

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 19, Issue 1*

1976

*Article 4*

DECEMBER 1976

---

## Elementary proofs of some theorems of special Fourier series

Takeshi Kano\*

\*Okayama University

Copyright ©1976 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by  
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

## ELEMENTARY PROOFS OF SOME THEOREMS ON SPECIAL FOURIER SERIES

TAKESHI KANO

**1. Introduction.** A real sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is said to be quasi-convex if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) |\Delta^2 a_n| < \infty$$

where  $\Delta^2 a_n = \Delta a_n - \Delta a_{n+1}$ ,  $\Delta a_n = a_n - a_{n+1}$ .

It is known that a bounded convex sequence is quasi-convex and a bounded quasi-convex sequence is of bounded variation, viz

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta a_n| < \infty.$$

We denote by  $(Ta)_n$ , the  $n$ -th arithmetic mean of  $\{a_n\}$ ,

$$(Ta)_n = \frac{1}{n} (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n).$$

Hardy [4] proved that if

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin nx$$

is the Fourier series of a function  $f(x) \in L^p(0, 2\pi)$  ( $p \geq 1$ ), then

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Ta)_n \sin nx$$

is the Fourier series of a function  $\varphi(x) \in L^p(0, 2\pi)$ .

G. and S. Goes [2] obtained for a special sequence  $\{a_n\}$  the following

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a real null-sequence of bounded variation. Then (2) is the Fourier series of an  $L^1$ -function if and only if*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n} < \infty.$$

Hence Theorem A, when combined with the above result of Hardy, has the following interesting corollary.

**Corollary 1.**<sup>1)</sup> *If  $\{a_n\}$  is a real null-sequence of bounded variation, then (3) is necessary for (1) being a Fourier series.*

<sup>1)</sup> Cf. [2; Proof of Theorem 5.3]

If  $\{a_n\}$  is a quasi-convex null-sequence, the following important theorem due to Teljakovskii [7] is known.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a quasi-convex real null-sequence. Then (1) is the Fourier series of an  $L^1$ -function if and only if (3) holds.*

Thus we have

**Corollary 2.** *When  $\{a_n\}$  is a quasi-convex null-sequence, (1) is a Fourier series if and only if (2) is a Fourier series.*

For the proof of Theorem A, the following theorem [2; Theorem 6.1] is required.

**Theorem C.** *A bounded sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is of bounded variation if and only if  $\{(Ta)_n\}$  is a quasi-convex sequence.*

Proofs of Theorems A and C due to G. and S. Goes are by a theory of the so-called  $BK$ -space, and so they are not elementary. In the next section we shall first give an elementary proof of Theorem C and then prove Theorem A in an elementary way depending upon Theorems B and C.

2. We need the following lemmas to prove Theorem C.

**Lemma 1.** *Put  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ ,  $t_n = (Ta)_n = \frac{S_n}{n}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta t_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta a_k|.$$

*Proof.* Writing  $d_k = \Delta a_k = a_k - a_{k+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t_k &= t_k - t_{k+1} = \frac{S_k}{k} - \frac{S_{k+1}}{k+1} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) (S_k - k a_{k+1}) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) (d_1 + 2d_2 + \cdots + k d_k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta t_k| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) (|d_1| + 2|d_2| + \cdots + k|d_k|) \\ &\leq |d_1| \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) + 2|d_2| \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) + \cdots + n|d_n| \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \\ &\leq |d_1| + |d_2| + \cdots + |d_n| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta a_k|. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.** *Assume that  $\{a_n\}$  is of bounded variation. Then  $\{t_n\}$  is quasi-convex if and only if it is of bounded variation.*

*Proof.* It will suffice to prove that  $\{t_n\}$  is quasi-convex when it is of bounded variation. A simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t_n &= \frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{S_{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n(n+1)} (S_n - na_{n+1}), \\ \Delta^2 t_n &= \Delta(\Delta t_n) = \frac{S_n}{n(n+1)} - \frac{S_{n+1}}{(n+1)(n+2)} + \frac{a_{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{a_{n+1}}{n+1} \\ &= \frac{2(S_n - na_{n+1})}{n(n+1)(n+2)} + \frac{1}{n+2} (a_{n+2} - a_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad (n+2) \Delta^2 t_n = 2 \Delta t_n + \Delta a_{n+1}.$$

Thus we have by Lemma 1

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2) |\Delta^2 t_n| \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta t_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta a_n| < \infty,$$

which shows that  $\{t_n\}$  is quasi-convex.

