

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 19, Issue 2

1976

Article 7

JUNE 1977

On generalized uniserial blocks

Kaoru Motose*

Yasushi Ninomiya[†]

*Shinshu University

[†]Shinshu University

Copyright ©1976 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

ON GENERALIZED UNISERIAL BLOCKS

KAORU MOTOSE and YASUSHI NINOMIYA

Throughout R will represent a (unital) Artinian algebra over a field K of characteristic $p > 0$, $J(R)$ the radical of R , and G a finite group whose order is divisible by p . In [7, Theorem 6], M. Osima stated that the group algebra KG is uniserial if and only if G is p -nilpotent and a Sylow p -subgroup of G is cyclic. In § 1, by making use of K. Morita [3] we formulate the same for RG (Theorem 1). In § 2, we consider KG for a splitting field K . If a block B of KG has a cyclic defect group D then Dade's theorem [1, Theorem 78. 1] and [8, Lemma 4. 2] enable us to see that the nilpotency index $t(B)$ of $J(B)$ is not greater than $|D|$ (cf. [4, Remark 1]). In Theorem 2, we shall prove that $t(B) = |D|$ if and only if B is a generalized uniserial ring.

1. At first we consider the case R is a simple algebra over K . As was stated in [5, Theorem 8], by making use of [7, Theorem 1] and [3, Theorem 8] (instead of [7, Theorem 6]) we have the following

Lemma 1. *Let R be a simple algebra over K .*

(1) *RG is primary decomposable if and only if G is p -nilpotent.*

(2) *RG is uniserial if and only if G is a p -nilpotent group with a cyclic Sylow p -subgroup.*

Now, we can prove our first theorem.

Theorem 1. *RG is uniserial if and only if R is semisimple and G is a p -nilpotent group with a cyclic Sylow p -subgroup.*

Proof. We assume that RG is uniserial. Since R is a homomorphic image of RG , R is uniserial. Let $R = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_s$ be a decomposition of R into primary rings, and $\bar{R}_i = R_i/J(R_i)$. Then, \bar{R}_iG being uniserial, G is a p -nilpotent group with a cyclic Sylow p -subgroup (Lemma 1 (2)). Since R_i is primary, R_i is isomorphic to the matrix ring $(S_i)_{n_i}$ with some completely primary ring S_i . Hence, we have $R_iG \cong (S_iG)_{n_i} \cong S_iG \otimes_K (K)_{n_i}$. Then by [5, Lemma 6], S_iG is uniserial. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Since S_iP is a homomorphic image of S_iG and $S_iP/J(S_iP) \cong S_i/J(S_i)$, S_iP is a completely primary uniserial ring. If $J(S_j) \neq 0$ for some j , then it is obvious that $J(S_j)$ is not contained in the augmentation ideal \mathcal{J} of S_jP . Further, since $g - 1 \in \mathcal{J} \setminus J(S_j)P$ for

any $g \neq 1$ in P , we see that $J(S_g)P$ and \mathcal{A} are incomparable. This yields a contradiction that $S_g P$ is not uniserial. Thus, R is semisimple. The converse part is also easy by Lemma 1 (2).

2. Let L be an extension field of the p -adic completion of the rationals, and R the complete local ring whose quotient field is L . Let K be the residue class field of R . Throughout the present section, we assume that L is a splitting field for G .

Lemma 2. *If B is a block of KG with a defect group D , then the following conditions are equivalent :*

(1) *D is cyclic and the decomposition matrix of B takes the form*

$$(I) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ & & \cdot & & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & & \cdot & \\ & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ & 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

(2) *D is cyclic and the Cartan matrix of B is of the form*

$$(II) \quad \begin{pmatrix} s+1 & s & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & s \\ s & s+1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & s \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & s & \cdot \\ s & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & s+1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

(3) *B is a generalized uniserial ring.*

Proof. The implication (1) \implies (2) is obvious, and (2) \implies (3) is a consequence of [2, Folgerung 4]. (3) \implies (2): Since B is a generalized uniserial ring, by [6, Theorem 17] B has only a finite number of indecomposable modules. Hence, D is cyclic. The rest of the proof is evident by [3, Remark, p. 158]. (2) \implies (1): By Dade's theorem [1, Theorem 68.1], the Cartan matrix (c_{ik}) of B is of the form

(III)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{cccc}
 & a & & b \\
 \hline
 2 & & & \\
 2 & * & & * \\
 & \cdot & & \\
 * & & \cdot & \\
 & & 2 & \\
 & & s+1 & s \quad \dots \quad s \\
 & & s & s+1 \quad \dots \quad s \\
 & & \cdot & \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \\
 * & & \cdot & \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \\
 & & \cdot & \cdot \quad \cdot \quad s \\
 & & s & \cdot \quad \dots \quad s+1
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

