

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 25, Issue 1

1983

Article 10

JUNE 1983

On the Cartan invariants of p -solvable groups

Yasushi Ninomiya*

*Okayama University

Copyright ©1983 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

ON THE CARTAN INVARIANTS OF p -SOLVABLE GROUPS

YASUSHI NINOMIYA

Throughout the present paper, k will represent an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let G be a finite p -solvable group, and B a block ideal of defect d of the group algebra kG . In [3], Fong proved that each Cartan invariant of B is always bounded above by p^d . On the other hand, Koshitani [6] proved that the nilpotency index of the Jacobson radical of B is bounded above by p^d , that is, the Loewy length of each projective indecomposable B -module is not greater than p^d . In this paper, we consider the possibility that the composition length of each projective indecomposable B -module is not greater than p^d . In other words, we consider the possibility that

(*) each row-sum of the Cartan matrix of B is bounded above by p^d .

In §1, we consider the case that G has p -length 1, and prove that the Cartan matrix of every block ideal of kG has property (*) if and only if $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is abelian. Furthermore, we prove that if every irreducible B -module has k -dimension a power of p , then the Cartan matrix of B has property (*). Now, let G be an arbitrary finite group, and H a normal subgroup of G . Let B and b be block ideals of kG and kH , respectively, such that B covers b . In §2 (resp. §3), we consider the case that $[G:H] = p$ (resp. $[G:H] = q$, a prime number different from p), and the relationship between the Cartan invariants of B and those of b will be investigated. As a consequence, we show that if $[G:H]$ is a power of p and the Cartan matrix of b has property (*), then the Cartan matrix of B also has property (*). However, in general, the converse need not be true; a counterexample will be given in §4.

Throughout this paper, all modules are assumed to be finitely generated right modules. We denote by $P_G(M)$ the projective cover of a kG -module M . If H is a subgroup of G , then $M|_H$ is a kH -module obtained from M by restricting the domain of operators to kH . Given a kH -module L , we denote by L^G the induced module $L \otimes_{kH} kG$. The Jacobson radical of kG is denoted by J_G . Given a block ideal B of kG , we denote by C_B and $\delta(B)$ the Cartan matrix of B and a defect group of B , respectively.

1. Let G be a p -solvable group, and B an arbitrary block ideal of

kG . In [9], Schwarz proved that if $G/O_{p',p}(G)$ is abelian then each row-sum of C_B is equal to $|\delta(B)|$. Furthermore, the converse of this fact has been proved in [8]. First, by making use of these results, we prove the following

Theorem 1. *Let G be a p -solvable group of order $p^a m$ ($a \geq 1, p \nmid m$). If G has p -length 1, then the following are equivalent :*

- (1) $G/O_{p',p}(G)$ is abelian.
- (2) If B is an arbitrary block ideal of kG , then each row-sum of C_B is bounded above by $|\delta(B)|$.
- (3) If B is an arbitrary block ideal of kG , then each row-sum of C_B is equal to $|\delta(B)|$.
- (4) If B_0 is the principal block ideal of kG , then each row-sum of C_{B_0} is bounded above by p^a .
- (5) If B_0 is the principal block ideal of kG , then each row-sum of C_{B_0} is equal to p^a .

Proof. In view of [9, Satz 6.3] and [8, Theorem 5], it suffices to show that (4) implies (1).

Suppose that (4) holds. Since G has p -length 1, $G/O_{p'}(G)$ has a normal Sylow p -subgroup. As is well known, B_0 is isomorphic to $kG/O_{p'}(G)$. Hence, we may assume that $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ and G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup. Then kG itself is the principal block ideal of kG . Now, let $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_s\}$ be a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible kG -modules, where F_1 is a trivial kG -module. We put $f_i = \dim_k F_i$ and $u_i = \dim_k P_G(F_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). Then p does not divide f_i because G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup. As is well known, $P_G(F_i)$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $F_i \otimes_k P_G(F_1)$. Hence, by [4, Theorem 2B], we have $u_i = p^a f_i$ for all i . Now, we may assume that f_s is a maximal one among f_i 's, and that $f_i = f_{i+1} = \dots = f_s$. Suppose that $f_s > 1$, and so $t > 1$. Let c_{ij} be the (i, j) -entry of the Cartan matrix C of kG (the multiplicity of F_j as a composition factor of $P_G(F_i)$). Then, by our assumption, there holds that

$$p^a f_t = u_t = \sum_{i=1}^s c_{it} f_i \leq (\sum_{i=1}^s c_{it}) f_t \leq p^a f_t \quad (t \leq l \leq s).$$

This implies that if $c_{it} \neq 0$ then $f_i = f_t$. Hence we have $c_{ij} = 0$ provided $t \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq t-1$. However, this is impossible, because C is indecomposable. Hence $f_s = 1$. This implies that every irreducible kG -module has k -dimension 1, and hence $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is abelian, proving (1).

