### Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 19, Issue 1

1976

Article 11

DECEMBER 1976

# Note on the maximal quotient ring of a Galois subring

Yoshimi Kitamura\*

\*Tokyo Gakugei University

Copyright ©1976 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou

## NOTE ON THE MAXIMAL QUOTIENT RING OF A GALOIS SUBRING

#### YOSHIMI KITAMURA

Let A be a ring with identity, G a finite group of automorphisms of A, and A'' the subring of A consisting of all elements of A left fixed by all elements of G. When A has a classical left quotient ring  $Q_{cl}(A)$ and the extension of G to  $Q_{cl}(A)$  is identified with G,  $A^G$  has  $Q_{cl}(A)^G$ as its classical left quotient ring under suitable hypotheses (cf. [2], [3], In stead of classical left quotient rings, we shall [4], [8] and [9]). consider here maximal left quotient rings in the sense of Utumi-Lambek. As was shown by Utumi [10], a ring A always has its maximal left quotient ring  $Q_{max}(A)$  determined uniquely up to isomorphism over A and every ring automorphism of A can be extended uniquely to that of  $Q_{\max}(A)$ . We shall now identify the unique extension of G to  $Q_{\max}(A)$  with G. As was noted in [2], in general it is not true that  $Q_{\max}(A)^c = Q_{\max}(A^c)$ . The purpose of this note is to prove the last equality under the hypothesis that A is a G-Galois extension of  $A^{c}$ , namely, there exist  $x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; y_{1}$ .....,  $y_n \in A$  such that  $\sum_i x_i \sigma(y_i) = \delta_{1,\sigma}$  for all  $\sigma \in G$  (cf. [7]).

Throughout the present note, it is always assumed that every ring has an identity, every subring of a ring contains the same identity and that every module as well as every ring homomorphism is unital. Furthermore, A will represent a ring, and G a finite group of automorphisms of A, which will be identified with the unique extension of G to the maximal left quotient ring  $Q_{\max}(A)$  of A.

1. Lemmas. We shall recall here several terminologies which will be used in the sequel. Let  ${}_RM \subset {}_RN$  be left R-modules. If M has nonzero intersection with every nonzero R-submodule of N, then M is an essential submodule of N (or N is an essential extension of M). If, for each x,  $0 \neq y \in N$  there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $ax \in M$  and  $ay \neq 0$ , then N is a rational extension of M (or M is a dense submodule of N). If a ring extension S of R is a rational extension of R as a left R-module, then R is called a left quotient ring of R. For the notion and information about maximal left quotient rings see [10] or [6, § 4.3].

The next lemma is well known. However, for the sake of completeness, we shall give here the proof.

56 Y, KITAMURA

**Lemma 1.** Let  $_RM$  and  $_RN$  be left R-modules, and let  $_R\hat{N}$  be the injective hull of  $_RN$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) Hom<sub>R</sub> $(M, \hat{N}) = 0$ .
- 2) For each  $x \in M$ ,  $0 \neq y \in N$ , there exists  $a \in R$  such that ax = 0 and  $ay \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* 1)  $\Longrightarrow$  2): Let  $x \in M$ ,  $0 \neq y \in N$ . We may assume  $x \neq 0$ . Let I be the left annihilator of x in R. Then the right multiplication map of x from R to Rx induces an R-isomorphism of R/I to Rx. If Iy = 0, then the right multiplication map of y induces a nonzero R-homomorphism of R/I to N, and so,  $R\hat{N}$  being injective,  $Hom_R(M, \hat{N}) \neq 0$ , contradicting 1).

2)  $\Longrightarrow$  1): If there exists an R-homomorphism f of M to  $\hat{N}$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for some  $x \in M$ , then, N being essential in  $\hat{N}$ , there exists  $a \in R$  with  $0 \neq af(x) \in N$ , and so we have  $a' \in R$  such that a'(ax) = 0 and  $a'(af(x)) \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.** Let S/R be a ring extension,  $\hat{S}$  the injective hull of  ${}_{S}S$ , and  $\hat{R}$  that of  ${}_{R}R$ . Let  $\alpha$ :  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(S, \hat{R}) \to \hat{S}$  be an S-isomorphism. Then, for an arbitrary left S-module  ${}_{S}X$ , the map

$$\alpha'(X): \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \hat{R}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(X, \hat{S})$$

defined by

$$[\alpha'(X)(g)](x) = \alpha(g \cdot \rho_x)$$
  $(g \in \text{Hom}_R(X, \hat{R}), x \in X)$  is bijective, where  $\rho_x : S \to X$  is defined by  $(\rho_x)(s) = sx(s \in S)$ .

