

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 19, Issue 1

1976

Article 12

DECEMBER 1976

Note on an ideal of a positively filtered ring over a commutative ring

Yôichi Miyashita*

*University of Tsukuba

Copyright ©1976 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

NOTE ON AN IDEAL OF A POSITIVELY FILTERED RING OVER A COMMUTATIVE RING

YÔICHI MIYASHITA

Let K be a commutative ring, and $K[X]$ the polynomial ring over K . Then it is known that if I is a proper ideal of $K[X]$ such that $K[X]/I$ is finitely generated and projective as a K -module, then I is generated by a *quasi-monic* polynomial (and conversely) ([1; Th. 1.3]). The purpose of this note is to extend this result to some positively filtered rings.

Throughout the present note, all rings are associative, but not necessarily commutative. Every ring has 1, which is preserved by homomorphisms, inherited by subrings and acts as the identity operator on modules.

Let ${}_A M_{A'}$ be a left A -, right A' -module. If $M_{A'}$ is finitely generated, projective and generator, and $\text{End}(M_{A'}) \simeq A$ under the mapping induced by ${}_A M$, we call ${}_A M_{A'}$ an *invertible module*. It is well known that this is right-left symmetric.

Let $R \supseteq K$ be rings, and $R_0 = K \subseteq R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq \dots$ an ascending sequence of additive subgroups such that $R = \cup_i R_i$ and $R_i \cdot R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \geq 0$. Then we call $R = \cup_i R_i$ a *positively filtered ring over K* . If, further, $R = \cup_i R_i$ satisfies the following condition we call $R = \cup_i R_i$ a *(*)-positively filtered ring over K* :

- (*) Each R_n/R_{n-1} ($n \geq 1$) is an invertible module as a K -bimodule, and $(R_n/R_{n-1}) \otimes_{\kappa} (R_m/R_{m-1}) \simeq R_{n+m}/R_{n+m-1}$ canonically for all $n, m \geq 1$.

We denote this *(*)-positively filtered ring over K* by $K[R_1]$, and put $R_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. It is easy to see that the latter half of (*) can be replaced by the condition that R_n is $R_1^n = R_1 \cdots R_1$ (n times) for all $n \geq 1$, because the both sides are invertible K -bimodules.

In what follows, $R = K[R_1]$ is always a *(*)-positively filtered ring over a commutative ring K* . We shall characterize a left K -, right R -submodule I of R such that ${}_K R/I$ is finitely generated and projective. We begin with the following easy lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let M be an invertible K -bimodule, and p a maximal ideal of K . Then M/pM is a simple left K -module and a simple right K -module.*

Corollary. *Let $M_0 = \{0\} \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_n = M$ be a sequence of K -bimodules such that each M_i/M_{i-1} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is an invertible K -bi-*

module. Let p be a maximal ideal of K . Put $\bar{M} = M/pM$, and $\bar{M}_i = (M_i + pM)/pM$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$). Then $\{0\} \subseteq \bar{M}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \bar{M}_n = \bar{M}$ is a composition series of \bar{M} as a left K -module and as a right K -module.

Proof. For $i \geq 1$, there holds $\bar{M}_i/\bar{M}_{i-1} \cong M_i/(M_{i-1} + (M_i \cap pM))$ canonically. But, since ${}_K M_i$ is a direct summand of ${}_K M$, we have $M_i \cap pM = pM_i$. Hence \bar{M}_i/\bar{M}_{i-1} is isomorphic to $M_i/(M_{i-1} + pM_i)$ as a K -bimodule. Then the result follows from Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. Let p be a maximal ideal of K . Then there are u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots in R_1 such that $R_n = K + u_1K + u_1u_2K + \dots + u_1u_2 \dots u_nK + pR_n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$.

Proof. Take $u_1 \in R_1$ not contained in $K + pR_1$. Then $R_1 = K + u_1K + pR_1$, by Cor. to Lemma 1. Assume that $R_n = K + u_1K + \dots + u_1 \dots u_nK + pR_n$. Then $R_{n+1} = R_n + u_1 \dots u_nR_1 + pR_{n+1}$. Take $u_{n+1} \in R_1$ such that $u_1 \dots u_n u_{n+1} \notin R_n + pR_{n+1}$. Then, by Cor. to Lemma 1, $R_{n+1} = R_n + u_1 \dots u_{n+1}K + pR_{n+1} = K + u_1K + \dots + u_1 \dots u_{n+1}K + pR_{n+1}$, as desired.

Corollary. Let p be a maximal ideal of K , and I a right ideal of R such that $I \subseteq pR$. Then $\bar{R} = \bar{I} \oplus \bar{R}_{r-1}$, where \bar{S} is $\{x + pR \mid x \in S\}$ for any subset S of R , and $r = \text{length } \bar{R}/\bar{I}_K$.