Next, we prove the following

Proposition 2. *Let G be a p -solvable group, and B a block ideal of kG . If every irreducible B -module has k -dimension a power of p , then each row-sum of C_B is bounded above by $|\delta(B)|$.*

Proof. Let $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_s\}$ be a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible B -modules. Then, by assumption, $\dim_k P_G(F_i) = p^a$ for all i , where p^a is the order of a Sylow p -subgroup of G ([4, Theorem 2B]). Now, we put $\dim_k F_i = p^{e_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). We may assume that e_1 is minimal among e_i 's. Let c_{ij} be the (i, j) -entry of C_B . Then we have

$$p^a = \dim_k P_G(F_i) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_{ij} \dim_k F_j \geq (\sum_{j=1}^s c_{ij}) p^{e_1}.$$

Since $|\delta(B)| = p^{a-e_1}$, the above implies that

$$|\delta(B)| = p^a / p^{e_1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^s c_{ij} \quad \text{for all } i,$$

proving the assertion.

2. Let H be a normal subgroup of a finite group G , and b a block ideal of kH . We denote by $T_C(b)$ the inertial subgroup of b :

$$T_C(b) = \{g \in G \mid g^{-1}fg = f\},$$

where f is a central primitive idempotent of kH such that $b = fkH$. Given an irreducible b -module L , we denote by $T_C(L)$ the inertial subgroup of L :

$$T_C(L) = \{g \in G \mid L \otimes_{kH} g \cong L \text{ as } kH\text{-modules}\}.$$

One may remark that $T_C(L)$ is contained in $T_C(b)$. Now, let $\{g_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ be a right transversal of $T_C(b)$ in G . Then $e = \sum_{i=1}^t g_i^{-1}fg_i$ is a central idempotent of kG . If $e = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_m$ is the decomposition of e into (orthogonal) central primitive idempotents of kG , then we say that each block ideal $e_i kG$ covers b .

Throughout the subsequent study in this section, we suppose that $[G:H] = p$. Our objective is to find some relationship between Cartan invariants of b and those of a block ideal of kG which covers b . We notice here that if L is an irreducible kH -module then $T_C(L)$ is either H or G .

At first, we prove the following

Lemma 3. *Let L be an irreducible kH -module. Then there holds the following:*

(1) *If $T_C(L) = H$, then L^G is an irreducible kG -module and $P_G(L^G) \cong P_H(L)^G$.*

(2) *If $T_C(L) = G$, then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible kG -module W such that $W|_H \cong L$; and then $P_G(W) \cong P_H(L)^G$.*

Proof. (1) It is well known that L^G is an irreducible kG -module ([2, Chap. III, (2.11)]). Since $P_H(L)^G$ is a projective kG -module and

$$P_H(L)^G / (P_H(L)J_H)^G \cong (P_H(L) / P_H(L)J_H)^G \cong L^G,$$

we see that $P_G(L^G) \cong P_H(L)^G$.

(2) It is well known that there exists a unique irreducible kG -module W such that $W|_H \cong L$ ([2, Chap. III, (3.16)]) and that $P_H(L)^G$ is a projective indecomposable kG -module ([2, Chap. III, (3.13)]). Since W is isomorphic to an irreducible submodule of L^G and L^G is isomorphic to a submodule of $P_H(L)^G$, W is isomorphic to the socle of $P_H(L)^G$. This implies that $P_G(W) \cong P_H(L)^G$.