*Proof*. To be easily seen,  $\alpha'(X)$  is the composite of the following isomorphisms:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(X, \hat{R}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(S \otimes_{S} X, \hat{R}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(X, \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(S, \hat{R})) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{S}(X, \hat{S}).$$

Following F. Kasch [5], a ring extension S/R is called a *Frobenius* extension if  $_RS$  is finitely generated projective and  $_SS_R \cong _S Hom(_RS, _RR)_R$ .

Let  $\Delta = \Delta(A; G)$  be the trivial crossed product of A with G, that is,  $\Delta = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} Au_{\sigma}$ ;  $\{u_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in G}$  is a free generator for  $\Delta$  over A,  $au_{\sigma} \cdot bu_{\tau} = a\sigma(b)u_{\sigma}$ ;  $(a, b \in A; \sigma, \tau \in G)$ . Then the map

induces a left 1-, right A-bimodule isomorphism

$$\Phi: \varDelta \to \operatorname{Hom}({}_{A}\varDelta, {}_{A}A), \quad (\Phi(d))(x) = h(xd) \quad (d, x \in \varDelta)$$

whose inverse is given by

NOTE ON THE MAXIMAL QUOTIENT RING OF A GALOIS SUBRING

$$\Phi^{-1}(f) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma (f(u_{\sigma^{-1}})) u_{\sigma} \quad (f \in \operatorname{Hom}(AJ, AA)).$$

Therefore, J/A is a Frobenius extension.

Lemma 3. Let  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{J}$  be the injective hulls of  ${}_{A}A$  and  ${}_{3}J$ , respectively. Then there exists a left J-module isomorphism  $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(J, \hat{A}) \cong \hat{J}$ .

*Proof.* At first, we shall show that  $\Delta \bigotimes_A \widehat{A}$  is an essential extension of  $\Delta(\cong J \bigotimes_A A)$  as left J-modules. To see this, let  $x = \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma \bigotimes_\sigma (\{x_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G} \subset \widehat{A})$  be an arbitrary nonzero element of  $\Delta \bigotimes_A \widehat{A}$ . We have then  $x_\sigma \neq 0$  for some  $\sigma$ . However,  $A\widehat{A}$  is an essential extension of AA, and so there exists some  $a_\sigma \in A$  such that  $0 \neq \sigma^{-1}(a_\sigma)x_\sigma \in A$ . Since

$$a_{\sigma}x = \sum_{\tau \in G} u_{\tau} \cdot \tau^{-1}(a_{\sigma}) \otimes x_{\tau} = u_{\sigma} \otimes \sigma^{-1}(a_{\sigma}) x_{\sigma} + y$$

Now, we shall denote by t the trace map

$$t: A \longrightarrow A^{G}, \ t(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(x) \qquad (x \in A),$$

and say that t is *left nondegenerate* if  $t(Aa) \neq 0$  for all nonzero  $a \in A$ , or equivalently, if  $t(I) \neq 0$  for all nonzero left ideals I of A. The *right nondegeneracy* of t is defined symmetrically.

Lemma 4. Assume that the trace map t is left nondegenerate.

- 1) If I is a dense left ideal of A, then t(I) and  $I \cap A^{r}$  are both dense left ideals of  $A^{r}$ .
- 2)  $Q_{\text{max}}(A)^G$  is a left quotient ring of  $A^G$ . Furthermore, assume that for every dense left ideal D of  $A^G$  the left ideal AD of A is dense. Then
  - 3)  $Q_{\max}(A)^{\alpha}$  is the maximal left quotient ring of  $A^{\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* 1): Let I be a dense left ideal of A. Let x,  $0 \neq y$  be elements of A''. Then, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $ax \in I$  and  $ay \neq 0$ .

58 Y. KITAMURA

But, t being left nondegenerate, there exists  $a' \in A$  such that  $0 \neq t(a'ay)$   $= t(a'a)y \in A^{\sigma}$ . It follows therefore that t(I) is a dense left ideal of  $A^{\sigma}$ . Noting that the intersection of a finite number of dense left ideals is a dense left ideal and  $\sigma(I)$  is dense in A for each  $\sigma \in G$ , we see that  $I_0 = \bigcap_{\sigma \equiv \sigma} \sigma(I)$  is dense in A, and so  $t(I_0)$  is dense by the above. Therefore  $I \cap A^{\sigma}$  is dense by  $t(I_0) \subset I_0 \subset I$ .