where the elements in the *-parts are 0 or 1. By (2), we have $s = 1$ or $a = 0$. First we consider the case $s = 1$. Let $\{U_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq a + b\}$ be a complete set of representatives of isomorphic classes of principal indecomposable B -modules, \tilde{U}_i the principal indecomposable RG -module such that $K \otimes \tilde{U}_i \cong U_i$, and ϕ_i the character afforded by \tilde{U}_i . Let $\{\chi_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq a + b + 1\}$ be a complete set of irreducible complex characters of B . Since $s = 1$, each ϕ_i is the sum of distinct two χ_j 's. When $a + b \leq 2$, it is trivial that the decomposition matrix of B takes the form (I). Hence, we suppose $a + b > 2$ and $\phi_1 = \chi_1 + \chi_2$. Since $(\phi_1, \phi_k) = c_{1k} = 1$ for $k \neq 1$, ϕ_k contains χ_1 or χ_2 . We may assume here that ϕ_2 contains χ_1 . If one of ϕ_i 's ($i \geq 3$), say ϕ_3 , does not contain χ_1 , then ϕ_3 contains χ_2 . Since $(\phi_2, \phi_3) = c_{23} = 1$, ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 contain a character different from χ_1 or χ_2 in common. This yields a contradiction that $\{\chi_j\}$ is not a tree. We have therefore seen that each ϕ_i ($1 \leq i \leq a + b$) contains χ_1 . Thus, the decomposition matrix of B takes the form (I). Next, we consider the case $s \neq 1$. Then $a = 0$ and the decomposition matrix of B takes the form (I) by [1, Theorem 68.1].

By Dade's theorem [1, Theorem 68.1] and [8, Lemma 4.2], it is easy to see that if a defect group D of B is cyclic then $t(B) \leq |D|$. Hence, if a Sylow p -subgroup P of G is cyclic then the nilpotency index $t(G)$ of $J(KG)$ is not greater than $|P|$. Now, our attention will be directed towards the case $t(B) = |D|$ and the case $t(G) = |P|$.

Theorem 2. *If a defect group D of a block B of KG is cyclic, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $t(B) = |D|$.
- (2) B is a generalized uniserial ring.

*Proof.*¹⁾ (1) \implies (2): By [Theorem 68.1], the Cartan matrix (c_{kl}) of B is of the form (III). Therefore we have $|D| = t(B) \leq \max_k \{ \sum_l c_{kl} \} \leq a + bs + 1 \leq (a + b)s + 1 = |D|$, whence it follows that $a + bs + 1 = (a + b)s + 1 = |D|$. Hence, we have $s = 1$ or $a = 0$. First we consider the case $s = 1$. Then $a + b = |D| - 1$. Since $a + b$ divides $p - 1$, we have $|D| = p$ and $t(B) = \sum_l c_{kl} = p$ for some k . Let U_i, \tilde{U}_i, ϕ_i ($1 \leq i \leq a + b$), χ_j ($1 \leq j \leq a + b + 1$) be as in the proof of Lemma 2. Since $s = 1$, each ϕ_i is the sum of distinct two χ_j 's. We suppose $\phi_k = \chi_1 + \chi_2$. Since $(\phi_k, \phi_l) = c_{kl} = 1$ for $l \neq k$, ϕ_l contains χ_1 or χ_2 . We suppose that m ϕ_i 's contain χ_1 and n ϕ_i 's do χ_2 . Now, let M, N be RG -submodules of \tilde{U}_k corresponding to χ_1, χ_2 respectively. Since $K \otimes \tilde{U}_k$ is uniserial by $t(B) = p$, we may assume $K \otimes M$ contains $K \otimes N$. Then all composition factors of $K \otimes N$ appear among those of $K \otimes M$. Thus, we have $n = 1$. Rearranging ϕ_i 's and χ_j 's, the decomposition matrix of B takes the form (I). Next, we consider the case $s \neq 1$. Then $a = 0$ and the Cartan matrix of B is of the form (II). Thus, by Lemma 2, B is a generalized uniserial ring. (2) \implies (1): Since B is a generalized uniserial ring, the Cartan matrix of B is of the form (II) (Lemma 2). Now, let f be an arbitrary primitive idempotent of B . Since $\sum_l c_{kl} = se + 1 = |D|$ for $1 \leq k \leq e =$ the number of non isomorphic principal indecomposable modules of B , the length of the unique composition series of Bf is $|D|$. Therefore $J(B)^{|D|-1}f \neq 0$ and $J(B)^{|D|}f = 0$. Hence $t(B) = |D|$.

Corollary. *If G has a cyclic Sylow p -subgroup of order p^a , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $t(G) = p^a$.
- (2) *There exists a generalized uniserial block of defect a .*

REFERENCES

- [1] L. DORNHOFF: Group Representation Theory, Part B, Dekker, 1972.
- [2] H. KUPISCH: Symmetrische Algebren mit endlich vielen unzerlegbaren Darstellungen II, J. Reine Angew. Math. **245** (1970), 1-14.
- [3] K. MORITA: On group rings over a modular field which possess radicals expressible as principal ideals, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku, **A4** (1951), 155-172.
- [4] K. MOTOSE: On radicals of principal blocks, to appear.

1) Recently, S. Koshitani gave a different proof by making use of the result in [H. Kupisch: Projektive Moduln endlicher Gruppen mit zyklischer p -Sylow Gruppe, J. of Algebra **10** (1968), 1-7].

- [5] T. NAGAHARA, T. ONODERA and H. TOMINAGA: On normal basis theorems and strictly Galois extensions, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **8** (1958), 133–143.
- [6] T. NAKAYAMA: On Frobeniusean algebra II, *Ann. Math.* **42** (1941), 1–21.
- [7] M. OSIMA: On primary decomposable group rings, *Proc. Phys.-Math. Sc. Japan* **24** (1942), 1–9.
- [8] D. A. R. WALLACE: Lower bounds for the radical of the group algebra of a finite p -soluble group, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Sc.* **16** (1968/69), 127–134.

SHINSHU UNIVERSITY

(Received April 30, 1977)