

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 25, Issue 2

1983

Article 2

DECEMBER 1983

On connectedness of p -Galois extensions of rings

Miguel Ferrero*

Kazuo Kishimoto[†]

*Universidade Federal

[†]Shinshu University

Copyright ©1983 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

ON CONNECTEDNESS OF p -GALOIS EXTENSIONS OF RINGS

MIGUEL FERRERO and KAZUO KISHIMOTO

Let A be an algebra over a prime field $\text{GF}(p)$ of prime characteristic p with an identity 1 and G a finite p -group. A ring is said to be *connected* if the center contains no nontrivial idempotents.

In this paper, we study the connectedness of G -Galois extensions over a connected ring A . The study contains the extensions of several results cited in [7], [8] and [11] to the non-commutative case.

Our study starts with the preliminary section §1, which is devoted to notations and some general remarks about a skew polynomial ring of derivation type and abelian extensions of rings which have been noted in [5] and [6].

In §2, G is assumed to be cyclic and we will give necessary and sufficient conditions for A to have a connected G -Galois extension B for the case $|G|=p$. Further, if B is a G -Galois extension for $G=(\sigma)$ with $|\sigma|=p^e$, we can prove that B is connected if and only if $T=B^{\sigma^p}$, the fixed subring of B under σ^p , is connected. In §§3 and 4, we shall extend the results of §2 to the case in which G is a noncyclic abelian group and to the case in which G is a non abelian group.

1. Preliminaries. Let A be a ring with an identity 1 which has derivations $\{D_i; i=1, \dots, n\}$ and a family $\mathcal{A}=\{a_{ij}; i, j=1, \dots, n\}$ of elements in A such that

- (1) $a_{ij} + a_{ji} = a_{ii} = 0$,
- (2) $[D_i, D_j] = D_i D_j - D_j D_i = I_{a_{ij}}$, an inner derivation $(a_{ji})_r - (a_{ji})_l$,
- (3) $D_i(a_{jk}) + D_k(a_{ij}) + D_j(a_{ki}) = 0$

for all $i, j, k=1, \dots, n$.

The set of all polynomials

$$\{\sum X_1^{\nu_1} X_2^{\nu_2} \cdots X_n^{\nu_n} a_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \cdots \nu_n}; a_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \cdots \nu_n} \in A\}$$

becomes an associative ring by the rules

$$aX_i = X_i a + D_i(a) \text{ for all } a \in A \text{ and } X_i X_j = X_j X_i + a_{ij}.$$

The first named author was supported by a fellowship awarded by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brazil.

This ring is denoted by $R_n = A[X_1, \dots, X_n; D_1, \dots, D_n, \mathcal{A}]$ or $R_n = A[X_1, \dots, X_n; D_1, \dots, D_n, \{a_{ij}; i, j = 1, \dots, n\}]$ and is called a skew polynomial ring of derivation type (see [5]). Moreover, by R_m ($0 \leq m \leq n$), we denote the skew polynomial ring $A[X_1, \dots, X_m; D_1, \dots, D_m, \{a_{ij}; i, j = 1, \dots, m\}]$ which is a subring of R_n , where $R_0 = A$. In particular, if $n=1$, we denote it by

$$R = A[X; D] = \{\sum X^i a_i; a_i \in A\}$$

and its multiplication is given by $aX = Xa + D(a)$ for $a \in A$.

Further, by D_m^* , we denote the derivation of R_{m-1} defined by $D_m^*(h) = hX_m - X_m h$ ($h \in R_{m-1}$), where $1 \leq m \leq n$. Clearly $D_m^*|_A = D_m$, and $D_m^*(X_k) = a_{km}$.

Remark 1.0. For a permutation π of m letters $1, \dots, m$ ($m \leq n$), we have an A -ring isomorphism

$$R_m \cong A[X_{\pi(1)}, \dots, X_{\pi(m)}; D_{\pi(1)}, \dots, D_{\pi(m)}, \{a_{n(i)\pi(j)}; i, j = 1, \dots, m\}]$$

which maps X_i to $X_{\pi(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Moreover, there holds

$$R_m \cong R_{m-1}[X_m; D_m^*] \quad (1 \leq m \leq n).$$

Definition 1.1. Let g be a monic polynomial in $R_{m-1}[X_m; D_m^*] = R_m$ where $1 \leq m \leq n$. g is called a *generator* in R_n if $gR_n = R_n g$. Moreover, a generator g in $A[X; D]$ is called *weakly irreducible* (abbreviate *w-irreducible*) if g has no proper monic factors of degree ≥ 1 which is a generator.

Remark 1.2. The notion of *w-irreducibility* of g in $A[X]$ coincides with irreducibility of g in $C(A)[X]$ where $C(A)$ is the center of A since each generator in $A[X]$ is contained in $C(A)[X]$.

Remark 1.3. Let $R_m = R_{m-1}[X_m; D_m^*]$ ($1 \leq m \leq n$). Then, there exists a generator $g = X_m - f$ ($f \in R_{m-1}$) in R_m if and only if there exists an element $Y \in R_m$ such that $R_m = R_{m-1}[Y]$ (i.e., R_m is a free R_{m-1} -module with the basis $\{1, Y, Y^2, \dots\}$ such that $hY = Yh$ for all $h \in R_{m-1}$).

For, if $g = X_m - f$ ($f \in R_{m-1}$) is a generator in $R_m = R_{m-1}[X_m; D_m^*]$ then D_m^* is the inner derivation I_f of R_{m-1} effected by f (that is, $D_m^*(h) = I_f(h) = hf - fh$ for all $h \in R_{m-1}$), which implies $R_m = R_{m-1}[g]$. Conversely, if $R_m = R_{m-1}[Y]$ and $X_m = \sum Y_j f_j$ ($f_j \in R_{m-1}$) then, for $h \in R_{m-1}$, $D_m^*(h) = hX_m - X_m h = \sum Y^j (hf_j - f_j h)$, and whence $D_m^*(h) = hf_0 - f_0 h$, which implies that $X_m - f_0$ is a generator in R_m .

Definition 1.4. Let B be a ring extension of A with the common identity 1 and G a finite group of automorphisms of B . Then B is said to be a G -Galois extension of A if $A = B^G (= \{b \in B; \tau(b) = b \text{ for all } \tau \in G\})$, A_A is a direct summand of B_A and there exist elements r_i, s_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) such that $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i \tau(s_i) = \delta_{1,\tau}$ for all $\tau \in G$ (cf. [10]). Moreover, a G -Galois extension B/A is called a p^e -cyclic extension if G is a cyclic group of order p^e .

Remark 1.5. Let $G = (\sigma_1) \times (\sigma_2) \times \cdots \times (\sigma_n)$ be an elementary abelian group of order p^n . Then, A has a G -Galois extension B if and only if there exist derivations $\{D_i; i=1, \dots, n\}$ of A , a family $\mathcal{A}$ of elements in A which satisfy conditions (1)–(3) and there exist elements $a_1, \dots, a_n$ of A such that $X_i^p - \alpha_i = X_i^p - X_i - \alpha_i$ is a generator in $R_n = A[X_1, \dots, X_n; D_1, \dots, D_n, \mathcal{A}]$ for $i=1, \dots, n$. Moreover, if this is the case, B is isomorphic to the factor ring R_n/M , $M = (X_1^p - \alpha_1, \dots, X_n^p - \alpha_n)$ and $\sigma_i(x_j) = x_j + \delta_{ij}$ where x_j is the coset of X_j and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's delta (see [4, Corollary 2.1]). Hence we may write

$$B = \sum \bigoplus (x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} \cdots x_n^{\nu_n}) A \quad (0 \leq \nu_i \leq p-1)$$

with $ax_i = x_i a + D_i(a)$ for $a \in A$, $x_i x_j = x_j x_i + a_{ij}$ and $x_i^p = \alpha_i \in A$.