*Proof of Theorem C.* If a bounded sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is of bounded variation, then  $(Ta)_n$  is also of bounded variation by Lemma 1, so it is quasi-convex by Lemma 2. Conversely, if  $(Ta)_n$  is quasi-convex ( $(Ta)_n$  is bounded since  $a_n$  is bounded), then it is necessarily of bounded variation. Therefore, from (4) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |\Delta a_n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2) |\Delta^2 t_n| + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta t_n| < \infty,$$

which proves that  $a_n$  is of bounded variation.

*Proof of Theorem A.* If  $\{a_n\}$  is a null-sequence of bounded variation, then  $t_n = (Ta)_n$  is quasi-convex according to Theorem C. Thus, by Theorem B, (2) is the Fourier series of an  $L^1$ -function if and only if

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|t_n|}{n} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{n+1} = t_{n+1} - t_n + \frac{t_n}{n+1},$$

we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|t_n|}{n+1} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta t_n|,$$

which implies that (5) holds if and only if (3) holds, whenever  $\{a_n\}$  is a null-sequence of bounded variation. Thus our proof is complete.

3. It has been stated without proof by Szidon [6] that if  $\{a_n \log n\}$  is a real sequence of bounded variation, i. e.

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta(a_n \log n)| < \infty,$$

then

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx$$

is the Fourier series of an  $L^1$ -function. A proof of this fact seems to have been first published by T. Kano [5; Theorem C], and an independent one by G. Goes [3; Theorem 5.1]. Their proofs are of a different character.

On the other hand, it is elementary to prove that if

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta a_n| \log n < \infty \text{ and } a_n \rightarrow 0,$$

then both of (1) and (7) converge in the metric of  $L^1$ , and hence they are Fourier series (cf. [1; p. 26]). Note that, in the case of sine series (1), (6) ceases to be a sufficient condition for (1) being a Fourier series, as is easily seen from the example  $a_n = 1/\log(n+1)$ . That condition (6) is weaker than condition (8) has been proved by G. Goes [3; Theorem 4.3] in the following form.

**Theorem D.** *Condition (8) holds if and only if both of (3) and (6) hold.*

Goes applied a theory of  $BK$ -space to prove this theorem, however, we shall give an entirely simple and elementary proof of this theorem.

Since

$$\Delta(a_n \log n) = \Delta a_n \cdot \log n - a_{n+1} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right),$$

we have inequalities

$$(9) \quad |\Delta a_n| \log n \leq |\Delta(a_n \log n)| + \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{n},$$

$$(10) \quad |\Delta(a_n \log n)| \leq |\Delta a_n| \log n + \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{n}.$$

Therefore, if  $\{a_n \log n\}$  is of bounded variation and in addition (3) holds, then (8) follows from (9). Conversely, if (8) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \Delta a_k \right| \right\} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k| \right\} \\ &= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \left\{ |\Delta a_N| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \right\} \ll \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} |\Delta a_N| \log N < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

i. e. (3) holds. Thus we conclude from (10) that  $\{a_n \log n\}$  is of bounded variation. This completes our proof of Theorem D.

**Acknowledgement :** The author wishes to thank Professor G. Goes for some helpful advice made during the preparation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.P. BOAS, JR.: Integrability theorems for trigonometric transforms, Springer, 1967.
- [2] G. and S. GOES: Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients. I, Math. Z. **118** (1970), 93–102.
- [3] G. GOES: Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients. II, J. Math. Analys. and Appl. **39** (1972), 476–494.
- [4] G.H. HARDY: Note on some points in the integral calculus, LXVI, The arithmetic mean of a Fourier constant, Messenger of Math. **58** (1928), 50–52.
- [5] T. KANO: Coefficients of some trigonometric series, J. Fac. Sci. Shinshu Univ. **3** (1968), 153–162.
- [6] S. SZIDON: Reihentheoretische Sätze und ihre Anwendungen in der Theorie der Fourierreihen, Math. Z. **10** (1921), 121–127.
- [7] S.A. TELJAKOVSKIĬ: Some estimates for trigonometric series with 'quasi-convex coefficients (in Russian), Mat. Sbornik **63** (105) (1964) 426–444. = A. M. S. Translations (2) **86** (1970), 109–131.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received October 21, 1976)