Now, let L_1, L_2, V_1 and V_2 be irreducible kH -modules such that $T_G(L_i) = H$ and $T_G(V_i) = G$ ($i = 1, 2$). We put $M_i = L_i^G$. Further, we denote by W_i an irreducible kG -module such that $W_i|_H \cong V_i$. Let σ be an element of G such that $\{1, \sigma, \dots, \sigma^{p-1}\}$ is a right transversal of H in G . Given a k -space X and a positive integer n , we denote by nX a direct sum of n copies of X . Then, in virtue of Frobenius reciprocity theorem and the preceding lemma, we can easily see the next

- Lemma 4.** (1) $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(M_1), P_G(M_2)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(L_1), P_H(L_2) \otimes_{kH} \sigma^i) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(L_1) \otimes_{kH} \sigma^i, P_H(L_2))$.
 (2) $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(M_1), P_G(W_1)) \cong p \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(L_1), P_H(V_1)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(L_1) \otimes_{kH} \sigma^i, P_H(V_1))$.
 (3) $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(W_1), P_G(M_1)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(V_1), P_H(L_1) \otimes_{kH} \sigma^i) \cong p \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(V_1), P_H(L_1))$.
 (4) $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(W_1), P_G(W_2)) \cong p \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(V_1), P_H(V_2))$.

By [1, Theorem 54.16], we see that if X and Y are irreducible kG -modules, then $\dim_k \text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(X), P_G(Y))$ is equal to the multiplicity of X as a composition factor of $P_G(Y)$. Hence, the above gives a linkage between the Cartan invariants of kG and those of kH .

The next can be proved by [2, Chap.V, (3.5)], [5, Proposition 4.2] and [7, Theorem 6.11].

Lemma 5. If b is a block ideal of kH , then b is covered by a unique block ideal B of kG , and there holds the following :

- (1) If $T_G(b) = H$ then $\delta(B) \cong \delta(b)$.
 (2) If $T_G(b) = G$ then $\delta(B) \cap H \cong \delta(b)$ and $|\delta(B)| = p|\delta(b)|$.

Now, let b be a block ideal of kH such that $T_G(b) = H$. Then $T_G(L)$

$= H$ for every irreducible b -module L . Let B be a block ideal of kG which covers b , and M an irreducible B -module. Then $M|_H$ is a completely reducible kH -module by Clifford's theorem, and there exists a composition factor L of $M|_H$ belonging to b . Since L^G is an irreducible kG -module (Lemma 3 (1)) and $\text{Hom}_{kG}(L^G, M) \cong \text{Hom}_{kH}(L, M|_H) \neq 0$, we have $L^G \cong M$. Now, let $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_s\}$ be a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible b -modules. We put $b_i = \sigma^{-(i-1)}b\sigma^{i-1}$, $L_{ji} = L_j \otimes_{kH} \sigma^{i-1}$ and $M_j = L_j^G$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$; $1 \leq j \leq s$). Then, Lemmas 4 and 5 together with the above fact imply the following result which is a special case of [2, Chap. V, (2.5)].

Proposition 6. (1) $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ is a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible B -modules, and $\{L_{1i}, L_{2i}, \dots, L_{si}\}$ is a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible b_i -modules ($1 \leq i \leq p$).

(2) B and b have the same Cartan matrix, and have a defect group in common.

Next, suppose that b is a block ideal of kH with $T_G(b) = G$. Then the inertial subgroup $T_G(L)$ of any irreducible b -module L is either H or G . Let B be a block ideal of kG which covers b . If M is an irreducible B -module, then there exists a composition factor L of a completely reducible kH -module $M|_H$ belonging to b . If $T_G(L) = H$ then, as stated just before Proposition 6, L^G is isomorphic to M . On the other hand, if $T_G(L) = G$ then $M|_H \cong L$ by Lemma 3 (2). Now, let $\{L_{11}, \dots, L_{1p}; \dots; L_{r1}, \dots, L_{rp}; V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t\}$ be a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible b -modules, where $T_G(L_{i1}) = H$, $L_{ij} = L_{i1} \otimes_{kH} \sigma^{j-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$; $1 \leq j \leq p$) and $T_G(V_l) = G$ ($1 \leq l \leq t$). Put $M_i = L_{i1}^G$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), and choose an irreducible kG -module W_l such that $W_l|_H \cong V_l$ ($1 \leq l \leq t$). Then $\{M_1, \dots, M_r; W_1, \dots, W_t\}$ is a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible B -modules. Given irreducible kG -modules X, Y (resp. irreducible kH -modules A, B), we denote by c_{XY} (resp. \tilde{c}_{AB}) the multiplicity of Y (resp. B) as a composition factor of $P_G(X)$ (resp. $P_H(A)$). Then, by Lemma 4, we have the following

Proposition 7. (1) $c_{M_i M_j} = \sum_{l=1}^p \tilde{c}_{L_{i1} L_{jl}} = \sum_{l=1}^p \tilde{c}_{L_{i2} L_{jl}} = \dots = \sum_{l=1}^p \tilde{c}_{L_{i p} L_{jl}}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq r$).