Moreover, in this paper, we shall use the following conventions:

$$A_m = A[x_1, \dots, x_m; D_1, \dots, D_m, \{a_{ij}; 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}] \quad (1 \leq m \leq n)$$

which is a subring of B (as in Remark 1.5) generated by elements $x_1, \dots, x_m$ over A .

$$A'_m = A[x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n; D_1, \dots, D_{m-1}, D_{m+1}, \dots, D_n, \{a_{ij}; i, j \neq m\}].$$

In case $a_{ij} = 0$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq m$), abbreviate

$$A_m = A[x_1, \dots, x_m; D_1, \dots, D_m],$$

and further

$$R_m = A[X_1, \dots, X_m; D_1, \dots, D_m].$$

Remark 1.6. Let $R_n = A[X_1, \dots, X_n; D_1, \dots, D_n]$. Then, $X_i^p - \alpha$ ($\alpha \in A$) is a generator in R_n if and only if $\alpha \in A_0 = \bigcap_{j=1}^n A^{D_j}$ and $I_\alpha = D_i^p = D_i^p - D_i$, where $A^{D_j} = \{a \in A; D_j(a) = 0\}$ ([5, Theorem 2.1]).

Now, the rest of this section is devoted to generalize some results in [7] to the non-commutative case. These results are not only useful in our study, but also, interesting of themselves.

Definition 1.7. Let H be a group and N a normal subgroup of H . Then, we say that N is a *small subgroup* (abbreviate an s -subgroup) of H if $NH' \neq H$ for any proper subgroup H' of H .

If $H = (\sigma_1) \times (\sigma_2) \times \cdots \times (\sigma_n)$ is an abelian group with $|\sigma_i| = p^{e_i}$ (p is prime and $e_i \geq 1$), then $N = (\sigma_1^p) \times (\sigma_2^p) \times \cdots \times (\sigma_n^p)$ is an s -subgroup of H . Moreover, if H is a finite p -group then the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(H)$ of H is an s -subgroup of H .

The following Lemma and Theorem are proved in [7] when the rings considered are commutative. But the validity of them can be shown by the same way for the non-commutative case. For the convenience of readers, we will prove them here again.

Lemma 1.8. Let A be connected and let B/A be an H -Galois extension for a finite group H . If B is disconnected, then there exists a nontrivial idempotent $e \in C(B)$ such that $e\tau(e) = 0$ or $\tau(e) = e$ for every $\tau \in H$.

Proof. Let f be a nontrivial idempotent of $C(B)$. Then H -norm $N(f)$ is either 1 or 0. If $N(f) = 1$, then f is invertible and this leads to a contradiction $f = 1$. Thus $N(f) = 0$. Let e be a product $\tau_1(f)\tau_2(f)\cdots\tau_r(f)$ of maximal length such that $e \neq 0$, and such that $\tau_i(f)$'s are distinct. For an element τ of H , assume $e\tau(e) \neq 0$. Then each $\tau(\tau_i(f))$ appears among the $\tau_i(f)$'s and so $\tau(e) = e$.

Theorem 1.9. Let A be connected and let B/A be an H -Galois extension for a finite group H . If B^N is connected for an s -subgroup N of H , then B is connected.

Proof. Suppose B is disconnected. For any idempotent e as in Lemma 1.8, we set $H' = \{\tau \in H; \tau(e) = e\}$. Choose $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_s$ to be the right coset representatives in NH'/H' . Then all $\tau_i(e)$'s are distinct. Hence, for each pair $i \neq j$, we have $\tau_i^{-1}\tau_j(e) = \tau_k(e) \neq e$ for some k , and whence $e\tau_i^{-1}\tau_j(e) = 0$ (Lemma 1.8), which implies $\tau_i(e)\tau_j(e) = 0$. Therefore, $e' = \tau_1(e) + \cdots + \tau_s(e)$ is an idempotent which is fixed by N , and hence, e' is 1 or 0. If $e' = 0$, then all $\tau_i(e)$'s are zero, a contradiction. Hence $e' = 1$. It follows that $\{\tau_i(e); i = 1, \dots, s\}$ is the full H -orbit of e . Since $\phi: H/H' \rightarrow NH'/H'$ such that $\phi(\sigma H') = \tau_i H'$ for $\sigma(e) = \tau_i(e)$ is a bijection, this means that $H'N = H$. Since N is an s -subgroup of H , we have $H' = H$, which is a contradiction.

2. Connected cyclic extensions. The purpose of this section is to give

a necessary and sufficient condition for a connected ring A to have a connected p -cyclic extension and some related results.

The map $'$ defined in $R = A[X; D]$ by $g'(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n iX^{i-1}a_i$ for all $g(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n X^i a_i$, satisfies $(D^*(g(X)))' = D^*(g'(X))$ and so is a derivation in R , where $D^* = I_X$.

Let $f(X)$ be a generator in R . Then $f(X)$ is contained in the commutative ring $C(A^p)[X]$ (cf. [1, Lemma 1.6]). Hence, if $f(X)$ is separable in $C(A^p)[X]$, then $f'(x)$ is invertible in $C(A^p)[x] \cong C(A^p)[X]/(f(X))$ ([7, Theorem 1]). Under these remarks we can prove the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n (X^p)^i a_i$ be a generator in $R = A[X; D]$, and assume that $R/(f(X))$ is connected. Then*

- (i) *A is connected.*
- (ii) *If $f(X)$ is separable in $C(A^p)[X]$, then $f(X)$ is w -irreducible.*

Proof. (i) We set $B = A[x; D] = R/(f(X))$. Now let e be an idempotent of $C(A)$. Then $ea = ae$ for all $a \in A$. Hence $e \in C(B)$ if and only if $D(e) = ex - xe = 0$. $D(e) = D(e^2) = 2eD(e)$ implies $(2e - 1)D(e) = 0$. Multiplying by e , we have $eD(e) = 0$ and so $D(e) = 0$.

(ii) If $f(X)$ is separable in $C(A^p)[X]$ then $f'(x) = a_0$ is invertible in $C(A^p)$. Assume $f(X)$ is not w -irreducible. Then $f(X) = g(X)h(X)$ for some proper monic factors $g(X)$ and $h(X)$ which are generators. Hence $a_0 = f'(X) = g'(X)h(X) + g(X)h'(X)$ shows that $(g'(X)) + (h'(X)) = R$. Since $g(X)$ and $h(X)$ are central polynomials, $(g(X))(h(X)) = (h(X))(g(X))$ and hence $(f(X)) = (g(X))(h(X)) = (g(X)) \cap (h(X))$. Thus $R/(f(X)) \cong R/(g(X)) \oplus R/(h(X))$ is disconnected.