- 2): Let x,  $0 \neq y$  be elements of  $Q_{\max}(A)^n$ . Then there exists  $a \in A$  such that ax,  $ay \in A$  with  $ay \neq 0$ . Then, in the same way as in 1), we can find an element  $a' \in A$  such that  $t(a'a)x \in A^n$  and  $t(a'a)y \neq 0$ , which yields 2).
- 3): In this proof, we shall use freely [6, Corollary to Prop. 8, p. 99] and write left module homomorphisms on the right side. Let  $f: D \longrightarrow A^c$  be an arbitrary left  $A^c$ -module homomorphism of a dense left ideal D of  $A^c$  to  $A^c$ . Then the map

$$\bar{f}\colon AD\longrightarrow A$$

defined by

$$(\sum_k a_k d_k) \overline{f} = \sum_k a_k \cdot (d_k) f \quad (a_k \in A, d_k \in D)$$

is well-defined. Indeed, let assume  $\sum_k a_k d_k = 0$  ( $a_k \in A$ ,  $d_k \in D$ ). Since  $t(a\sum_k a_k \cdot (d_k)f) = \sum_k t(aa_k)$  ( $d_k$ )  $f = (\sum_k t(aa_k)d_k)$  ( $f = (t(a\sum_k a_k d_k))$ ) f for all  $a \in A$ , the left nondegeneracy of f yields f is dense in f by the assumption, and so there exists f is dense in f by the assumption, and so there exists f is uch that f is dense in f in all f is dense in f in all f in f

**Lemma 5.** If A is a G-Galois extension of  $A^{i}$ , then AD is a dense left ideal of A whenever D is a dense left ideal of  $A^{i}$ .

*Proof.* Let us set  $B = A^{\sigma}$ , and  $C = \text{End}(A_B)$ . There exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ;  $y_1, \dots y_n \in A$  such that  $\sum_i x_i \sigma(y_i) = \delta_{\sigma,1}$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ . Then the map

$$i: J = J(A: G) \longrightarrow C$$

defined by

$$j(\sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma}u_{\sigma})(x) = \sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma}\sigma(x) \quad (x \in A)$$

is a ring isomorphism whose inverse is given by

$$j^{-1}(c) = \sum_{\sigma} (\sum_{i} c(x_i) \sigma(y_i)) u_{\sigma} \qquad (c \in C).$$

Moreover, if  $i_1: A \to A$  is the natural injection and  $i_2: A \to C$  is the left multiplication map then  $ji_1 = i_2$ . Therefore, we may and shall identify

C with  $\exists$  via j. Since  $x = \sum_i t(xx_i)y_i = \sum_i x_i t(y_ix)$  for all  $x \in A$ , t is left and right nondegenerate. Let D be a dense left ideal of B. We shall show that  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(A/AD, \hat{A}) = 0$ , which will complete the proof by Lemma 1. Using Lemmas 2 and 3, it is sufficient to show  $\operatorname{Hom}_C(A/AD, \hat{C}) = 0$ . Let  $x \in A$  and  $0 \neq c \in C$ . We have then  $c(x') \neq 0$  for some  $x' \in A$ . Since t is left nondegenerate, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $t(ac(x')) \neq 0$ . Further, D being dense in B, there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $bt(ac(x')) \neq 0$  and  $bt(ax) \in D \subset AD$ . Then  $c' = i_2(b) \cdot t \cdot i_2(a)$  is an element of C such that  $c' \cdot x \in AD$  and  $c' \cdot c \neq 0$ , and so  $\operatorname{Hom}_C(A/AD, \hat{C}) = 0$  by Lemma 1.

#### 2. Main theorem. We are now ready for proving our main theorem.

**Theorem.** Let A be a G-Galois extension of  $A^a$ . Then  $Q_{max}(A)^a = Q_{max}(A^a)$ , and moreover  $Q_{max}(A) = A$  if and only if  $Q_{max}(A^a) = A^a$ .