(2) $c_{W_i M_j} = \sum_{l=1}^p \tilde{c}_{V_l L_{jl}}$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$; $1 \leq j \leq r$).

(3) $c_{M_i W_j} = p \tilde{c}_{L_{i1} V_j} = p \tilde{c}_{L_{i2} V_j} = \dots = p \tilde{c}_{L_{i p} V_j}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$; $1 \leq j \leq t$).

(4) $c_{W_i W_j} = p \tilde{c}_{V_i V_j}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq t$).

We are now in a position to state the following

Theorem 8. *Let N be a normal subgroup of G such that G/N is a p -group. Let b be a block ideal of kN . If B is a block ideal of kG which covers b , then there holds the following :*

(1) *If each row-sum of C_b is bounded above by $|\delta(b)|$, then each row-sum of C_B is bounded above by $|\delta(B)|$.*

(2) *The converse of (1) is true, provided one of the following conditions holds :*

(i) $T_G(b) = H$.

(ii) $T_G(L) = G$ for every irreducible b -module L .

Proof. (1) By Propositions 6, 7 and Lemma 5 (2).

(2) If (i) holds, then the converse is true by Proposition 6. On the other hand, if (ii) holds then $C_B = [G:N]C_b$ by Proposition 7. Since $|\delta(B)| = [G:N]|\delta(b)|$ (Lemma 5 (2)), the converse of (1) is also true.

The next is a combination of Theorems 1 and 8.

Corollary 9. *Let G be a group such that $G = O_{p'p'p'}(G)$ and $O_{p'p'p'}(G) / O_{p'}(G)$ is abelian, and let B be a block ideal of kG . Then each row-sum of C_B is bounded above by $|\delta(B)|$.*

3. Throughout this section, we assume that H is a normal subgroup of G with $[G:H] = q$, a prime different from p . We notice here that if L is an irreducible kH -module and $T_G(L) \neq H$ then $T_G(L) = G$. We establish first three lemmas which correspond to Lemmas 3, 4 and 5, respectively.

Lemma 10. *Let L be an irreducible kH -module. Then there holds the following :*

(1) *If $T_G(L) = H$, then L^G is an irreducible kG -module and $P_G(L^G) \cong P_H(L)^G$.*

(2) *If $T_G(L) = G$, then there exist q non-isomorphic irreducible kG -modules W_1, W_2, \dots, W_q such that $W_i|_H \cong L$; and then $P_G(W_i)|_H \cong P_H(L)$.*

Proof. (1) It is well known that L^G is an irreducible kG -module ([2, Chap. III, (2.11)]). It is also clear that $P_H(L)^G$ is a projective kG -module. Noting that $J_G = J_H kG$, we get

$$P_H(L)^G / P_H(L)^G J_G \cong (P_H(L) / P_H(L) J_H)^G \cong L^G.$$

Hence, $P_H(L)^G \cong P_G(L^G)$.

(2) The existence of such W_i 's is well known ([10, Lemma 1]). Observing $J_G = J_H kG$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_G(W_i)|_H &\cong P_H(P_G(W_i)|_H) \cong P_H(P_G(W_i)|_H/(P_G(W_i)|_H)J_H) \\ &\cong P_H(P_G(W_i)|_H/(P_G(W_i)J_G)|_H) \cong P_H(W_i|_H) \cong P_H(L). \end{aligned}$$

Let L_1, L_2, V_1 and V_2 be irreducible kH -modules such that $T_G(L_i) = H$ and $T_G(V_i) = G$ ($i = 1, 2$). We put $M_i = L_i^G$, and choose an irreducible kG -module W_1 such that $W_1|_H \cong V_1$. Let τ be an element of G such that $\{1, \tau, \dots, \tau^{q-1}\}$ is a right transversal of H in G .