As is noted in Remark 1.5, a polynomial $X^p - a_i$ which is a generator in $R_n = A[X_1, \dots, X_n; D_1, \dots, D_n, \mathcal{A}]$ plays a key role to construct an abelian extension of A . In the following we shall give an important property of $X^p - a$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be connected. Then a generator $f(X) = X^p - a$ in $R = A[X; D]$ is either w -irreducible or a product of generators of degree 1.*

Proof. Suppose $f(X)$ is not w -irreducible. Then there exists a proper factor $g(X)$ of $f(X)$ which is a generator. Let $g(X) = X^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X^i a_i$. Then $n < p$ and $ag(X) = g(X)a$ for $a \in A$ implies $nD(a) = a_{n-1}a - aa_{n-1}$ ([1, Lemma 1.6]) and so D is inner. Hence we may assume that $R = A[X]$ and $X^p - a \in C(R) = C(A)[X]$. Hence $X^p - a$ is reducible in $C(A)[X]$

and it is a product of linear factors which are generators by [7, Lemma 2.1].

Corollary 2.3. *Let $R = A[X; D]$. If D is outer then a generator $X^p - \alpha$ is w -irreducible.*

Now, in the rest of this section, B/A will mean a p -cyclic extension (cf. Definition 1.4). Then, by Remark 1.5, B is obtained by $A[X; D]/(X^p - \alpha)$ for some derivation D of A and a generator $X^p - \alpha$ in $R = A[X; D]$. Hence, we may write

$$B = A[x; D] = A[x; D/\alpha] = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} x^i A$$

where $x = X + (X^p - \alpha)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $B = A[x; D]$ and $f = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} x^i a_i$ be an element of $V_B(A)$, the centralizer of A in B , where $1 \leq s \leq p-1$ and $a_s \neq 0$. Then*

- (i) $a_s \in C(A)$, and $sD(a)a_s = a_{s-1}a - aa_{s-1}$ for all $a \in A$.
- (ii) If a_s is invertible in A then D is inner.
- (iii) If $f \in C(B)$ then $D(a_i) = 0$ for all i .

Proof. For any $a \in A$, we have

$$0 = af - fa = x^s(aa_s - a_s a) + x^{s-1}(sD(a)a_s + aa_{s-1} - a_{s-1}a) + \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} x^i c_i$$

where $c_i \in A$, $i = 1, \dots, s-2$. This implies (i). The other assertions will be easily seen.

Now, let $A_0(D) = \{a \in A_0; I_a = D\}$ where $A_0 = A^p$. Then $A_0(D) = C(A_0)(D)$, and $X - c$ is a generator in $R = A[X; D]$ if and only if $c \in A_0(D)$ (Remark 1.3). Moreover, let $A(D^p) = \{a \in A_0; I_a = D^p\}$. Then $A_0(D^p) = C(A_0)(D^p)$, and $X^p - c$ is a generator in R if and only if $c \in A_0(D^p)$ (Remark 1.6). Further, we shall write $A_0(D)^p = \{a^p; a \in A_0(D)\}$.

Next, we shall prove the following theorem which is one of our main results.

Theorem 2.5. *Let A be connected. Then, for $B = A[x; D/\alpha]$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) B is connected.
- (2) $X^p - \alpha$ is w -irreducible in R .
- (3) $\alpha \in A_0(D^p) \setminus A_0(D)^p$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is clear from Lemma 2.1(ii), and (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) is clear from Theorem 2.2.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let $e = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} x^i a_i$ be an idempotent of $C(B)$, and assume that $1 \leq s \leq p-1$ and $a_s \neq 0$. Then e is a nontrivial idempotent in $C(B)$. Now, by Lemma 2.4, we have

- (a) $D(a_i) = 0$ for all i ,
- (b) $a_s \in C(A)^p$,
- (c) $sD(a)a_s = a_{s-1}a - aa_{s-1}$ ($a \in A$).

From (a), we see that

$$e^p = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (x^p)^i a_i^p = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (x+a)^i a_i^p = e = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} x^i a_i.$$

This implies $a_s^p = a_s$. Since X^p is separable in $C(A)[X]$ ([9, Lemma 2.1]) and $a_s^p = a_s \in C(A)$ (connected) by (b), it follows that $a_s \in \text{GF}(p)$ and is invertible. Hence D is inner by (c). Thus we may assume that $R = A[X]$ and $C(B) \cong C(A)[X]/(X^p - a)$. Since $X^p - a$ is irreducible in $C(A)[X]$ (Remark 1.2'), $C(B)$ is connected by [8, Theorem 1.6], a contradiction. Therefore, we obtain $e = a_0 \in C(A)$.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.5, we have

Corollary 2.6. *Let A be connected. Then A has a connected p -cyclic extension if and only if one of the following conditions (a) and (b) is satisfied.*

- (a) $p \leq (C(A) : C(A)^p)$, the index of the subgroup $C(A)^p$ in the additive group $(C(A), +)$.
- (b) A has an outer derivation D such that $C(A_0)(D^p) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. First, we assume that A has a connected p -cyclic extension B . Then, there exists a derivation D of A and an element $a \in A$ such that $X^p - a$ is a w -irreducible generator in $R = A[X; D]$ (Remark 1.5 and Theorem 2.5). In this case, there holds $a \in A_0(D^p) = C(A_0)(D^p)$ and $a \notin A_0(D)^p$. If it is possible to choose D as inner, we may assume $D = 0$ and so $A_0(D^p) = C(A)$ and $a \in C(A) \setminus C(A)^p$. Since $\nu a + C(A)^p$, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, are distinct cosets in $C(A)/C(A)^p$, $(C(A) : C(A)^p) \geq p$. Conversely, if (a) is satisfied, then $C(A)$ has a connected commutative p -cyclic extension C ([8, Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.6]) and $B = A \otimes_{C(A)} C$ is a requested one. If (b) is satisfied, $A_0(D) = \emptyset$, and so $X^p - a$ is w -irreducible for any $a \in A_0(D^p)$ (Theorem 2.5).

A p -cyclic extension B/A is said to be *inner* (resp. *outer*) if its Galois group can be chosen as an inner automorphism group (resp. an outer automorphism group). In [5, Corollary 1.3], it is proved that if $B = A[x; D]$ is a p -cyclic extension of A , then B/A is inner if and only if there exists

an element $c \in U = U(C(A))$, the group of invertible elements in $C(A)$, such that $D(c) = c$. Further, if $C(A)$ is a field and B/A is inner, then each A -automorphism of B is inner and $V_B(A) = C(A) \cong C(B)$ ([6, Theorem 1]). On the other hand, if $C(A)$ is a field and B/A is outer, then each A -automorphism of B is outer and $V_B(A) = C(B)$ ([6, Theorem 2]). Combining this with Corollary 2.6, we have the following

Corollary 2.7. (I) *Let A be connected. Then A has a connected inner p -cyclic extension if and only if there exists a derivation D of A such that*

$$(i) \quad A_0(D^p) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$(ii) \quad D(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset, \text{ where } U = U(C(A)).$$

(II) *Let $C(A)$ be a field and $B = A[x; D]$ a connected p -cyclic extension. Then $C(B)$ is a field and further,*

$$(i) \quad B/A \text{ is outer if and only if } D(C(A)) = 0,$$

$$(ii) \quad B/A \text{ is inner if and only if } D(C(A)) \neq 0.$$

Proof. (I) Assume that A has a derivation D which satisfies (i) and (ii). Then D is outer by (ii). Hence, by Corollary 2.6, A has a connected p -cyclic extension $B = A[x; D]$. Further, by (ii), there exists an element $c \in U$ such that $D(c) \in U$. Then $c(xD(c)^{-1}c)c^{-1} = (cxc^{-1})$, $D(c)^{-1}c = xD(c)^{-1}c + 1$. Put $y = xD(c)^{-1}c$. Then $B = A[y; D(c)^{-1}cD]$ shows that B is an inner p -cyclic extension with a Galois group $\langle \bar{c} \rangle$, a cyclic group generated by an inner automorphism $\bar{c} (= c_l c_r^{-1})$. The converse is clear by [5, Corollary 1.3].

