary 4.4** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter on  $X$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a principal ultrafilter or  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$  iff  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is discrete.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a principal ultrafilter, then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is discrete by theorem 4.1. Thus  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is discrete. If  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is discrete. For let  $x \in X$ . Then  $x \notin F$  for some  $F$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Hence  $(\bigcup F \times F)[x] = \{x\}$  and thus  $\{x\}$  is open. Conversely, suppose that  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is discrete and suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not a principal ultrafilter. We will show that  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in X$ . There exists an  $F^* \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $(\bigcup F^* \times F^*)[x] = \{x\}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not a principal ultrafilter,  $F^*$  has at least two points. If  $x \in F^*$ , then  $(\bigcup F^* \times F^*)[x] = F^* \neq \{x\}$ , a contradiction. It follows then that  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$ .

**5. Theorem 5.1** *Let  $(X, \mathfrak{S})$  be a topological space. Then there exists a filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $X$  for which  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  iff there exist sets  $A$  and  $B$  in  $X$  such that (1)  $X = A \cup B$ , (2)  $a \in A$  implies that  $\{a\}$  is both open and closed, (3)  $\mathcal{N}(b_1) = \mathcal{N}(b_2)$  for all  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  in  $B$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  denoting neighborhood system and (4)  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $X$  such  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$ . Case 1.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a principal ultrafilter. Then by theorem 4.1,  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is discrete and thus  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is discrete. Let  $A = X$  and  $A = \emptyset$ . Clearly, (1)–(4) hold. Case 2.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not a principal ultrafilter. In this case, let  $B = \bigcap \mathfrak{F}$  and  $A = \mathcal{C}B$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  denoting the complement operator. Clearly, (1) and (4) hold. We show now that (2) holds. If  $a$  is in  $A$ , then  $a \notin F^*$  for some  $F^*$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $(\bigcup F^* \times F^*)[a] = \{a\}$  and thus  $\{a\}$  is both open and closed. To show (3), let  $b \in B$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{N}(b) = \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $N \in \mathcal{N}(b)$ , there exists an  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $(\bigcup F \times F)[b] \subseteq N$ . Since  $b \in \bigcap \mathfrak{F}$ , it follows that  $F \subseteq N$  and hence  $N \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Conversely, let  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $(\bigcup F \times F)[b] = F$  and hence  $F \in \mathcal{N}(b)$ .

Conversely, suppose that there exist sets  $A$  and  $B$  in  $X$  for which (1)–(4) hold. Case 1.  $B = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is discrete and  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  where  $\mathfrak{F}$  is any principal ultrafilter. Case 2.  $B \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{N}(b)$  where  $b$  is arbitrary in  $B$ . We assert first that  $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$ . To this end, let  $x \in O \in \mathfrak{S}$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $x \neq b$  and  $b \in \mathcal{C}\{x\} \in \mathcal{N}(b)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}\{x\} = F \in \mathfrak{F}$  for some  $F$ . It follows then that  $\{x\} = (\bigcup F \times F)[x] \subseteq O$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $\mathcal{N}(x) = \mathcal{N}(b) = \mathfrak{F}$  and hence  $O \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Thus  $(\bigcup O \times O)[x] = O$ . We show next that  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$ . For let  $x \in O \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in \{x\} \subseteq O$  and  $\{x\} \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $\mathcal{N}(x) = \mathcal{N}(b) = \mathfrak{F}$ . But there exists an  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $(\bigcup F \times F)[x] \subseteq O$  and hence  $x \in F \subseteq O$ . Since

$F \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , it follows that  $O \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

**6. Lemma 6.1**  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ -cauchy filter in  $X$ .

*Proof.* If  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ , then  $U \supseteq \bigcup F \times F \supseteq F \times F$  for some  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

**Lemma 6.2** If  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  cauchy filter in  $X$  and if  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is not a principal ultrafilter, then  $\mathfrak{F}^* \supseteq \mathfrak{F}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\bigcup F \times F \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  and hence  $F^* \times F^* \subseteq \bigcup F \times F$  for some  $F^*$  in  $\mathfrak{F}^*$ . But  $F^*$  has at least two points since  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is not a principal ultrafilter. It follows from lemma 3.2 that  $F^* \subseteq F$  and  $F \in \mathfrak{F}^*$ .

**Theorem 6.3** Suppose  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a filter on  $X$  with the property that  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an ultrafilter iff  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the only  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ -cauchy filter which is not principal.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an ultrafilter. Since  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not principal and by lemma 6.1,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ -cauchy. Suppose now that  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is any  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ -cauchy, non principal filter. By lemma 6.2,  $\mathfrak{F}^* \supseteq \mathfrak{F}$  and since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an ultrafilter, it follows that  $\mathfrak{F}^* = \mathfrak{F}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the only  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ -cauchy non principal filter on  $X$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the only  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ -cauchy, non principal filter on  $X$ . To show that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an ultrafilter, let  $\mathfrak{F}' \supseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F}' \subseteq \bigcap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$  and thus  $\mathfrak{F}'$  is not principal.  $\mathfrak{F}'$  is clearly  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ -cauchy since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is. Thus  $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}$ .

7. Completeness of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  is investigated in this paragraph.

**Theorem 7.1**  $(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is complete iff  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a convergent filter.