Lemma 11. (1) $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(M_1), P_G(M_2)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{q-1} \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(L_1), P_H(L_2) \otimes_{kH} \tau^i) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{q-1} \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(L_1) \otimes_{kH} \tau^i, P_H(L_2))$.
 (2) $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(M_1), P_G(W_1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(L_1), P_H(V_1))$.
 (3) $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(W_1), P_G(M_1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(V_1), P_H(L_1))$.
 (4) If W_{11}, \dots, W_{1q} (resp. W_{21}, \dots, W_{2q}) are non-isomorphic irreducible kG -modules such that $W_{1i}|_H \cong V_1$ (resp. $W_{2i}|_H \cong V_2$), and if $1 \leq i \leq q$, then $\sum_{i=1}^q \dim_k \text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(W_{1i}), P_G(W_{2i})) = \dim_k \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(V_1), P_H(V_2))$.

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) are clear by Frobenius reciprocity theorem and Lemma 10.

(4) Observing that $J_G = J_H kG$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_H(V_2)J_H^r/P_H(V_2)J_H^{r+1} &\cong (P_G(W_{2i})|_H)J_H^r/(P_G(W_{2i})|_H)J_H^{r+1} \\ &\cong (P_G(W_{2i})J_G^r/P_G(W_{2i})J_G^{r+1})|_H, \end{aligned}$$

where r is an arbitrary non-negative integer and $1 \leq i \leq q$. This shows that the multiplicity of V_1 as a composition factor of $P_H(V_2)J_H^r/P_H(V_2)J_H^{r+1}$ coincides with that of W_{1i} as a composition factor of $P_G(W_{2i})J_G^r/P_G(W_{2i})J_G^{r+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$). Since $\dim_k \text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(V_1), P_H(V_2))$ (resp. $\dim_k \text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(W_{1i}), P_G(W_{2i}))$) is equal to the multiplicity of V_1 (resp. W_{1i}) as a composition factor of $P_H(V_2)$ (resp. $P_G(W_{2i})$), the assertion (4) follows immediately.

The next is obvious by [5, Proposition 4.2].

Lemma 12. Let B and b be block ideals of kG and kH , respectively. If B covers b , then B and b have a defect group in common.

Now, we consider the case that the inertial subgroup $T_G(b)$ of a block ideal b of kH coincides with H .

Lemma 13. Let b be a block ideal of kH . If $T_G(b) = H$ then b is covered by a uniquely determined block ideal of kG .

Proof. Suppose that more than one block ideal of kG covers b , and

let B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m be all such block ideals of kG . By an argument similar to that employed in the paragraph preceding Proposition 6, we see that if M is an irreducible B -module then there exists an irreducible b -module L such that $M \cong L^G$. So, we let L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m be irreducible b -modules such that L_i^G belongs to B_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Now, if L and L' are irreducible b -modules such that L^G and L'^G belong to different block ideals of kG , then $\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_G(L^G), P_G(L'^G)) = 0$, and so $\text{Hom}_{kH}(P_H(L), P_H(L')) = 0$ by Lemma 11 (1). Thus, we see that $\tilde{c}_{L_i L_j} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, where $\tilde{c}_{L_i L_j}$ is the multiplicity of L_i as a composition factor of $P_H(L_j)$. But this is impossible, because C_b is indecomposable. Hence b is covered by a uniquely determined block ideal of kG .

Let b be a block ideal of kH such that $T_G(b) = H$, and B a block ideal of kG which covers b . Let $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_s\}$ be a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible b -modules. Now, putting $b_i = \tau^{-(i-1)} b \tau^{i-1}$, $L_{ji} = L_j \otimes_{kH} \tau^{i-1}$ and $M_j = L_j^G$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$; $1 \leq j \leq s$), by Lemmas 10–13 we get the following which is a special case of [2, Chap. V, (2.5)].

Proposition 14. (1) $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ is a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible B -modules, and $\{L_{1i}, L_{2i}, \dots, L_{si}\}$ is a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible b_i -modules ($1 \leq i \leq q$).

(2) B and b have the same Cartan matrix and have a defect group in common.