(II) If D is inner, then we may assume that $C(B) = C(A)[x]$ and so $C(B)$ is a field. On the other hand, if D is outer, then $C(B) \subseteq V_B(A) \cap A = C(A)$ (by Lemma 2.4), which implies $C(B) = C(A)^p \subseteq C(A)$. Finally, if B/A is outer, $C(B) \cong C(A)$ and then $D(C(A)) = I_x(C(A)) = 0$. If B/A is inner then $C(A) \cong C(B)$ and hence $D(C(A)) = I_x(C(A)) \neq 0$. This completes the proof of (II).

Let $J(A)$ be Jacobson radical of A . We say that A is a *quasi local ring* (resp. a *primary ring* (see [2, p.56]) if $A/J(A)$ is a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring).

Let A be a two sided simple ring. Then each proper ideal of $R = A[X; D]$ is generated by a generator in R and an ideal of R is maximal if and only if it is generated by a w -irreducible polynomial (see [4, p. 76]).

Corollary 2.8. (I) *If A is a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple*

artinian ring) then, for $B=A[x;D/\alpha]$, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) B is a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring).
 - (2) B is connected.
 - (3) $X^p - \alpha$ is w -irreducible in R .
- (II) If A is a quasi local ring (resp. a primary ring) with $D(J(A)) \subseteq J(A)$ then, for $B=A[x;D/\alpha]$, the following conditions are equivalent.
- (1) B is a quasi local ring (resp. a primary ring).
 - (2) $B/J(B)$ is connected.
 - (3) $X^p - \bar{\alpha}$ is w -irreducible in $A/J(A)[X;\bar{D}]$ where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the coset of α modulo $J(A)$ and $\bar{D}$ is a derivation of $A/J(A)$ defined by $\bar{D}(\bar{a}) = \overline{D(a)}$.

Proof. (I) is clear from the above remark and Theorem 2.5.

(II) If $D(J(A)) \subseteq J(A)$ then $J(A)[x;D] = \{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} x^i a_i; a_i \in J(A)\}$ is an ideal of B . Hence $J(B) = J(A)[x;D]$ by [10, Proposition 7.8] and $B/J(B)$ is a p -cyclic extension of $A/J(A)$ by [10, Theorem 5.6]. The rest is clear from (I).

Corollary 2.9. *Let A be a finite dimensional central simple algebra and $B=A[x;D]$ a connected p -cyclic extension of A . Then B/A is inner if and only if D is outer.*

Proof. If B/A is inner then D is outer by Corollary 2.7. Conversely, if D is outer then $D(C(A)) \neq 0$. For, if $D(C(A)) = 0$ then D is an inner derivation of A ([2, Theorem 6.13.2]), a contradiction. Thus B/A is inner by Corollary 2.7.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $B=A[x;D]$ be a p -cyclic extension with a Galois group (σ) . Then $T_\sigma(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i(y) = 1$ for $y \in B$ if and only if $y = -x^{p-1} + f(x)$ for $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} x^i a_i$.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } T_\sigma(x^i a) &= (x^i + (x+1)^i + \cdots + (x+p-1)^i) a \\ &= [px^i + \binom{i}{i-1} x^{i-1}(1 + \cdots + (p-1)) + \cdots \\ &\quad + \binom{i}{j} x^j(1^{i-j} + \cdots + (p-1)^{i-j} + \cdots + (1 + \cdots + (p-1))^i) a. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$ is a cyclic group generated by some element c , we see that, if $1 \leq s \leq p-2$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} c^s = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} (c^k)^s = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} (c^s)^k = (1 - (c^s)^{p-1}) (1 - c^s)^{-1} = 0.$$

$$\text{Hence } T_\sigma(x^i a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \leq p-2 \\ -a & \text{if } i = p-1. \end{cases}$$

This shows that $T_\sigma(y)=1$ if and only if $y = -x^{p-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} x^i a_i$.

Let B be a p^e -cyclic extension of A for a cyclic group (σ) . If we put $\tau_i = \sigma^{p^i}$ ($i \leq e$) and $B_i = B^{\tau_i}$, then B_{i+1}/B_i is a p -cyclic extension with a cyclic group $(\tau_i | B_{i+1})$ such that B_i is a B_i -direct summand of B_{i+1} . Hence $B_{i+1} = B_i[x_{i+1}; \partial_i]$ for some derivation ∂_i of B_i and an element $x_{i+1} \in B_{i+1}$ such that $\tau_i(x_{i+1}) = x_{i+1} + 1$. A p^e -cyclic extension B of A is said to be a trivial extension if B is obtained by $C \otimes_{C(A)} A$ for some commutative p^e -cyclic extension C of $C(A)$. Hence B_{i+1}/B_i is a trivial extension if and only if ∂_i is inner. Then we have the following

Corollary 2.11. *Let A be connected.*

- (i) *B is connected if and only if B_1 is connected.*
- (ii) *B/A is a trivial extension if and only if B_{i+1}/B_i is a trivial extension for every i .*

Proof. (i) Since $B_1 = B^{\tau_1}$ and (τ_1) is an s -subgroup of (σ) , the connectedness of B_1 implies that of B by Theorem 1.9. The converse is also clear by Lemma 2.1.

(ii) It is clear that B/A is a trivial extension if each B_{i+1}/B_i is a trivial extension. To prove the converse, it is enough to prove that if ∂_i is inner, then ∂_{i-1} is also inner. Now, we assume that ∂_i is inner. Then $\partial_i = I_c$ for some $c \in B_i$. We write $y = x_{i+1} - c$, $x_i = x$, $\tau_i = \tau$ and $\tau_{i-1} = \rho$. Then $\tau(y) = y + 1$ and $B_{i+1} = B_i[y]$ (that is, $y \in C(B_{i+1})$). Let $t = \rho(y) - y$. Then $t \in B_i$ since $\tau(\rho(y) - y) = \rho(y + 1) - (y + 1) = \rho(y) - y = t$, and further, $T_\rho(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \rho^i(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \rho^i(\rho(y) - y) = \tau(y) - y = 1$. Hence $t = -x^{p-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} x^i d_i$ ($d_i \in B_{i-1}$) by Lemma 2.10 and $\rho(y) - y = t \in C(B_{i+1}) \cap B_i = V_{B_i}(B_{i+1}) \subseteq V_{B_i}(B_{i-1})$. Hence, by Lemma 2.4, ∂_{i-1} is the inner derivation effected by $-d_{p-2}$.

As a direct consequence of Corollary 2.8 and Corollary 2.11, we obtain the following

Corollary 2.12. *When A is a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring), B is a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring) if and only if so is B_1 .*

3. Connected Abelian extensions. Let $G = (\sigma_1) \times (\sigma_2) \times \cdots \times (\sigma_n)$ be an elementary abelian group of order p^n . In this section, we assume that B is a G -Galois extension. Hence $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_n; D_1, \dots, D_n, \mathcal{A}] = \sum \oplus (x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n}) A$

with $x_i^p = a_i \in A$, $ax_i = x_i a + D_i(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $x_i x_j = x_j x_i + a_{ij}$. Unless otherwise stated, B means one which is obtained by the free A -basis $\{x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} \cdots x_n^{\nu_n}; 0 \leq \nu_i \leq p-1\}$, and as to notations A_k , etc., we follow Remark 1.5 and others.