Proof. First we claim that $R_n \cap pR = pR_n$ for any n (cf. the proof of Cor. to Lemma 1). For any $z \in R \setminus pR$, $e = \text{deg } z$ is defined by $\bar{z} = z + pR \in \bar{R}_e \setminus \bar{R}_{e-1}$. Take $y \in I \setminus pR$ such that $\text{deg } y = r$ is minimal, and then evidently $\bar{I} \cap \bar{R}_{r-1} = 0$. Assume that $\text{deg } z = e \geq r$, and let $\bar{z} \equiv \bar{u}_1 \dots \bar{u}_e c \pmod{\bar{R}_{e-1}}$, and $\bar{y} \equiv \bar{u}_1 \dots \bar{u}_r a \pmod{\bar{R}_{r-1}}$, where $c, a \in K$. Then, since $\bar{u}_1 \dots \bar{u}_r a \notin \bar{R}_{r-1}$, we have $\bar{R}_{r-1} + \bar{u}_1 \dots \bar{u}_r aK = \bar{R}_r$ (Lemma 1). Therefore $\bar{u}_1 \dots \bar{u}_r ab \equiv \bar{u}_1 \dots \bar{u}_r \pmod{\bar{R}_{r-1}}$ for some $b \in K$. Hence we may assume that $a = 1$. Then $\bar{z} - \bar{y}u_{r+1} \dots \bar{u}_e c \in \bar{R}_{e-1}$, and $\bar{y}u_{r+1} \dots \bar{u}_e c \in \bar{I}$. By induction, we have $\bar{R} = \bar{I} + \bar{R}_{r-1}$, and so $\bar{R} = \bar{I} \oplus \bar{R}_{r-1}$. Then $\bar{R}/\bar{I}_K \cong \bar{R}_{r-1}_K$, which is of finite length r , by Cor. to Lemma 1.

Now we are ready to prove the following

Theorem 3. Let I be a left K -, right R -submodule of R such that ${}_K R/I$ is finitely generated, projective, and of constant rank n . Then $R = R_{n-1} \oplus I$.

Proof. By assumption, ${}_K R/(pR + I) \cong {}_K(K/p)^n$ (n copies) for any

maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} of K . Then, by Cor. to Lemma 1 and Cor. to Lemma 2, $R = R_{n-1} + I + \mathfrak{p}R$ for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} of K . Since ${}_K R / (R_{n-1} + I)$ is finitely generated, we have $R = R_{n-1} + I$, and the canonical homomorphism $R_{n-1} \rightarrow R/I$ is an epimorphism. Since the both sides are of rank n , this epimorphism is an isomorphism (cf. [2]). Thus $R = R_{n-1} \oplus I$.

In order to treat the case ${}_K R/I$ is not of constant rank, we need the following well known

Lemma 4. *Let ${}_K P (\neq 0)$ be a finitely generated, projective K -module. Then there exist uniquely pairwise orthogonal non-zero idempotents e_i ($i = 1, \dots, r$) with $\sum e_i = 1$ and non-negative integers $n_1 > \dots > n_r$ such that $e_i P$ is a finitely generated, projective $e_i K$ -module of constant rank n_i .*

Theorem 5. *Let K be a commutative ring, and $R = K[R_1]$ a $(*)$ -positively filtered ring over K . Let I be a proper left K -, right R -submodule of R such that ${}_K R/I$ is finitely generated and projective. Then there are pairwise orthogonal non-zero idempotents e_i of K with $\sum e_i = 1$ and non-negative integers $n_1 > \dots > n_r$ such that $R = I (\oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r e_i R_{n_i-1})$.*

Proof. Put $R/I = P$ in the preceding lemma. Then $R = R_{n_i-1} + I + \mathfrak{p}R$ for all maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} of K with $e_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ (cf. the proof of Th. 3), and so $e_i R = e_i R_{n_i-1} + e_i I + e_i \mathfrak{p}R$. Therefore $e_i R = e_i R_{n_i-1} + e_i I$. We note that $\mathfrak{p}(1 - e_i) = K(1 - e_i)$, and then, as in the proof of Th. 3, we can prove that $e_i R = e_i R_{n_i-1} \oplus e_i I$. Hence $R = I \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r e_i R_{n_i-1})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. MIYASHITA: Commutative Frobenius algebras generated by a single element, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, **21** (1971), 166–176.
 [2] N. BOURBAKI: *Éléments de Mathématique, Algèbre Commutative: Chaps. 1–2*, Hermann, Paris, 1961

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA

(Received November 29, 1976)