Next, suppose that b is a block ideal of kH with $T_G(b) = G$. Then, for any irreducible b -module L , $T_G(L)$ is either H or G . Let $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m\}$ be a full set of block ideals of kG covering b . We put $B = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \dots \oplus B_m$. If M is an irreducible B -module, then there exists a composition factor L of a completely reducible kH -module $M|_H$ belonging to b . If $T_G(L) = H$ then, as in the paragraph preceding Proposition 6, we see that $M \cong L^G$; and if $T_G(L) = G$ then $M|_H \cong L$ by Lemma 10 (2). Now, let $\{L_{11}, \dots, L_{1q}; \dots; L_{r1}, \dots, L_{rq}; V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t\}$ be a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible b -modules, where $T_G(L_{i1}) = H$, $L_{ij} = L_{i1} \otimes_{kH} \tau^{j-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$; $1 \leq j \leq q$) and $T_G(V_l) = G$ ($1 \leq l \leq t$). We put $M_i = L_{i1}^G$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), and we let $\{W_{11}, \dots, W_{1q}\}$ be a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible kG -modules such that $W_{ij}|_H \cong V_l$ ($1 \leq l \leq t$). Then $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r; W_{11}, \dots, W_{1q}; \dots; W_{r1}, \dots, W_{rq}\}$ is a full set of non-isomorphic irreducible B -modules. Further, according to Lemma 11, we can prove the following proposition which corresponds to Proposition 7.

- Proposition 15.** (1) $c_{M_i M_j} = \sum_{l=1}^q \tilde{c}_{L_{i1} L_{jl}} = \sum_{l=1}^q \tilde{c}_{L_{i2} L_{jl}} = \cdots = \sum_{l=1}^q \tilde{c}_{L_{iq} L_{jl}}$
 $(1 \leq i, j \leq r)$.
 (2) $c_{W_{i1} M_j} = c_{W_{i2} M_j} = \cdots = c_{W_{iq} M_j} = \tilde{c}_{V_i L_{j1}} = \tilde{c}_{V_i L_{j2}} = \cdots = \tilde{c}_{V_i L_{jq}}$ $(1 \leq i \leq t; 1 \leq j \leq r)$.
 (3) $c_{M_i W_{j1}} = c_{M_i W_{j2}} = \cdots = c_{M_i W_{jq}} = \tilde{c}_{L_{i1} V_j} = \tilde{c}_{L_{i2} V_j} = \cdots = \tilde{c}_{L_{iq} V_j}$ $(1 \leq i \leq r; 1 \leq j \leq t)$.
 (4) $\sum_{l=1}^q c_{W_{i1} W_{jl}} = \sum_{l=1}^q c_{W_{i2} W_{jl}} = \cdots = \sum_{l=1}^q c_{W_{iq} W_{jl}} = \tilde{c}_{V_i V_j}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq t)$.

We are now in a position to state the following

Theorem 16. *Let H be a normal subgroup of G with $[G:H] = q$. Let b be a block ideal of kH , and B a block ideal of kG which covers b . Then there holds the following:*

- (1) *Suppose that, for every irreducible b -module, its inertial subgroup coincides with G . If each row-sum of C_b is bounded above by $|\delta(b)|$, then that of C_B is bounded above by $|\delta(B)|$.*
 (2) *Suppose that, for every irreducible b -module, its inertial subgroup coincides with H . Then, B is the unique block ideal covering b , and the following statements are equivalent:*
 (i) *Each row-sum of C_b is bounded above by $|\delta(b)|$.*
 (ii) *Each row-sum of C_B is bounded above by $|\delta(B)|$.*

Proof. (1) By Proposition 15.

(2) In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 13, we can see that b is covered uniquely by a block ideal of kG , even if $T_G(b)$ is different from H . The rest of the assertion follows from Propositions 14 and 15.

4. In this section, we assume $p = 3$ and give a counterexample which shows that the converse of Theorem 8 (1) need not be true.