The following lemma is easy to obtain by induction, using Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.7(2).

Lemma 3.1. *If B is connected then A_k is connected, and if $C(A)$ is a field then $C(A_k)$ is a field for $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

It is clear that the converse of Lemma 3.1 is not true. For this reason, the main interest of this section is to study sufficient conditions for B to be connected.

In virtue of Theorem 2.5, we can easily see that if $X_i^p - a_i$ is w -irreducible in $A_i[X_i; D_i^*]$ for $i=1, \dots, n$, then B is connected. Now we shall study some types of intermediate subrings of B/A which depend on properties of derivations D_i 's.

Let S_n be the set of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and for $\pi \in S_n$, let $A_{\pi(k)}$ be a subring of B generated by elements $x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(k)}$ over A . Then, there exists an element $\pi \in S_n$ and $m \geq 0$ such that $D_{\pi(i)}^*$ is inner (resp. outer) in $A_{\pi(i-1)}$ for each $i \leq m$, and for each $\nu \in S_n$, $D_{\nu(j)}^*$ is not inner (resp. outer) in $A_{\nu(j-1)}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq m+1$ (cf. Remark 1.0 and Remark 1.5).

For the inner (resp. outer) case, we set

$$\mathcal{I} = \{D_{\pi(1)}, \dots, D_{\pi(m)}\} \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{O} = \{D_{\pi(1)}, \dots, D_{\pi(m)}\}).$$

Then, $\mathcal{I}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}$) will be called a maximal inner (resp. outer) subset of $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ over A . Moreover, we denote $A_{\pi(m)}$ by $A(\mathcal{I})$ (resp. $A(\mathcal{O})$). Clearly, $\mathcal{I}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}$) might be $\emptyset$, or not $\emptyset$, and it seems that $\mathcal{I}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}$) does not determine uniquely. As to our study, we shall distinguish two cases.

Case 1. D_i is inner for some i .

In this case, there is a maximal inner subset $\mathcal{I}_1$ of $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ over A which is not empty. Next, let $\mathcal{O}_1$ be a maximal outer subset of $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\} \setminus \mathcal{I}_1$ over $A(\mathcal{I}_1)$. Clearly $\mathcal{I}_1 \neq \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_1 \neq \emptyset$. We shall now write

$$A(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{O}_1) = A(\mathcal{I}_1)(\mathcal{O}_1).$$

If $\mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_1 \neq \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ then we can see

$$B = A(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)(\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{O}_2) \cdots (\mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{O}_k)$$

for some k by repetition of this procedure, where $\mathcal{I}_k \neq \emptyset$.

Case 2. Each D_i is outer.

In this case, there is a maximal outer subset $\mathcal{O}'_1$ of $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ over A which is not empty. Next, let $\mathcal{I}'_1$ be a maximal inner subset of $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\} \setminus \mathcal{O}'_1$ over $A(\mathcal{O}'_1)$, and we write

$$A(\mathcal{O}'_1, \mathcal{I}'_1) = A(\mathcal{O}'_1) (\mathcal{I}'_1).$$

If $\mathcal{O}'_1 \cup \mathcal{I}'_1 \neq \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ then we can see

$$B = A(\mathcal{O}'_1, \mathcal{I}'_1) (\mathcal{O}'_2, \mathcal{I}'_2) \cdots (\mathcal{O}'_h, \mathcal{I}'_h)$$

for some h by repetition of this procedure, where $\mathcal{O}'_h \neq \emptyset$.

Now, in the rest of this section, we shall write as follows:

In Case 1, $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{D_1, \dots, D_{m_1}\}$, $\mathcal{O}_1 = \{D_{m_1+1}, \dots, D_{m_1+n_1}\}, \dots$.

In Case 2, $\mathcal{O}'_1 = \{D_1, \dots, D_{m_1}\}$, $\mathcal{I}'_1 = \{D_{m_1+1}, \dots, D_{m_1+n_1}\}, \dots$.

If $m_1 < n$ then, it is obvious that in Case 1 (resp. in Case 2), D_j^* is outer (resp. inner) in A_{m_1} for $j = m_1 + 1, \dots, n$, and $A_{q_1} = A(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)$ (resp. $A_{q_1} = A(\mathcal{O}'_1, \mathcal{I}'_1)$) for $q_1 = m_1 + n_1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let D_1 be inner.*

(i) *If D_j^* is inner in A_1 then D_j is inner.*

(ii) *In case $D_1 = 0$, D_j^* is inner in A_1 if and only if D_j is inner and $x_j x_1 = x_1 x_j$.*

Proof. Let $D_1 = I_c$. Then, for $y = x_1 - c$, we have $A_1 = A[y]$. Now, we assume that D_j^* is inner in A_1 . Then, there exists an element $f = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} y^i a_i$ ($a_i \in A$) in A_1 such that $D_j^*(g) = gf - fg$ for all $g \in A_1$. Since y is central in A_1 , it follows that $A \ni D_j(a) = D_j^*(a) = aa_0 - a_0 a$ for all $a \in A$. Clearly $D_j^*(x_1) = D_j(c)$. Hence, if, in particular, $c = 0$ (that is $D_1 = 0$) then $x_j x_1 = x_1 x_j$. The rest of the assertions will be easily seen.

Lemma 3.3. (i) *Let $A_{q_1} = A(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)$. Then we may assume $D_i = 0$, $\alpha_i \in C(A)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m_1$ and $A_{q_1} = A[x_1, \dots, x_{m_1}, x_{m_1+1}, \dots, x_{m_1+n_1}; D_{m_1+1}, \dots, D_{m_1+n_1}, \{a_{ij}\}]$ where $ax_i = x_i a$, $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$ for $i, j \leq m_1$, $ax_j = x_j a + D_j(a)$ and $x_i x_j = x_j x_i + a_{ij}$ for $j > m_1$.*

(ii) *Let $A_{q_1} = A(\mathcal{O}'_1, \mathcal{I}'_1)$. Then we may assume $D_i^* = 0$ in A_{i-1} for $i = m_1 + 1, \dots, m_1 + n_1$ and $A_{q_1} = A[x_1, \dots, x_{m_1}, y_{m_1+1}, \dots, y_{m_1+n_1}; D_1, \dots, D_{m_1}, \{a_{ij}\}]$ where $ax_i = x_i a + D_i(a)$, $x_i x_j = x_j x_i + a_{ij}$ for $i, j \leq m_1$, $y_j = x_j - f_j$ for $f_j \in A_{m_1}$ such that $y_j \in C(A_{q_1})$ and $y_j^p \in C(A_{m_1})$.*

Proof. (i) $A_{m_1} = A[x_1, \dots, x_{m_1}]$ is clear from Lemma 3.2 and the rest

can be easily seen.

(ii) Replace A by $A_{m_1} = A(\mathcal{O}_1')$. Then we can see the assertion by the same reason as in (i).