Proof. Put  $Q = Q_{\max}(A)$ . There exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ;  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in A$  such that  $\sum_i x_i \sigma(y_i) = \delta_{\sigma,1}$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ . In the proof of Lemma 5 we have seen that the trace map t is nondegenerate. Therefore by Lemmas 4 and 5 we have  $Q^{\sigma} = Q_{\max}(A^{\sigma})$ . It is easy to see that  $x = \sum_i x_i t(y_i x) = \sum_i t(xx_i)y_i$  for all  $x \in Q$ , where t is the trace map of Q to  $Q^{\sigma}$ . It follows then that  $Q = A \cdot Q^{\sigma} = Q^{\sigma} \cdot A = A \cdot Q_{\max}(A^{\sigma}) = Q_{\max}(A^{\sigma}) \cdot A$ , and so Q = A if and only if  $Q_{\max}(A^{\sigma}) = A^{\sigma}$ .

Obviously the maximal left quotient ring of a ring has no proper left quotient rings (see [6, Corollary to Prop. 2, p. 95]). Hence the following is an easy combination of our theorem and Lemma 4.

**Proposition.** If  $Q = Q_{max}(A)$  is a G-Galois extension of  $Q^{G}$  such that the trace map  $t: A \to A^{G}$  is left nondegenerate, then  $Q^{G}$  is the maximal left quotient ring of  $A^{G}$ .

Remark 1. If A is a semiprime ring without |G|-torsion, then the trace map t is left and right nondegenerate. If in addition the left singular ideal of A is zero, then  $Q_{\max}(A)^g = Q_{\max}(A^g)$ . In fact,  $I = \{a \in A \mid t(Aa) = 0\}$  is clearly a G-invariant left ideal of A such that t(I) = 0. Thus I is nilpotent by [1, Proposition 2. 3]. However, A is semiprime, and so I = 0. Hence, t is left nondegenerate. Similarly, t is right nondegenerate. Since the left singular ideal of A is zero,  $Q = Q_{\max}(A)$  is a regular, left self-injective ring. Hence, Q is injective as a left A-module. Moreover, the left quotient ring Q of A has no |G|-torsion. Thus we can apply the above argument to see that the trace

60

map  $t: Q \to Q^{q}$  is left and right nondegenerate. Now, let D, f and  $\tilde{f}$  be same as in the proof of Lemma 4 3). The injectivity of  ${}_{A}Q$  implies the existence of  $q \in Q$  such that  $(x) \tilde{f} = xq$  for all  $x \in AD$ , and so the proof enables us to see that  $d(q - \sigma(q)) = 0$  for all  $d \in D$ ,  $\sigma \in G$ . However,  $Q^{q} \cdot D$  is a dense left ideal of  $Q^{q}$  by Lemma 4 2). Hence, the right nondegeneracy of  $t: Q \to Q^{q}$  implies that the right annihilator of  $Q^{q} \cdot D$  in Q is zero, which yields  $q \in Q^{q}$ . It follows therefore  $Q^{q} = Q_{\max}(A^{q})$ .

Remark 2. If A is commutative and the trace map t is nondegenerate then  $Q_{\max}(A)^a = Q_{\max}(A^a)$ . In fact, the nondegeneracy of t implies that if J is an ideal of  $A^a$  whose annihilator in  $A^a$  is zero then the annihilator of J in A is zero. However, in a commutative ring, a dense ideal is nothing but an ideal whose annihilator is zero. Now, the assertion is a consequence of Lemma 4.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. M. Bergman and I. M. Isaacs: Rings with fixed point free group actions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 27 (1973), 69-87.
- [2] C. FAITH: Galois extensions of commutative rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 18 (1976), 113—116.
- [3] M. COHEN: Semiprime Goldie centralizers, Israel J. Math. 20 (1975), 37—45; Addendum 24 (1976), 89—93.
- [4] V. K. HARCHENKO: Galois extensions and quotient rings, Algebra i Logika 13 (1974), 460-484 (in Russian).
- [5] F. Kasch: Projective Frobenius Erweiterungen, Sitzungsber. Heiderberger Akad. Wiss. 1960/61, 89—109.
- [6] J. LAMBEK: Lectures on Rings and Mcdules, Blaisdell, 1966.
- [7] Y. MIYASHITA: Finite outer Galois theory of non-commutative rings, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 19 (1966), 114—134.
- [8] Y. Miyashita: Locally finite outer Galois theory, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 20 (1967), 1-26.
- [9] H. TOMINAGA: Note on Galois subrings of prime Goldie rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 16 (1973), 115—116.
- [10] Y. UTUMI: On quotient rings, Osaka Math. J. 8 (1956), 1-18.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY

(Received November 12, 1976)