Let $U = \langle u \rangle \times \langle v \rangle$ be an elementary abelian group of order 3^2 . We look upon U as a vector space over $\text{GF}(3)$. Then $\text{SL}(2,3)$ acts naturally on U . We denote by G a semi-direct product of U by $\text{SL}(2,3)$ with respect to this action. We notice that $|\text{SL}(2,3)| = 24$ and a Sylow 2-subgroup Q of $\text{SL}(2,3)$ is a quaternion group. We let $Q = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$, and denote by $\langle s \rangle$ a Sylow 3-subgroup of $\text{SL}(2,3)$. Then we may, and shall assume that $G = \langle u, v, a, b, s \rangle$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-1}ua &= u^2v, & b^{-1}ub &= uv, & a^{-1}va &= uv, & b^{-1}vb &= uv^2, \\ s^{-1}us &= uv, & s^{-1}vs &= v, & s^{-1}as &= b, & s^{-1}bs &= ba. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we put $X = U\langle a^2 \rangle$, $Y = U\langle a \rangle$ and $H = UQ$. Now, by

making use of Propositions 7 and 15, we shall determine the Cartan matrices of kH and kG .

To begin with, we shall determine the Cartan matrix of kX . Put $\varepsilon_1 = -(1+a^2)$ and $\varepsilon_2 = -1+a^2$. Then $1 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ is a decomposition of 1 into orthogonal primitive idempotents in kX . By a brief computation, we can see that $\{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i u \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i v \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i u v \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i u^2 v \varepsilon_i\}$ is a k -basis of $\varepsilon_i kX \varepsilon_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and that $\{\varepsilon_1 u \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 v \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 u v \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1 u^2 v \varepsilon_2\}$ is a k -basis of $\varepsilon_1 kX \varepsilon_2$. Hence, we have

Lemma 17. *The Cartan matrix of kX is given by $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Next, we shall determine the Cartan matrix of kY . Put $e_1 = 1+a+a^2+a^3$, $e_2 = 1-a+a^2-a^3$, $e_3 = 1+\xi a-a^2-\xi a^3$ and $e_4 = 1-\xi a-a^2+\xi a^3$, where ξ is a primitive 4-th root of 1 in k . Then $1 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4$ is a decomposition of 1 into orthogonal primitive idempotents in kY . Put $L_i = \varepsilon_i kX / \varepsilon_i J_X$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $M_j = e_j kY / e_j J_Y$ ($1 \leq j \leq 4$). Then it is easy to see that $T_Y(L_1) = T_Y(L_2) = Y$, $M_1|_X \cong M_2|_X \cong L_1$ and $M_3|_X \cong M_4|_X \cong L_2$. By Lemma 17 and Proposition 15, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{M_1 M_1} + c_{M_1 M_2} &= c_{M_2 M_1} + c_{M_2 M_2} = 5, \\ c_{M_1 M_3} + c_{M_1 M_4} &= c_{M_2 M_3} + c_{M_2 M_4} = 4, \\ c_{M_3 M_1} + c_{M_3 M_2} &= c_{M_4 M_1} + c_{M_4 M_2} = 4, \\ c_{M_3 M_3} + c_{M_3 M_4} &= c_{M_4 M_3} + c_{M_4 M_4} = 5. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can see that $\{e_i, e_i u e_i, e_i v e_i\}$ is a k -basis of $e_i kY e_i$ ($i = 1, 3$) and $\{e_1 u e_3, e_1 v e_3\}$ is a k -basis of $e_1 kY e_3$. Thus, $c_{M_1 M_1} = c_{M_3 M_3} = 3$ and $c_{M_1 M_3} = 2$. Noting here that the Cartan matrix is symmetric, we get $c_{M_i M_i} = 3$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) and $c_{M_i M_j} = 2$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4$).

Lemma 18. *The Cartan matrix of kY is given by $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Now, we determine the Cartan matrix of kH . Put