In what follows, let A_{q_1} be as follows:

i) If $A_{q_1} = A(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)$ then

$$A_{q_1} = A[x_1, \dots, x_{m_1}, x_{m_1+1}, \dots, x_{m_1+n_1}; D_{m_1+1}, \dots, D_{m_1+n_1}, \{a_{ij}\}],$$

where $x_i^p = a_i \in C(A)$, $i = 1, \dots, m_1$.

ii) If $A_{q_1} = A(\mathcal{O}_1', \mathcal{J}_1')$ then

$$A_{q_1} = A[x_1, \dots, x_{m_1}, y_{m_1+1}, \dots, y_{m_1+n_1}; D_1, \dots, D_{m_1}, \{a_{ij}\}],$$

where $y_j = x_j - f_j \in C(A_{q_1})$ for $f_j \in A_{m_1}$ and $y_j^p = a_j - f_j^p \in C(A_{m_1})$.

Moreover, a derivation D of A is said to be *completely outer* if cD is outer for all nonzero $c \in C(A)$. If $C(A)$ is a field then any outer derivation of A is completely outer.

Lemma 3.4. *If $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_n; D_1, \dots, D_n]$ and each outer derivation among $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ is completely outer, then B is either $A(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)$ or $A(\mathcal{O}_1')$. More precisely, $\mathcal{J}_1$ is the set of all inner derivations and $\mathcal{O}_1$ is the set of all outer derivations among $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{J}_1$ is the set of all inner derivations in $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ by Lemma 3.2. Suppose that there exists D_j such that $D_j \notin \mathcal{J}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_1$. Then D_j is outer and D_j^* is inner in A_{q_1} . Hence $D_j^* = I_f$ for $f = \sum x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_n^{\nu_n} a_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n}$. Then $A \ni D_j(a) = af - fa$ implies $a_{(p-1)\dots(p-1)} \in C(A)$ and $(p-1)D_{q_1}(a)$. $a_{(p-1)\dots(p-1)} = a_{(p-2)(p-1)\dots(p-1)}a - aa_{(p-2)(p-1)\dots(p-1)}$. Since $D_{q_1}^*$ is outer in A_{m_1} , D_{q_1} is outer. Further since D_{q_1} is completely outer, $a_{(p-1)(p-1)\dots(p-1)}$ must be 0 and hence $a_{(p-2)(p-1)\dots(p-1)} \in C(A)$. Then, by the same way, we have $(p-2)D_{q_1}(a) \cdot a_{(p-2)(p-1)\dots(p-1)} = a_{(p-3)(p-1)\dots(p-1)}a - aa_{(p-3)(p-1)\dots(p-1)}$. Repeating this, we can see $\nu_{q_1} = \nu_{q_1-1} = \dots = \nu_{m_1+1} = 0$. Consequently, $f \in A_{m_1}$ and D_j^* is inner in A_{m_1} , a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{O}_1$ is the set of all outer derivations in $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$.

Lemma 3.5. (i) *Let $A_{q_1} = A(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)$. If A_{q_1} is connected then $(C(A_{m_1})^p \cap A)/C(A)^p$ is an m_1 -dimensional $\text{GF}(p)$ -space with a basis $\{\alpha_i + C(A)^p; i = 1, \dots, m_1\}$.*

(ii) *Let $A_{q_1} = A(\mathcal{O}_1', \mathcal{J}_1')$. If A_{q_1} is connected then $(C(A_{q_1})^p \cap A_{m_1})/C(A_{m_1})^p$ is an n_1 -dimensional $\text{GF}(p)$ -space with a basis $\{\alpha_i - f_i^p + C(A_{m_1})^p; i = m_1 + 1, \dots, m_1 + n_1\}$.*

Proof. (i) Let $f \in C(A_{m_1})$ and $f^p \in A$. First, we shall prove that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} x_i \mu_i + c \text{ for some } \mu_i \in \text{GF}(p) \text{ and } c \in C(A).$$

Now, we set $m_1 = r$ and assume that $f = \sum_{i=1}^s x_i^t a_i$ where $a_i \in A_{r-1}$, $a_s \neq 0$ and $2 \leq s \leq p-1$. Then $a_i \in C(A_{r-1})$ for $i=0, 1, \dots, s$ and

$$f^p = \sum_{i=0}^s (x_r + a_r)^i a_i^p - \sum_{i=0}^s x_i^t a_i.$$

Hence we obtain $a_s^p = 0$ and $sa_r a_s^p = (-a_{s-1})^p$. Since A_{q_1} is connected, A_r is connected by Lemma 3.1. Then, noting $a_s^p = 0$, we have $a_s \in \text{GF}(p)$, and whence $a_r = (-a_{s-1} s^{-1} a_s^{-1})^p$. This implies that $X_r^p - a_r$ is reducible in $C(A_{r-1})[X_r]$, a contradiction. Hence, it follows that $f = x_r a_1 + a_0$. Clearly $a_1^p = 0$ and so, $a_1 = \mu_r \in \text{GF}(p)$. Moreover $f^p - (x_r \mu_r)^p = a_0^p \in A \cap C(A_{r-1})^p$. Therefore, by induction methods, we obtain $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} x_i \mu_i + c$ for $\mu_i \in \text{GF}(p)$ and $c \in C(A)$. Now, noting $x_i^p = a_i$, we have $f^p = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} a_i \mu_i + c^p$. Clearly $C(A_{m_1})^p \supset C(A)^p$ and they are $\text{GF}(p)$ -modules. Since $a_i \in C(A_{m_1})^p \cap A$, it follows that

$$(C(A_{m_1})^p \cap A) / C(A)^p = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} (a_i + C(A)^p) \text{GF}(p).$$

If $a_i = \alpha_1 \nu_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i-1} \nu_{i-1} + \alpha_{i+1} \nu_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m_1} \nu_{m_1} + c^p$ ($\nu_i \in \text{GF}(p)$ and $c^p \in C(A)^p$) then $X_i^p - a_i$ has a factor $X_i - (x_1 \nu_1 + \dots + x_{i-1} \nu_{i-1} + x_{i+1} \nu_{i+1} + \dots + x_{m_1} \nu_{m_1} + c)$ which is a generator in $A[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{m_1}][X_i]$. But this is a contradiction since A_{m_1} is connected by Lemma 3.1.

(ii) Since $A_{q_1} = A_{m_1}[y_{m_1+1}, \dots, y_{m_1+n_1}]$, we can see the validity of the assertion by the same way as in (i) by replacing A to A_{m_1} .

Theorem 3.6. *Let A be connected.*

(I) *If $B = A(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)$, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *B is connected.*

(2) *$\{a_i + C(A)^p; i=1, \dots, m_1\}$ is linearly independent over $\text{GF}(p)$ in $C(A)/C(A)^p$.*

(II) *If $B = A(\mathcal{O}'_1, \mathcal{I}'_1)$, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *B is connected.*

(2) *$\{a_i - f_i^p + C(A_{m_1})^p; i = m_1 + 1, \dots, m_1 + n_1\}$ is linearly independent over $\text{GF}(p)$ in $C(A_{m_1})/C(A_{m_1})^p$.*

Proof. (I) (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Since $C(A_{m_1})^p \cap A \subset C(A)$, this is clear from Lemma 3.5(i).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let A_{k-1} be connected for $k \leq m_1$. If $X_k^p - a_k$ is reducible in $C(A_{k-1})[X_k]$, then there exists $f \in C(A_{k-1})$ such that $f^p = a_k$ ($\in A$), and so $f = x_1 \mu_1 + \dots + x_{k-1} \mu_{k-1} + c$ by making use of the same methods as in the

proof of Lemma 3.5 (i), where $\mu_i \in \dot{\text{GF}}(p)$ and $c \in C(A)$. Hence $f^v = \alpha_k = \alpha_1 \mu_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \mu_{k-1} + c^v$ and this contradicts to the linear independence of $\{\alpha_i + C(A)^v; i=1, \dots, m_1\}$. Thus A_k is connected. By inductive argument, we can see that A_{m_1} is connected. Since $A_{m_1}[x_{m_1+1}; D_{m_1+1}^*]/A_{m_1}$ is a (σ_{i+1}) -cyclic extension and $D_{m_1+1}^*$ is outer in A_{m_1} , $A_{m_1+1} = A_{m_1}[x_{m_1+1}; D_{m_1+1}^*]$ is connected by Corollary 2.3. Repeating this we can see the connectedness of B .