*Proof.* If  $(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is complete, then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is convergent since by lemma 6.1,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ -cauchy. Conversely, suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is convergent and that  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ -cauchy filter. Case 1.  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is a principal ultrafilter. Then  $\mathcal{N}(x^*) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for some  $x^*$  and  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is convergent. Case 2.  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is not a principal ultrafilter. By lemma 6.2,  $\mathfrak{F}^* \supseteq \mathfrak{F}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is convergent.

**Corollary 7.2** If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a filter on  $X$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is complete iff  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $x^* \in \bigcap \mathfrak{F}$ . By theorem 7.1, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{N}(x^*) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $N \in \mathcal{N}(x^*)$ , there exists an  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $(\bigcup F \times F)$

$[x^*] \subseteq N$ . Then  $F \subseteq N$  and  $N \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Conversely, suppose  $(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is complete. By theorem 7.1,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is convergent and hence there exists a point  $x^*$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(x^*) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . We show now that  $x^* \in \bigcap \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $x^* \notin F^* \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $(\bigcup F^* \times F^*)[x^*] \subseteq \{x^*\}$  and hence  $\{x^*\}$  is open. Then  $\{x^*\} \in \mathcal{N}(x^*) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  and hence  $\{x^*\} \in \mathfrak{F}$ . But  $\{x^*\} \cap F^* = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

**Corollary 7.3** *If  $(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is not separated, then  $(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is complete.*

*Proof.* By theorem 4.3,  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F}$  has at least two points and thus  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ . By the preceding theorem,  $(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is complete.

**8. Theorem 8.1**  *$(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is totally bounded iff  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  implies that  $\mathcal{C}F$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Sufficiency. Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$ . Then  $U \supseteq \bigcup F \times F$  for some  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $x_1 \in F$ ,  $\mathcal{C}F = \{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ . Then  $U[x_1, \dots, x_n] = X$ .

Necessity. Suppose  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\bigcup F \times F \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  and hence there exist  $x_i$  such that  $(\bigcup F \times F)[x_1, \dots, x_n] = X$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}F \subseteq (\bigcup F \times F)[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq F \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}F \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and hence  $\mathcal{C}F$  is finite.

**Theorem 8.2**  *$(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}))$  is compact iff (1)  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$  and (2)  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  implies that  $\mathcal{C}F$  is finite.*

The proof follows from theorem 8.1 and corollary 7.2.

**9.** In this final section, we will be concerned with fg-uniformities for a set  $X$  (see § 1).

**Theorem 9.1** *Let  $\mathcal{U} = \bigvee \mathcal{U}_\alpha$  where  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  is an fg-uniformity for  $X$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is an fg-uniformity for  $X$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ , there exists a filter  $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}_\alpha)$ . Case 1.  $F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_n \neq \emptyset$  for all  $F_i$  in  $\bigcup \mathfrak{F}_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \bigvee \{\mathfrak{F}_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{J}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}) = \bigvee \{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}_\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathcal{J}\}$  as the reader can easily verify. Case 2.  $F_1^* \cap \dots \cap F_n^* = \emptyset$  for some  $F_i^*$  in  $\bigcup \mathfrak{F}_\alpha$ . In this case,  $\bigvee \mathcal{U}_\alpha$  is discrete since  $\mathcal{J} = \bigcap \{\bigcup F_i^* \times F_i^*\}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is generated by any principal ultrafilter.

**Lemma 9.2** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter on  $X$  and let  $Y$  be a set. Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\mathfrak{F}^* = \{F^* : Y \supseteq F^* \supseteq f[F] \text{ for some } F \text{ in } \mathfrak{F}\}$ . Then  $f$  is uniformly continuous relative to  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  and  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}^*)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the identity  $\Delta \cup F \times F \subseteq (f \times f)^{-1}(\Delta \cup f[F] \times f[F])$  where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal in the appropriate space.

**Lemma 9.3** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  a uniformity for  $Y$ . Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is uniformly continuous relative to  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}^*)$  where  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is defined as in lemma 9.2.*

*Proof.* If  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $(f \times f)^{-1}[V] \supseteq \Delta \cup F \times F$  for some  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$  and it follows that  $V \supseteq \Delta \cup f[F] \times f[F]$ . Thus  $V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}^*)$ .

**Theorem 9.4** *Let  $f: (X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{V})$  be a uniform identification, that is, let  $f$  be onto and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the largest uniformity for  $Y$  for which  $f$  is uniformly continuous relative to  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an fg-uniformity, then so is  $\mathcal{V}$ .*

*Proof.* Apply lemma 9.2 and lemma 9.3.

**Theorem 9.5** *Let  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$  be a subspace of  $(X, \mathcal{U})$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an fg-uniformity for  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{V}$  is an fg-uniformity for  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F})$  where  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a filter on  $X$ . Case 1.  $Y \cap F^* = \emptyset$  for some  $F^* \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\Delta_Y = Y \times Y \cap (\Delta_X \cup F^* \times F^*) \in Y \times Y \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}) = Y \times Y \cap \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}$ . Thus  $\Delta_Y \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is discrete. A discrete uniformity is always fg. Case 2.  $Y \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for all  $F$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $Y \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is a filter on  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}(Y \cap \mathfrak{F})$  as the reader can check.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] JOHN L. KELLEY: General Topology, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., 1955.
- [2] WILLIAM J. PERVIN: Foundations of General Topology, Academic Press, 1964.
- [3] WOLFGANG J. THRON: Topological Structures, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1966.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

(Received March 7, 1969)