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= -(1+a+a^2+a^3)(1+b), \\ f_2 &= -(1+a+a^2+a^3)(1-b), \\ f_3 &= -(1-a+a^2-a^3)(1+b), \\ f_4 &= -(1-a+a^2-a^3)(1-b), \\ f &= -(1-a^2). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $kH/U \cong kQ$, we see that f_1, f_2, f_3 and f_4 are orthogonal primitive idempotents of kH and f can be decomposed into two orthogonal primitive idempotents of kH , say f_5 and f_6 . Thus, $1 = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_5 + f_6$ is a decomposition of 1 into orthogonal primitive idempotents in kH . Let $N_i = f_i kH / f_i J_H$ ($1 \leq i \leq 6$). Then, it is easy to see that $T_H(M_1) = T_H(M_2) = H$, $T_H(M_3) = T_H(M_4) = Y$, $N_1|_Y \cong N_2|_Y \cong M_1$, $N_3|_Y \cong N_4|_Y \cong M_2$ and that $N_5 \cong N_6 \cong M_3^H \cong M_4^H$. Now, Proposition 15 together with Lemma 18 yields the following:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{N_1 N_1} + c_{N_1 N_2} &= c_{N_2 N_1} + c_{N_2 N_2} = 3, \\ c_{N_1 N_3} + c_{N_1 N_4} &= c_{N_2 N_3} + c_{N_2 N_4} = 2, \\ c_{N_3 N_1} + c_{N_3 N_2} &= c_{N_4 N_1} + c_{N_4 N_2} = 2, \\ c_{N_3 N_3} + c_{N_3 N_4} &= c_{N_4 N_3} + c_{N_4 N_4} = 3, \\ c_{N_5 N_1} &= c_{N_5 N_2} = c_{N_5 N_3} = c_{N_5 N_4} = 2, \\ c_{N_5 N_5} &= 5. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can see that $\{f_i, f_i u f_i\}$ is a k -basis of $f_i kH f_i$ ($i = 1, 3$) and $\{f_1 u f_3\}$ is a k -basis of $f_1 kH f_3$. Hence, $c_{N_1 N_1} = c_{N_3 N_3} = 2$ and $c_{N_1 N_3} = 1$. Now, we can find all the Cartan invariants of kH as in the next lemma.

Lemma 19. *The Cartan matrix of kH is given by*
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In conclusion, we determine the Cartan matrix of kG . It is easy to see that $T_G(N_1) = G$ and $T_G(N_2) = T_G(N_3) = T_G(N_4) = H$. Now, suppose that $T_G(N_5) = H$. Then $N_5, N_5 \otimes_{kHS}$ and $N_5 \otimes_{kHS}^2$ are non-isomorphic irreducible kH -modules. But this is impossible, because N_5 is the only one (up to isomorphism) irreducible kH -module with k -dimension 2. Hence $T_G(N_5) = G$. Thus, we see that $f_1 kG, f_2 kG$ and $f_5 kG$ are non-isomorphic projective indecomposable kG -modules (Lemma 3). Putting $F_1 = f_1 kG / f_1 J_G, F_2 = f_2 kG / f_2 J_G$ and $F_3 = f_5 kG / f_5 J_G$, we see that $F_1|_H \cong N_1, F_3|_H \cong N_5$ and $F_2 \cong N_2^G \cong N_3^G \cong N_4^G$. Hence, by Lemma 19 and Proposition 7, we can get the Cartan matrix of kG .

Theorem 20. *The Cartan matrix of kG is given by*
$$\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 4 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 15 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously, each row-sum of the Cartan matrix of kG is not greater than 27, the order of a Sylow 3-subgroup of G . However, the 5-th row-sum of the Cartan matrix of kH exceeds 9, the order of a Sylow 3-subgroup of H .

REFERENCES

- [1] C.W. CURTIS and I. REINER: Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras, Interscience, New York-London-Sydney, 1962.
- [2] W. FEIT: Representations of Finite Groups, Part I, Lecture Notes, Yale University, 1969.
- [3] P. FONG: On the characters of p -solvable groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 98 (1961), 263—284.
- [4] P. FONG: Solvable groups and modular representation theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1962), 484—494.
- [5] R. KNÖRR: Blocks, vertices and normal subgroups, Math. Z. 148 (1976), 53—60.
- [6] S. KOSHITANI: On the Jacobson radical of a block ideal in a finite p -solvable group for $p \geq 5$, J. Algebra 80 (1983), 134—144.
- [7] G.O. MICHLER: Blocks and centers of group algebras, Lectures on Rings and Modules: Lecture Notes in Math. 246, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972, 429—563.
- [8] Y. NINOMIYA: On p -nilpotent groups with extremal p -blocks, Hokkaido Math. J. 11 (1982), 229—233.
- [9] W. SCHWARZ: Die Struktur modularer Gruppenringe endlicher Gruppen der p -Länge 1, J. Algebra 60 (1979), 51—75.
- [10] B. SRINIVASAN: On the indecomposable representations of a certain class of groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. 10 (1960), 497—513.

SHINSHU UNIVERSITY AND OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received December 2, 1982)