(II) This can be prove by the similar way as in (I).

Lemma 3.7. *If $C(A)$ is a field and B is connected then B is either $A(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)$ or $A(\mathcal{O}_1)$.*

Proof. If D_j^* is inner in A_1 , then D_j is inner. For, if D_1 is inner, it follows from Lemma 3.2. On the other hand, if D_1 is outer, it is a consequence of the fact that D_1 is completely outer. Since $C(A_k)$ is a field by Lemma 3.1, continuing this way, we can see that $\mathcal{J}_1$ is the set of all inner derivations and $\mathcal{O}_1$ is the set of all outer derivations in $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 3.4).

Combining Theorem 3.6 with Lemma 3.7, we have the following

Corollary 3.8. *Let $C(A)$ be a field. Then A has a connected G -Galois extension B such that $\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$ if and only if one the following conditions (a) and (b) is satisfied.*

(a) *There exist outer derivations $D_{m_1}, \dots, D_{m_1+n_1}$ of A and elements $\alpha_i \in A$ ($i=1, \dots, n$) such that*

(1) $[D_i, D_j] = 0$.

(2) $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m_1} \in C(A)_0$ and $\{\alpha_i + C(A)^v; i=1, \dots, m_1\}$ is linearly independent over $\text{GF}(p)$ in $C(A)/C(A)^v$.

(3) $\alpha_j \in A^{D_i}(D_j^v)$ for $j > m_1$.

(b) *There exist outer derivations $D_1, \dots, D_n$ and elements $\alpha_i \in A$ ($i=1, \dots, n$) such that*

(1) $[D_i, D_j] = 0$.

(2) $\alpha_i \in A^{D_i}(D_i^v)$ for all $i, j=1, \dots, n$.

Proof. If B is a connected G -Galois extension such that $\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$, then $B = A(\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)$ or $A(\mathcal{O}_1)$ by Lemma 3.4. Thus we can easily see that A satisfies conditions (a) or (b) by Theorem 3.6. Conversely, if A satisfies (b), then $B = A[X_1, \dots, X_n; D_1, \dots, D_n] / (X_1^p - \alpha_1, \dots, X_n^p - \alpha_n)$ is a G -Galois extension of A and $X_i^p - \alpha_i$ is w -irreducible in A_i . While, if A satisfies

(a), then $B = A[X_1, \dots, X_{m_1}, X_{m_1+1}, \dots, X_n; D_{m_1+1}, \dots, D_n] / (X_1^p - \alpha_1, \dots, X_n^p - \alpha_n)$ is a G -Galois extension of A such that A_{m_1} is connected by Theorem 3.6. Since D_j^* is outer in A_{j-1} by Lemma 3.4, this means that B is connected.

Corollary 3.9. *Let A be a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring) and B a G -Galois extension of A . Then B is a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring) if and only if B is connected, and if this is the case, B is either $A(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{O}_1)$ or $A(\mathcal{C}_1)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.8, B is a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring) if and only if B is connected. The rest is clear from Lemma 3.7.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $H = (\tau_1) \times (\tau_2) \times \dots \times (\tau_n)$ be an abelian group such that $|\tau_i| = p^{e_i}$ ($e_i \geq 1$), B/A an H -Galois extension and $T = B^{G'}$ for $G' = (\tau_1^p) \times (\tau_2^p) \times \dots \times (\tau_n^p)$.*

(i) *Let A be connected. Then B is connected if and only if so is T .*
 (ii) *Let A be a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring). Then B is a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring) if and only if so is T .*

Proof. Since G' is an s -subgroup of H , these are direct consequences of Theorem 1.9 and Corollary 3.9.

4. Connected p -extensions. In this section, we will deal with the connectedness of a G -Galois extension over a connected ring A when G is a nonabelian p -group of order p^e . Thus we assume here B/A is a G -Galois extension and $T = B^{\Phi(G)}$ where $\Phi(G)$ is the Frattini subgroup of G which is an s -subgroup of G (cf. Definition 1.7). Then we can readily see the following

Theorem 4.1. (i) *Let A be connected. Then B is connected if and only if so is T .*

(ii) *Let A be a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring). Then B is a two sided simple ring (resp. a simple artinian ring) if and only if so is T .*

Proof. By Theorem 1.9, B is connected if so is T . Conversely, assume B is connected, $\Phi(G) = G_0 \supsetneq G_1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq G_t = \{1\}$ is a composition series of $\Phi(G)$ and $B_i = B^{G_i}$. Then B_i/B_{i-1} is a p -cyclic extension and so the

connectedness of $B=B_t$ implies that of $T=B_0$ by Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof of (i).

Since $H=G/\Phi(G)$ is an elementary abelian group and T/A is a connected H -Galois extension, T is two sided simple if so is B by Corollary 3.9. The converse is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.8.

Let C be a central subgroup of order p of G which is contained in $\Phi(G)$ and let P be a p -group which is isomorphic to G/C . If all rings considered are supposed commutative, then a P -Galois extension M/A can be embedded into a G -Galois extension B/A ([7] and [11]). In the following we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for M/A can be embedded into B/A in general case.

Let $C=(\sigma)$. Then as same as in [11], we choose representatives $u(\tau) \in G$ for $\tau \in P$. Define the group cohomology of 2-cocycles $g(\tau, \rho)$ by

$$u(\tau)u(\rho)=g(\tau, \rho)u(\tau\rho).$$

$u(\tau)\sigma=\sigma u(\tau)$ is clear for $\tau \in P$ since C is central.

Let $\chi: C \rightarrow \text{GF}(p)$ be the homomorphism defined by $\chi(\sigma^i)=i$. $\chi(g(\tau, \rho))$ is a 2-cocycle of P into $\text{GF}(p)$.

For a derivation D of M , we put $\Delta_0(u)=1$ and $\Delta_i(u)=D(\Delta_{i-1}(u))+\Delta_{i-1}(u)u$ for $u \in M$.

Under these notations, we have the following

Theorem 4.2. *Let M/A be a P -Galois extension. Then M/A can be embedded into a G -Galois extension B/A if and only if there exist a derivation D of M , elements $t \in M^D$ and $t_\tau \in M$ ($\tau \in P$) such that*

- (1) $D^p=I_t$,
- (2) $[\tau, D]=I_{t_\tau} \cdot \tau$,
- (3) $t_\tau + \tau(t_\rho)=t_{\tau\rho} + \chi(g(\tau, \rho))$,
- (4) $T_\tau(t_\tau)=\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \tau^i(t_\tau)=\chi(g)$ where $g \in C$ such that $u(\tau)^{\tau 1}=g$,
- (5) $(\tau-1)(t)=\Delta_p(t_\tau)-t_\tau$ for $\tau \in P$.

Proof. Let B/A be a G -Galois extension. Then $B^C=M$. Since B possesses an element $y \in B$ such that $T_C(y)=1$ (since $B_A \oplus > A_A$), we can see that $T_C(T_P(y))=1$. Hence B/M is a C -Galois extension with $B_M \oplus > M_M$. Therefore there is an element $x \in B$ such that $\{1, x, \dots, x^{p-1}\}$ is a free M -basis for B , $x^p=t \in M$ and $\sigma(x)=x+\chi(\sigma)$.

Let c be an arbitrary element of M . Then $\sigma(I_x(c))=\sigma(cx-xc)=c\sigma(x)-\sigma(x)c=I_x(c)$ shows that $D=I_x|M$ is a derivation of M satisfying (1).

Let $u(\tau)(x) = \sum x^i c_{i\tau}$ for $c_{i\tau} \in M$. Since $\sigma u(\tau) = u(\tau)\sigma$, $\sum (x+1)^i c_{i\tau} = \sigma u(\tau)(x) = u(\tau)\sigma(x) = \sum x^i c_{i\tau} + 1 = u(\tau)(x) + 1 = u(\tau)(x) - x + \sigma(x)$, and whence, $u(\tau)(x) = x + t_\tau$ for some $t_\tau \in M$.

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau, D](c) &= \tau(cx - xc) - (\tau(c)x - x\tau(c)) = u(\tau)(cx - xc) - (\tau(c)x - x\tau(c)) \\ &= \tau(c)(x + t_\tau) - (x + t_\tau)\tau(c) - (\tau(c)x - x\tau(c)) \\ &= \tau(c)t_\tau - t_\tau\tau(c) + I_\tau \cdot \tau(c). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u(\tau)u(\rho) = g(\tau, \rho)u(\tau\rho)$, $x + t_\tau + \tau(t_\rho) = u(\tau)(x + t_\rho) = u(\tau)u(\rho)(x) = g(\tau, \rho)u(\tau\rho)(x) = g(\tau, \rho)(x + t_{\tau\rho}) = x + t_{\tau\rho} + \chi(g(\tau, \rho))$ and $x + T_\tau(t_\tau) = u(\tau)^{|t|}(x) = g(x) = x + \chi(g)$ shows that $t_\tau + \tau(t_\rho) = t_{\tau\rho} + \chi(g(\tau, \rho))$ and $T_\tau(t_\tau) = \chi(g)$ for $g = u(\tau)^{|t|}$.

Noting that $(x + t_\tau)^p = x^p + \Delta_p(t_\tau)$ ([5, p. 163]), $t + \Delta_p(t_\tau) - t_\tau = x^p + \Delta_p(t_\tau) - t_\tau = x^p + \Delta_p(t_\tau) - (x + t_\tau) = u(\tau)(x^p) = \tau(t)$ means that $(\tau - 1)(t) = \Delta_p(t_\tau) - t_\tau$.

Conversely, assume that there exist a derivation D , elements t and t_τ ($\tau \in P$) which satisfy the conditions (1)–(5).

By (1), $X^p - t$ is a generator in $R = M[X; D]$. Let $B = R/(X^p - t)$ and let x be the coset of X . We define the action of σ on B by

$$\sigma(\sum x^i c_i) = \sum (x + \chi(\sigma))^i c_i \quad (c_i \in M).$$

Then $\sigma(x^p) = (x^p + \chi(\sigma)^p) = x^p = t = \sigma(t)$ and $\sigma(cx) = \sigma(xc + D(c)) = xc + \chi(\sigma)c + D(c) = c(x + \chi(\sigma)) = \sigma(c)\sigma(x)$. This shows that σ acts on B as an M -automorphism of order p .

Next we extend $u(\tau)$ ($\tau \in P$) to an automorphism of B by

$$u(\tau) : \sum x^i r_i \rightarrow \sum (x + t_\tau)^i \tau(r_i) \quad (r_i \in M).$$

Then $u(\tau)(x^p) = x^p + \Delta_p(t_\tau) - t_\tau = t + \Delta_p(t_\tau) - t_\tau = u(\tau)(t)$ by (5) and $u(\tau)(rx) = u(\tau)(xr + D(r)) = (x + t_\tau)\tau(r) + \tau D(r) = x\tau(r) + \tau(r)t_\tau + D\tau(r) = \tau(r)(x + t_\tau) = \tau(r)\tau(x)$ by (2). Thus τ is a ring homomorphism of B . Moreover $u(\tau)^{|t|}(x) = x + T_\tau(t_\tau) = x + \chi(g)$ by (4), and whence $g^p(x) = x$. Thus $u(\tau)$ acts as an automorphism on B and $u(\tau)|_M = \tau$. $\sigma u(\tau) = u(\tau)\sigma$ is clear and $u(\tau)u(\rho)(xr) = (x + t_\tau + \tau(t_\rho))\tau\rho(r) = (x + t_{\tau\rho} + \chi(g(\tau, \rho)))\tau\rho(r) = g(\tau, \rho)u(\tau\rho)(xr)$ show that $u(\tau)u(\rho) = g(\tau, \rho)u(\tau\rho)$. Now it is clear that $A \subseteq B^G \subseteq M^G \subseteq M^p = A$.

Let $\{y_i, z_i; i=1, \dots, n\}$ and $\{u_i, v_i; i=1, \dots, m\}$ be a (σ) -Galois coordinate system for B/M and a P -Galois coordinate system for M/A respectively. Then $\sum_i y_i(\sum_j u_j \tau\sigma^k(v_j))\tau\sigma^k(z_i) = \delta_{1, \tau\sigma^k}$ for all $\tau\sigma^k \in G = \bigcup_{\tau} \tau(\sigma)$, where τ runs over all the elements of a complete representatives of G modulo (σ) .

Noting that C is an s -subgroup of G , we have the following

Corollary 4.3. *Let A be connected and B/A a G -Galois extension. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) B is connected.
- (2) M is connected.
- (3) T is connected.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. IKEHATA: On separable polynomials and Frobenius polynomials in skew polynomial rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **22** (1980), 115—129.
- [2] N. JACOBSON: *Structure of Rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **37**, Rev. Ed., Providence, 1968.
- [3] G.J. JANUSZ: Separable algebras over commutative rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **122** (1966), 461—479.
- [4] K. KISHIMOTO: On cyclic extensions of simple rings, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ.* **19** (1966), 74—85.
- [5] K. KISHIMOTO: On abelian extensions of rings I, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **14** (1970), 159—174.
- [6] K. KISHIMOTO: Note on cyclic extensions of rings, *J. Fac. Sci. Shinshu Univ.* **5** (1970), 29—32.
- [7] K. KISHIMOTO: On p -extensions of an algebra of characteristic p , to appear in *J. Algebra*.
- [8] T. NAGAHARA and A. NAKAJIMA: On cyclic extensions of commutative rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **15** (1971), 81—90.
- [9] T. NAGAHARA: Characterization of separable polynomials over a commutative rings, *Proc. Japan. Acad.* **46** (1970), 1011—1015.
- [10] Y. MIYASHITA: Finite outer Galois theory of non commutative rings, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ.* **19** (1966), 114—134.
- [11] D.J. SALTMAN: Noncrossed product p -algebra and Galois p -extensions, *J. Algebra*, **52** (1978), 302—314.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICA
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHINSHU UNIVERSITY

(*Received April 28, 1983*)